2016

Project Implementation Review (PIR)

of

PIMS 4615

Strengthening farmers communities livelihoods resilience against climate changes in the Guinean Prefectures of Gaoual, Koundara and Mali

Table of Cont<u>ents</u>

Α.	Basic Project and Finance Data	2
В.	Project Contacts and Links	2
C.	Project Summary	2
D.	Progress toward Development Objective	4
E.	Annual Project Quality Assurance Assessment	10
F.	Ratings and Comments on Project Progress	13
G.	Project Planning	13
н.	Critical Risk Management	14
I.	Environmental and Social Grievances	14
J.	Communicating Impact	15
к.	Partnerships	15
L.	Progress toward Gender Equality	15
M.	Annex 1 - Ratings Definitions	17

A. Basic Project and Finance Data

Pr	oject Implementing Partner:	Ministry of Environment Water and Forests
GE	EF Focal Area:	Climate Change - LDCF
Со	ountry(ies)	(GUI) Guinea
Pr	oject Start Date:	20-Nov-2013
Pla	anned Project Closing Date:	30-Mar-2018
То	otal GEF Grant (U\$S)	\$ 3,816,364
	EF Grant Disbursed as of 30 June \$S):	\$ 0.00
	ntal Co-financing (as planned in CEO ndorsement request):	\$ 58,640,000.00
0\	verall Risk Rating	
0\	verall DO Rating	

Overall IP Rating

B. Project contacts and Links			
Partner	Contact Name	Email Address	
Project Coordinator / Manager	Mamadou Lamarana Diallo	mamadou.lamarana.diallo@undp.org	
UNDP Country Office Programme Officer	Mamadou Cire Camara	mamadou.cire.camara@undp.org	
Project Implementing Partner	Selly Camara	camaraselly@gmail.com	
GEF Operational Focal Point	Amadou Sebory Toure	ahmadouseborytoure@gmail.com	
Other Partners			
UNDP Technical Adviser	Henry Rene Diouf	henry.rene.diouf@undp.org	
UNDP Programme Associate	Ydidiya Shibeshi	ydidiya.shibeshi@undp.org	

B. Project Contacts and Links

-	The link below provides access to the documentary produced by the project https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=rMFMJrGAsK4
Links to media coverage	

C. Project Summary

Climate change will affect the entirety of Guinea. Most socio-economic activities will be affected by anticipated climate change impacts. Climate change is expected to, among others; result in direct impacts such as: loss of incomes, decrease in the quality of life, population displacement and decrease in agricultural production. The country' long-term development is expected to be significantly affected by; (i) changes in rainfall patterns; (ii) droughts; (iii) floods; (iv) violent storms; (v) extreme temperature and increased insulation; (vi) coastal erosion.

While the importance for the economy and community livelihoods is undeniable, agriculture and livestock farming in the Prefectures of Gaoual, Koundara and Mali remain of subsistence, dependent entirely on the natural resource potential and characterized by obsolete and not environmentally friendly practices. The agriculture and livestock production are currently facing several constraints

which limit their productivity and render them highly vulnerable to any external shocks, and long term change, including from climate induced change

As a follow-up project to Guineaâ€T¥ NAPA, the normative expectation is that climate change is mainstreamed into local development plans and budgets; that agro-meteorological information is available to climate-dependent activities such as farming and animal husbandry; and that farmers implement adaptive farming systems. Barriers to meeting this preferred situation include: (i) underinvestment in systems to generate, codify, store and disseminate information and notably with regards to weather, meteorology and climate change, resulting in a complete absence of meteorological advice to local communities; (ii) a local policy framework that is not yet responsive to addressing climate change risks, and that does not promote an integrated response towards climate change adaptation; (iii) an insufficient capacity to implement new measures (including adaptive measures) and to use new technologies; and (iv) a low financial and technical capacity of most rural households, affecting the adaptive capacity of communities.

Contributions to respond to these barriers and reduce the level of vulnerabilities to climate change will be achieved through the pursuit of specific outcomes including: (a) strengthening of local authorities and decentralized institutions to integrate climate change issues in regional action plans through local development plans, annual and multi-year investments plans and annual community budgets; (b) production of agro-meteorological information and dissemination to the most appropriate stakeholders of the prefectures of GKM for climate resilient agroforestry; and (c) improved climate resilience of community livelihood options through the promotion of agroforestry.

