**UNDAF Final Evaluation – Web-based Survey**

Survey Instructions

An independent consultant is conducting the final evaluation of the 2010-2015 UNDAF in the Republic of Kazakhstan.

The purpose of the UNDAF evaluation encompasses as follows:

• To support greater learning about what works, what doesn’t and why in the context of an UNDAF. The evaluation will provide important information for strengthening programming and results at the country level, specifically informing the implementation for the next programming cycle and for improving United Nations coordination at the country level.

• To support greater accountability of the UNCT to UNDAF stakeholders. By objectively verifying results achieved within the framework of the UNDAF and assessing the effectiveness of the strategies and interventions used, the evaluation will enable the various stakeholders in the UNDAF process, including national counterparts and partners, to hold the UNCT and other parties accountable for fulfilling their roles and commitments.

A core component of the evaluation is stakeholder engagement. To this aim, a number on interviews have been conducted in person with government representatives and other partners. This survey enables the evaluator to query and include the perspectives of key programme staff toward gaining insight into experiences and perceptions on UNDAF implementation. This includes stakeholders who have been interviewed as part of this evaluation. Even if you have participated in an interview, I would like to ask you to complete this survey.

I invite you to participate in this web-based survey. Your responses will be kept confidential and will not be shared with other stakeholders, or anyone outside of the independent consultant. Any information you provide will be combined with information collected from other survey responses and will not be attributed to you in any reporting or communications in relation to the final evaluation report. I encourage you to be as candid as possible so that we are able to provide information and lessons that are as accurate and useful as possible to further your joint effort.

By clicking the link below or copying it to your Internet browser you will be forwarded to the first page of the online questionnaire.

[insert link]

Please answer questions to the best of your ability. The survey should take about 15 to 20 minutes to complete. I would like to ask you to complete this survey now, or as soon as possible. I greatly appreciate your participation.

Thank you for your participation!

The survey questions:

Please select the answer that best describes your perceptions about the UNDAF process from the point of view of your working responsibilities and involvement in its implementation. Your views are very important for this evaluation

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Evaluation Criteria** | **Evaluation Question** | **Rating** | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | **Open question** |
| 1.Relevance | 1.1.To what extent are the objectives of the UNDAF consistent with country needs, national priorities, international and regional commitments? | * Fully consistent | | | | * Moderately   consistent | | | | | * Consistent in some sectors | | | * Not consistent | | | Describe |
|  | 1.2. To what extent have UNDAF objectives been adequately programmed to capitalise on UNCT comparative advantage? | * Fully adequate | | | | * Moderately   adequate | | | | | * Inadequate | | | * Totally   inadequate | | | Describe |
|  | 1.3 To what extent are these objectives conducive to sustainable development? | * Fully conducive | | | | * Moderately Conducive | | | | | * Conducive in some sectors | | | * Not conducive | | | Describe |
| 2.Effectiveness | 2.1 How would you rate the ability of the UNCT to establish and use its partnerships to improve its performance and strengthen cooperation with: | Civil Society | | | | * Fully adequate | * Moderately adequate | | | | | * Inadequate | | | | * Totally   inadequate | Please describe obstacles, difficulties in establishing and effectively maintain partnerships and/or good practices and positive examples |
| Academia | | | | * Fully adequate | * Moderately adequate | | | | | * Inadequate | | | | * Totally   Inadequate |
| Private sector | | | | * Fully adequate | * Moderately adequate | | | | | * Inadequate | | | | * Totally   Inadequate |
| Local government | | | | * Fully adequate | * Moderately adequate | | | | | * Inadequate | | | | * Totally inadequate |
| Parliament/national human rights institutions | | | | * Fully adequate | * Moderately adequate | | | | | * Inadequate | | | | * Totally inadequate |
|  | 2.2 To what extent was the “active, free, and meaningful” participation of all stakeholders (including non-resident agencies) ensured in the UNDAF process? | * Fully ensure | * Moderately ensured | | | | | * Inadequately ensured | | | | | | | * Not ensured | | Describe |
|  | 2.3 Was transparency in policies and project implementation ensured? Please rate the level of transparency in policies and implementation during the UNDAF process. | * Fully ensure | * Moderately ensured | | | | | * Inadequately ensured | | | | | | | * Not ensured | | Describe |
|  | 2.4 What mechanisms were created throughout the implementation process to ensure participation? |  | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | Describe |
|  | 2.5 To what extent did the UNCT undertake appropriate risk analysis and mitigation measures to ensure attainment planned results or the one achieved are not lost? | * Fully adequate | * Moderately adequate | | | | | * Inadequately | | | | | | | * Totally inadequately | | Please describe |
|  | 2.6 To what degree has the UNDAF been operationalised, through projects and specific activities, during the implementation period? | * Fully operationalised | * Moderately operationalised | | | | | * Inadequately operationalised | | | | | | | * Not operationalised | | Please describe |
|  | 2.7 To what extent the UNCT contributed to, or is likely to contribute to, the outcomes defined in the UNDAF? | * Fully contributed | * Moderately contributed | | | | | * Contributed to some extent | | | | | | | * Not contributed | | Please describe |
|  | 2.8 Have there been any notable unintended results (positive or negative) and how have they affected national development? | Describe | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 3. Efficiency | 3.1 To which extent have outcomes been achieved with the appropriate amount of resources and maintenance of minimum transaction cost (funds, expertise, time, administrative costs, etc.)? | * Fully achieved with appropriate resources | | | * Somewhat achieved with appropriate resources | | | | * Not achieved | | | | | | | | Describe |
|  | 3.2 To what extent have funding become available to meet the planned outcomes as per UNDAF implementation plan? | * Fully | | | * Moderately | | | | * Inadequately | | | | * No at all | | | | Describe |
| 4. Sustainability | 4.1 To what extent are the benefits being, or are likely to be, maintained over time. Please rate the level of sustainability of the results achieved during the 2010-2015 UNDAF? | * Fully sustainable | | | * Moderately sustainable | | | | * Sustainable in some specific areas | | | | * Not sustainable | | | | Describe |
|  | 4.2 The which extent are the benefits from a development intervention likely to continue after the current UNDAF will have been completed in 2015? | * Very likely | | | * Somewhat likely | | | | | * Likely in some sectors/areas | | | * Not likely | | | | Describe |
|  | 4.2 To what degree have complementarities, collaboration and / or synergies fostered by UNDAF contributed to greater sustainability of results of Country Programmes and projects of individual UN agencies? | * Greatly contributed | | * Moderately contributed | | | | | | * Contributed to a limited extent | | | * Not at all | | | | Describe |
| 5. General | 5.1 What have been the lessons learned from the UNDAF 2010-2015 | Please list those you consider important for future implementation: | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 5.2. How can coordination and collaboration of UNCT be improved? | Please describe | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 5.3 How can cooperation and collaboration with national and local stakeholders be improved? | Please describe | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |