FINDINGS AND CONCLUSIONS

The UNDP programmes were relevant to national development needs. They were also in alignment with UNDP’s organizational mandate, which stresses national ownership, capacity, and citizen’s voice in what it does while addressing sustainable human development.

In all programme areas, UNDP has achieved or is making progress in achieving their objectives as defined in its Common Country Programme Document. In the areas of poverty and environment, UNDP has contributed to strengthening of national institutions’ capacity to implement the EDPRS (e.g. through support to the Ministry of Finance and Economic Planning and National Institute of Statics of Rwanda to provide better poverty data and analyses); bringing youth and women employment and pro-poor agenda into the national

The evaluation examined the programme periods 2008-2012 and 2013-2018 with particular attention to the later, which reflected changes stemming from the country office’s change management process carried out at the end of the previous cycle. UNDP was expected to contribute to two areas of the UNDAP, i.e. inclusive economic transformation and accountable governance. UNDP had five specific programme outcomes to address them, including pro-poor orientation of the growth; sustainable urbanization; sustainable management of environment, natural resources, renewable energy and climate change resilience; improved public accountability and citizen participation; and promotion of human rights, justice and gender equality.

UNDP’s programme budget was $20 million at the start of the previous programme (2008) but declined to $9 million by 2016. The UN Fund was supported by a single donor at the time of the evaluation, limiting joint programme activities.

The UNDP programmes were relevant to national development needs. They were also in alignment with UNDP’s organizational mandate, which stresses national ownership, capacity, and citizen’s voice in what it does while addressing sustainable human development.

In all programme areas, UNDP has achieved or is making progress in achieving their objectives as defined in its

Common Country Programme Document. In the areas of poverty and environment, UNDP has contributed to strengthening of national institutions’ capacity to implement the EDPRS (e.g. through support to the Ministry of Finance and Economic Planning and National Institute of Statics of Rwanda to provide better poverty data and analyses); bringing youth and women employment and pro-poor agenda into the national
agenda (e.g. through programmes such as “Building an Inclusive Financial Sector in Rwanda,” which has enhanced financial access among the poor, and the YouthConnect initiative, which has brought together the Government, private sector, social media and ICT for improved job creation and entrepreneurship skill building among youth and women); and mainstreaming of environment, climate change and disaster risk management into sector policies and district development plans (e.g. the Green Village initiative).

In the governance area, UNDP contributed to media reforms for strengthened oversight for government accountability (including support to the Media High Council and the Rwanda Media Barometer); strengthened capacity of the Parliament, National Forum on Political Parties, National Election Commission and other public institutions to fulfill their mandate; supported civil society organizations through the Rwanda Governance Board for improved citizen participation in monitoring performance of public services and decision-making processes. UNDP also contributed to the reduction in the backlog of court cases stemming from the 1994 Genocide through the use of Gacaca courts and Abunzi, community-based court system and mediation mechanisms, and free legal services for the poor; strengthening of the Rwanda National Police’s community policing capacity; the Government’s ability to implement international human rights obligations; and strengthening of the country’s reconciliation and peace building processes through support to institutions such as the National Unity and Reconciliation Commission and the Rwanda Peace Academy.

Key factors contributing to the results included UNDP’s programme design that met Rwanda’s post-genocide, socioeconomic context, its issue-based approach to programming. The Government’s strong commitment to results and partnership with UNDP were critical, particularly for the governance related programmes. There was a full integration of Rwanda’s various “home-grown solutions” in UNDP’s projects, e.g. Umuganda (community work); Imihigo (performance contract); and Ubudehe (mutual support), which helped the results to take roots. At the same time, the reality that UNDP has to continue to rely on declining donor funds and a weak monitoring system (e.g. monitoring of volunteer-based mechanisms and the work of sub-national project activities) were among those factors preventing UNDP from maximizing its results.

Together with other UN agencies, e.g. UN Women, UNDP has strengthened Rwanda’s gender architecture through a series of concerted efforts (e.g. capacity support to the institutions comprising the National Gender Machinery and instituting the Gender Budget Statement in all ministries and sectors; establishment of a robust gender policy at the National Police addressing Gender-Based Violence). The country office obtained the gold status in UNDP’s corporate gender certification exercise, creating an environment promoting gender equality in its programme and operational activities. UNDP’s ability to establish effective and strategic partnerships with relevant partners was mixed, e.g. its engagement with some UN agencies was successful, while with others there were challenges in inter-agency coordination under joint programmes. UNDP’s engagement with CSOs was limited and indirect, and some donors were not fully onboard with UNDP’s strategy, citing a difference in approaches.

RECOMMENDATIONS
As the country moves quickly from the post-genocide response phase towards becoming the middle-income country status, UNDP should

• Ensure close engagement in the formulation of the next UNDP to develop a country programme strategy that is in full alignment with the new national strategy for transformation.
• Place a greater focus in its programme work on producing and contributing to evidence- and research-based work for policy advisory services, bringing options and tested-ideas to the table.
• Explore a partnership strategy that will include options for mobilizing both financial and staffing resources and will ensure UNDP’s more robust engagements with donors and its visibility.
• Continue to focus on human development centred-approach in its programmes, particularly for advancing on the implementation of the SDGs.
• Continue with the management-led, office-wide efforts to seek efficiency gains and promote programme effectiveness.
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