D. Progress toward Development Objective

Objective/Outcome	Description	Description of Indicator	Baseline Level	Target Level at end of project	Level at 30 June 2015	Level at 30 June 2016
Objective	To strengthen adaptive	No. and segment of	Type and level: 0 Local	Type and level: At least	Project organized	Current this period
	capacities of vulnerable	population in the prefectures	populations do not currently	1200farmers and 50 technical	three workshops in	training workshops
	populations in the prefectures	of Gaoual, Koundara and Mali	have adaptive capacities to	staff from extension services	Gaoual, Koundara and	have been organized
	of Gaoual, Koundara and Mali	with increased adaptive	face droughts and, do not	(with a gender balance)	Mali that have	on: management
	(GKM) to the additional risks	capacity to reduce risks of and	implement sustainable	implement adaptive and more	grouped 171 local	plans land and
	posed by the increased	responses to increased	adaptive measures.	resilient measures to climate	elected	forestry 15 CR of the
	intensity and frequency of	intensity and frequency of		change and increased intensity	representatives and	project area; the
	drought	drought.		and frequency of drought	technical partners	current farming
					including 24 women.	systems and
					Around 15	measures to adapt to
					municipalities,	the impacts of
					capacities of 606	climate change and
					people including 77	the constraints linked
					women have been	to the adoption of
					strengthened on	agroforestry as an
					climate change, its	adaptation measure.
					impacts, and	These studies
					agroforestry as a	required meetings
					means of adaptation.	with rural
					The target group	communities to
					consists of local	make them aware,
					elected	inform and train
					representatives,	them on the risks
					executive?s	induced by drought
					communal technical	in different localities
					services,	on the agriculture
					representatives of the	and livestock and the
					local administration	means to adapt. They
					and the observers.	arepeoples who
					Among the trainees	benefited from these
					some are producers	sessions amongst
					who apply training,	those Women The

				leaders	Census of the direct beneficiaries of the 174 agroforestry farms give Y people including X women. These people now have enhanced capacities and apply in their day-to-day farming and rearing taking account of climate change.
					Coupled with agroforestry and climate change training, awareness sessions touched 497 people decentralized technical services, municipalities and districts.
decentralized institutions strengthened to integrate climate change issues in regional PNDA action plans through local development plans (PDLs), annual and multi-year investments plans (PAIs/MIPs) and annual	budget for climate change adaptation including agroforestry (AMAT indicator 1.1.1.1) No. of community based land and forest management plans and regulation tools developed that incorporate climate change risk management	and level: 0 Although there exist few interesting actions at	climate change issues, and to support the implementation of adaptation actions and in particular agro-forestry actions Type and level: At least the 15 targeted CRD have developed and owned community based land and forest management plans and regulation tools that incorporate climate change	of Local development initiated the updating of the Local Development Plans to take account of the impacts of climate change of eight rural Communes out of fifteen. Interim	plans, training was organized for the representatives of the National Directorate of Local development and its decentralized services of Gaoual, Koundara and Mali. Took part in this training prefectural directions of

			2015 Steering	agriculture, livestock,
			-	plan, community
				workers of the village
				communities support
			forest management of	
			-	are 36 frames
				including 6 women
			this study are: (i) The	who were mobilized.
			and tenure system in	They have been
			use in the project	trained on the
			area and land	process of
			egislation in force are	preparation of the
			known; (ii) The	Local Development
			concerns of the	Plans, integration like
			communities and	Crystal tools The
			their expectations are	integration of
			identified; (iii) The	adaptation to climate
			needs in support of	change realised in 16
		•	the communities are	local development
			isted; (iv) The mode	plans of rural
			of participatory	municipalities
			management of	covered by the
		•	territory is developed	project: Koumbia,
				Foulamory,
			agro-hydro-climatic	Kounsitel, Wedou
		:	zoning of the CR is	MBour and Malanta
				in Gaoual; Sambailo,
				Guingan,
				Youkounkoun and
				Termesse in
				Koundara; Madina
			-	Wora, Madina
				Salambande, Balaki,
				Touba, Lebekere,
				Hidayatou and
		,	validated. Interim	Yambering in Mali.
			reports are available	
			and must be validated	

					by the current August 2015 Steering Committee	
Outcome 2	Agro-meteorological information is produced and disseminated to the most appropriate stakeholders of the prefectures of GKM for climate resilient agroforestry.	No. and type of targeted stakeholders of the prefectures of GKM with access to relevant agro- meteorological information (AMAT indicator 2.1.1.)	Type and level: 0 Agro- meteorological information are not produced nor disseminated to stakeholders of the prefectures of GKM	Type and level: At least 600 appropriate stakeholders (including farmers, decentralized institutions staff, CRD council members and urban district council members) have access to appropriate and relevant agro- meteorological information.	include: (i) a map of the area of the project scaling 1/1.000.000 on which agricultural areas are identified and farmed, hydrography, classified forests, hydrometeorological stations, the isohyets, isotherms, the prefectures and the rural Communes; (ii) three cards prefectural scale 1/300,000 for Gaoual-	project in particular, the project has equipped the National Directorate of meteorology of 5 automatic weather Stations, 15 classic gauges and 350 peasants gauges. The 5 automatic stations are installed in the prefectures of Fria, Gaoual, Koundara, Labé and Mali. 15 classic rain gauges are installed in 15 rural municipalities

					retained by the project scale 1/50.000 on which agricultural	bulletin to the attention of the
					areas, forests classified and community, hydrography, the heads of source and mares, contour, the	covered and the administrative regions of Boké and Labé.
					length of the planting season, rainfall stations, the districts and sectors. These maps are made available to the local	
					authorities, technical partners for the support to producers. The process of acquisition of the five	
					automatic stations for prefectures, 15 for rural municipalities and 500 peasants rain gauges rainfall positions is underway.	
Outcome 3	resilient in the 15 most vulnerable CRDs of Gaoual, Koundara and Mali.	productivity (ton/ha) in targeted area(AMAT indicator 1.2.5) Changes in	determined at the project inception phase Baseline productivity to be determined at the project inception phase	resilient agro-forestry activities. 80 % of the farmers implementing the adaptation technologies introduced by the	1403 people whose 393 women have been trained in agroforestry and management of climate risks Level. 86 frames technical services that have	1500 rural development actors, technical services and local elected authorities of Gaoual, Koundara and Mali trained on climate change risks

	increased by 5% 80 9	6 of the been trained as	and agroforestey us
	farmers supported by t	ne trainers at the	adaptation means.
	project see their incom	e prefectural level. They	There are 86
	increased by 5%	have passed on	members of
		knowledge acquired	technical staff from
		at 1317 producers in	extension services
		40 constituencies in	whosewomens,
		rural municipalities	1414 farmers and
		for this purpose.	local elected
		Educational tools	representatives.
		have been produced:	Livelihood of 60
		a manual of the	women concerns
		learner, a trainer?s	leaders, owners of
		guide and a brochure	plots, members of
		in pular with arab	groups associations,
		character. These	etc. have been
		manuals have been	identified in a
		distributed to the	participative way
		beneficiaries of the	Sixty-four
		training. Agroforestry	agroforestry farms
		plots (ranging from 1	on the covered one
		to 70 ha) and their	hundred-sixty-
		owners (men and	fourteen were
		women) have been	planted: (i) 5344
		identified in eight	plants of fruit trees in
		rural communes.	the three prefectures
		Agricultural inputs	(1788 mangoes, 1099
		needs have been	Orange, 370 lemon,
		expressed for the	530 palm oil, 855
		development of	avocado and 502
		farms.	cashew trees); (ii) 42
			895 forest seedlings
			(16 000 plants
			Grevilia, 3970
			gmelina, 4094 of of
			Acacia mangium,
			1700 Neem, Moringa
			4531 and 540

		eucalyptus). The
		support provided by
		the project to
		farmers has allowed
		to identify and
		secure 174 farms
		with 56-Gaoual,
		Koundara 44 and 74
		in Mali. Although no
		study is still made or
		the revenue
		generated, strong
		productivity
		improvements are
		remarkable. The
		project will lead a
		socio-economic stud
		of the different
		holdings.

E. Annual Project Quality Assurance Assessment

Project Governance	
Are at least 40 percent of the personnel hired by the project, regardless of contract type, female?	Y
Dates of Project Steering Committee/Board meetings during reporting period (30 June 2015 to 1 July 2016)	February 2016 September 2015
Did the Project Board function as intended this reporting period?	Y
Please add any comments on project governance.	The restricted Steering Committee met 2 times in 2015 to validate studies and the Steering Committee met two times to adjudicate results 2015 and 2016 prospects.
Annual Work Planning	

