

Appendix 1. Evaluation Matrix

No.	Evaluation Criteria & Questions	Measure/Indicator	Main Sources of Information	Data Collection Methods	Data Analysis Methods	Evidence quality
Criteria: 1.Relevance, 2. Efficiency, 3. Effectiveness, 4. Impact, 5. Sustainability						
Key Evaluation Questions:						
	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Did the project address priority problems faced by the target districts and rural population and was the project consistent with policies of Tajik government? 2. Were inputs (staff, time, money, equipment) used in the best possible way to achieve outputs; could implementation have been improved/was there a better way of doing things? ^[SEP] 3. Whether activities, outputs and outcomes have been achieved? 4. What were the positive and negative changes produced by the LIRP Project interventions, directly or indirectly, intended or unintended? ^[SEP] 5. Will the benefits from the project continue after funding assistance has ceased? What is the probability of continued long-term benefits? 	<p>Level of satisfaction of stakeholders, clients and beneficiaries with the project deliverables and results</p> <p>Results achieved by project compared to set targets</p> <p>External risks that may affect project impact and sustainability</p>	<p>Primary Sources:</p> <p>Key informant interviews (KIIs): all key stakeholders both in Dushanbe and the target districts.</p> <p>Focus group discussions (FGDs) with the local authorities, representatives from business associations, community support groups, etc.</p> <p>Secondary Sources:</p> <p>Documentation including project document, quarterly and annual reports, mission reports and related memoranda.</p> <p>Additional materials from stakeholders –for example district development plans, statistical data, other relevant data / information.</p>	<p>Semi-structured interview protocols to gather qualitative information from respondents.</p> <p>Desk Research: review of project documentation and additional material provided by stakeholders.</p> <p>Field Visits to selected target districts / villages.</p>	<p>Gather and consolidate information: findings from the desk review and country-based data collection as well as the information from field visits to districts.</p> <p>Organize findings: Data that meet the defined criteria will be analyzed and grouped per evaluation criterion, question, type of deliverable.</p> <p>Analyze data: The evaluation team will analyze the responses received as a result of KII and FGD and provide findings, recommendations & conclusions.</p>	<p>After the aggregation of all relevant data is finalized, an appraisal of the quality of the information using the clearly defined criteria will be made, and conclusions will be drawn only from the data that meet the quality standards.</p>