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| **Evaluation Criteria** | **Evaluation Question** | **Judgement Criteria** |
| **1. Relevance** | **1.1** To what extent are the objectives of the UNDAF consistent with country needs, national priorities, international and regional commitments?  | **1.1.1** UNDAF takes into consideration and promotes human rights (Core human rights treaties, including CEDAW, CPRD, CRC, ICCPR, ICESCR, ICERD, etc.) and practices the recommendations of Human Rights mechanisms (including the treaty bodies, special procedures and UPR) |
| **1.2** To what extent have UNDAF objectives been adequately programmed to capitalise on UNCT comparative advantage? | **1.2.1** UNDAF objectives been adequately programmed to capitalise on UNCT comparative advantage? |
| **1.3** To what extent are these objectives conducive to sustainable development, respect for the environment? | **1.3.1** UNDAF objectives safeguard sustainable development and the environment. |
| **1.4** To what extent are these objectives responding to the needs of women and men, girls and boys and vulnerable groups in the country. | **1.4.1** UNDAF objectives take into account specific needs of women, men, boys and girls and vulnerable populations in the country. |
| **2. Effectiveness** | **2.1** To what degree has the UNDAF been operationalised, through projects and specific activities, during the implementation period?  | **2.1.1** Programmes and projects directly contributing to UNDAF outcomes |
| **2.2** To what extent the UNCT contributed to, or is likely to contribute to, the outcomes defined in the UNDAF? | **2.2.1** Change occurs as a direct result of UNCTs activities through projects and programmes in support of UNDAF. |
| **2.3** Have there been any notable unintended results (positive or negative) and how have they affected national development? | **2.3.1** National development positively or negatively affected by UNDAF related activities. |
| **2.4** To what extent have these effects been foreseen and managed?  | **2.4.1** Positive and/or negative effects have been appropriately managed and/or mitigated. |
| **3.4** To what extent did the UNDAF create actual synergies among agencies and involve concerted efforts to optimise results and avoid duplication? | **3.4.1** UNDAF was successful in creating synergies among agencies and optimised results |
| **2.5** To what degree was monitoring integrated and continuous? | **2.5.1** A monitoring plan has been produced and regularly updated |
| **3. Efficiency** | **3.1** To which extent have outcomes been achieved with the appropriate amount of resources and maintenance of minimum transaction cost (funds, expertise, time, administrative costs, etc.)? | **3.1.1** Outcomes have been achieved with appropriate amount of resources. |
| **3.2** To what extent have funding become available to meet the planned outcomes as per UNDAF implementation plan? | **3.2.1** Activities contributing to stated UNDAF outcomes have been met by an adequate level of funding. |
| **3.3** Did UN coordination reduce transaction costs and increase the efficiency of UNDAF implementation? | **3.3.1** UN Coordination was successful in efficiency increase in UNDAF implementation |
| **4. Sustainability** | **4.1** The which extent are the benefits from a development intervention likely to continue after the current UNDAF will have been completed in 2015? | **4.1.1** Specific outcomes that are integrated in current practices and will continue its effects in the future |
| **4.2** To what degree have complementarities, collaboration and / or synergies fostered by UNDAF contributed to greater sustainability of results of Country Programmes and projects of individual UN agencies? | **4.2.1** Complementarity, collaboration and synergies have contributed to sustainability prospects |