Have project inputs been procured and delivered on time and budget this reporting period?	Y
Will the project be able to close on time as planned?	N
Please add any comments on annual work planning.	The project started with some delay in the implementation of field activities (identification of agroforestry farms and operators). The recruitment of the operators on the ground, carrying out the contracts, etc. experienced some delay.
Stakeholder engagement and target groups	
Please discuss how stakeholders and target groups were directly engaged in the decision-making, implementation and monitoring of the project this reporting period.	The 16 rural municipalities, the three prefectures of Gaoual, Koundara and Mali, and the Administrative Regions of Boké and Labe are represented in the project Steering Committee. The members of the Steering Committee make regular visits to the sites of the project in the company of local community officers, prefectural and communal monitoring and evaluation officers. They attended the presentation of the achievements of the project (screened enclosures, delivery of forest and fruit trees, plants weather units,).
Monitoring & Evaluation (M&E)	
Please discuss how the project M&E Plan was implemented and used to support effective project management this reporting period (e.g. please consider whether progress data against the indicators in the project results framework was reported using credible data sources and collected according to the M&E plan, including sex disaggregated data as relevant; whether lesson learned were used to take corrective actions as necessary; whether evaluations were conducted following the UNDP-GEF guidance available at www.undp.erc.org; and other issues as relevant).	According to the realized monitoring and evaluation plan in 2015, agents have been designated at the communal level and at the prefectural level. It is agents of the decentralized environment, Agriculture, livestock services. These agents have helped with the implementation of the monitoring and evaluation strategy prepared by a Bureau d'Etudes. Fact sheets prepared for this purpose have been filled during field missions carried out at least once per month at the communal level, once the two months at prefecture level and quarterly at the level of the unit of management of the project.
Social & Environmental Standards	
Were any new social and environmental impacts and risks identified this reporting period?	Y
Please discuss how social and environmental impacts and risks were managed this reporting period, as relevant.	The project activities take into account the environmental safeguard sites of intervention. In fact, the project promotes the use of organic fertilizers instead of chemical fertilizers. It allows the settlement of producers reducing impacts on natural resources by making sustainable production systems. He fights against straying animals, source of social conflicts. The use of pesticides measures are taken to avoid the nuisance. Agroforestry combines crops, trees and animals, thus preserving biodiversity.

janvier 25, 2017 Page 12 of 17

F. Ratings and Comments on Project Progress

Project Progress toward Development Objective

Role	2016 Rating	2016 Comments
Project Manager/Coordinator	Highly Satisfactory	The results achieved during this period resulted in interest to the entire population of the affected areas and beyond expectations
UNDP Country Office Programme Officer	Satisfactory	The project started with a delay, but a significant effort was made by the management unit in the reporting period.
Project Implementing Partner	Satisfactory	The level of actual implementation of the project is satisfactory. Indeed a recent field visit to appreciate with satisfaction the level of understanding of the recipient communities on climate change and the urgent need for adaptation. Also much of the planned activities have been carried out.
GEF Operational Focal point		
Other Partners		
UNDP Technical Advisor		

Project Progress in Project Implementation

Role	2015 Rating	2016 Rating	2016 Comments
Project Manager/Coordinator		0 / /	The second half of 2015 and the first half of 2016 were characterized by the achievement of important results of the project (acquisition and installation of meteorological stations, key studies achived, identification, security and beginning of development of 174 agroforestry farms, land visits by UNDP, Minsitry of Environnement, steering Committee, commitment of 8 community agents of development,)
UNDP Country Office Programme Officer	Moderately Satisfactory	Highly Satisfactory	Apart from internal monitoring by project staff, a UNDP mission and UNDP second with the National party were carried out in the field.
Project Implementing Partner	Satisfactory	Highly Satisfactory	
GEF Operational Focal point			
Other Partners			
UNDP Technical Advisor	Satisfactory		

G. Project Planning

Key project milestone	Status	Original Planned Date (Month/Year)	Actual or Expected Date (Month/Year)	Comments
Inception Workshop	delayed/completed	December - 2013	August - 2014	Administrative problems (change of Government, the Coordinator and the project team recruitment; allocation of funds)
Mid-term Review	on schedule	6 - 2015	10 - 2016	Delays in the launch of the project and the establishment of the institutions of governance of the project and the allocation of resources have failed to do the evaluation mid-term on the date indicated.
Terminal Evaluation	on schedule	June - 2018	December - 2019	Delays in the launch of the project and the establishment of the institutions of governance of the project and the allocation of resources did not achieve agroforestry activities on time. This situation needs to be taken into account for the end of the project.
Project Closure		March - 2018	December - 2019	Cumulation of different delays could make a change in the date of closing of the project.

H. Critical Risk Management

Critical Risks Type(s)	Critical Risk Management Measures Undertaken in 2016
Operational	Strengthening capacities of the various actors through the Organization of training workshops, awareness sessions and demonstration actions.
Organizational	Awareness, information and training operators agroforestry and decentralized administration services
Environmental	Introduction of varieties resistant to climatic hazards. Significant difficulties to take into account the extremes (wave of cold in Mali and heatwave with Gaoual, Koundara)

General comments:

The lack of political will, social unrest, the lack of interest of the communities, are risks that had been identified in the project document. The strong involvement of the local and administrative authorities, awareness and demonstration of the fact that the project is made for and by the people themselves contributed to minimize these risks.

. Environmental and Social Grievances

Related environmental or social issue	
Status	
Significance	
Detailed description	

Communicating Impact

Tell us the story of the project focusing on how the project has helped to improve people's lives.

The folow link provide the report in the project area http://www.gn.undp.org/content/guinea/fr/home/presscenter/articles/2016/mission-de-supervision-du-projetremecc.html?cq_ck=1467647542787

What is the most significant change that has resulted from the project this reporting period?

During this period, some communication activities are realised: (i) a radio show regularly passes to radio show protect our environment, (ii) systematic coverage of the activities of the project: training workshops, meetings of the Committee of pilotage, discount weather equipment,..., (iii) a 30 minute film on the sites of the project relating to notices of administrative officials and policies of the project area, beneficiaries (especially women, notables,...), (iv) the nameplates installed in municipalities and the prefectures and others being installed in agroforestry farms supported by the project. These activities helped to make more visible the results of the project, the difficulties to be overcome to achieve the expected results.

Describe how the project supported South-South Cooperation and Triangular Cooperation efforts in the reporting year.

K. Partnerships

Partners	Innovation and Work with Partners
Civil Society Organisations/NGOs	The project encourages it done. Most of the activities conducted by NGOs.
Indigenous Peoples	
Private Sector	
GEF Small Grants Programme	
Other Partners	

Progress toward Gender Equality

Has a gender or Wi social assessment been carried out this reporting period?

Will be carried out in the future

If a gender or social	
assessment has been	
carried out what	
where the findings?	
Does this project	Yes
specifically target	
woman or girls as	
direct beneficiaries?	
Please specify results	60 women's concerns (leaders, owners of parcels, members of groups/associations, etc.) have been identified
achieved this	and taken into account following the Organization of three workshops in the Prefectures of Gaoual, Koundara
reporting period that	and Mali. 97 women benefited from strengthening capacity on agroforestry and climate change Specification
focus on increasing	is made to appoint women in all capacity-building meetings that the project organized and the representation
gender equality and	of structures concentrated and decentralized from the Department of Social Action Project REMECC-GKM
improving the	initiated the joint recruitment of community workers of the project in the first rural communes of
empowerment of	intervention. So 3 out of 8 women were recruited on folder
women.	

General Comments

The agroforestry project REMECC-GKM component is devoted to more than 70% in women and youth more than 170,000 people

M. Annex 1 - Ratings Definitions

Development Objective Progress Ratings Definitions

Highly Satisfactory (HS): Project is expected to achieve or exceed all its major global environmental objectives, and yield substantial global environmental benefits, without major shortcomings. The project can be presented as 'good practice'.

Satisfactory (S): Project is expected to achieve most of its major global environmental objectives, and yield satisfactory global environmental benefits, with only minor shortcomings.

Moderately Satisfactory (MS): Project is expected to achieve most of its major relevant objectives but with either significant shortcomings or modest overall relevance. Project is expected not to achieve some of its major global environmental objectives or yield some of the expected global environment benefits.

Moderately Unsatisfactory (MU): Project is expected to achieve of its major global environmental objectives with major shortcomings or is expected to achieve only some of its major global environmental objectives.

Unsatisfactory (U): Project is expected not to achieve most of its major global environment objectives or to yield any satisfactory global environmental benefits.

Highly Unsatisfactory (HU): The project has failed to achieve, and is not expected to achieve, any of its major global environment objectives with no worthwhile benefits.

Implementation Progress Ratings Definitions

Highly Satisfactory (HS): Implementation of all components is in substantial compliance with the original/formally revised implementation plan for the project. The project can be presented as 'good practice'.

Satisfactory (S): Implementation of most components is in substantial compliance with the original/formally revised plan except for only few that are subject to remedial action.

Moderately Satisfactory (MS): Implementation of some components is in substantial compliance with the original/formally revised plan with some components requiring remedial action.

Moderately Unsatisfactory (MU): Implementation of some components is not in substantial compliance with the original/formally revised plan with most components requiring remedial action.

Unsatisfactory (U): Implementation of most components is not in substantial compliance with the original/formally revised plan.

Highly Unsatisfactory (HU): Implementation of none of the components is in substantial compliance with the original/formally revised plan.