Implementation and Monitoring Stage Quality Assurance Report

cision:		
2.01011 .		
ject Number :	00074724	
pject Title :	Implementing the Strategic Action Programme for the Yellow Sea Large Marine Ecosystem: Restored Ecosystem Goods and Services and Consolidation of a Long-term Regional Environmental Governmental Govern	
oject Date :	11-Jul-2014	
rategic	Quality Rating:	
evelopment context	actively taking advantage of new opportunities, adapting its theory of change to respond to changes in the control of the change of new opportunities? (select the option from 1-3 that best reflects this project)	
changes in the deve has considered the i	team completed and documented a horizon scanning exercise in the past year to identify new opportunities and lopment context that require adjustments in the theory of change. There is clear evidence that the project board mplications, and documented changes to the project's theory of change, RRF, partnerships, etc. made in priate. (both must be true to select this option)	
development contex	team has undertaken some horizon scanning in the past year to identify new opportunities and changes in the tr. The project board discussed the scanning and its implications for the project, as reflected in the board minutes ence that the project took action as a result, but changes may not have been fully integrated in the project's theoretnerships, etc.	
began, but this has i	team may have considered new opportunities and changes in the development context since implementation not been discussed in the project board. There is limited to no evidence that the project team has considered ect as a result. This option would also be selected if no horizon scanning has been done to date during project	
Evidence		
Limited updates for	new opportunities in APR.	
. Is the project align	ed with the thematic focus of the UNDP Strategic Plan? (select the option from 1-3 that best reflects the	
3: The project one of the proposed	responds to one of the three areas of development <u>work</u> as specified in the Strategic Plan; it addresses at least new and emerging <u>areas</u> ; implementation is consistent with the issues-based analysis incorporated into the the project's RRF includes all the relevant SP output indicators. (all must be true to select this option)	
3: The project rone of the proposed project design; and to 2: The project r	new and emerging <u>areas</u> ; implementation is consistent with the issues-based analysis incorporated into the	
3: The project one of the proposed project design; and the project of includes at least one of the project of t	new and emerging <u>areas</u> ; implementation is consistent with the issues-based analysis incorporated into the the project's RRF includes all the relevant SP output indicators. (all must be true to select this option) responds to one of the three areas of development <u>work</u> as specified in the Strategic Plan. The project's RRF e SP output indicator, if relevant. (both must be true to select this option) oject may respond to one of the three areas of development <u>work</u> as specified in the Strategic Plan, it is based of	
3: The project one of the proposed project design; and the project of includes at least one of the project of t	new and emerging <u>areas</u> ; implementation is consistent with the issues-based analysis incorporated into the the project's RRF includes all the relevant SP output indicators. (all must be true to select this option) responds to one of the three areas of development <u>work</u> as specified in the Strategic Plan. The project's RRF e SP output indicator, if relevant. (both must be true to select this option) oject may respond to one of the three areas of development <u>work</u> as specified in the Strategic Plan, it is based of without addressing the complexity of the development issue. None of the relevant SP indicators are included in	

3/19/2018	Implementation and Monitoring Stage Quality Assurance Report
Yes	
O No	
Evidence	
UNDAF Outcome 1	
elevant	Quality Rating:
	g systematically engaged, with a priority focus on the excluded and marginalized, to hem? (select the option from 1-3 that best reflects the project)
a priority focus on the excluded and marg are active members of the project's gove	ack has been collected over the past year from a representative sample of beneficiaries, with ginalized, as part of the project's monitoring system. Representatives from the targeted groups mance mechanism (i.e., the project board or equivalent) and there is credible evidence that taking. (all must be true to select this option)
Beneficiary feedback, which may be anec	ged in implementation and monitoring, with a priority focus on the excluded and marginalized. cdotal, has been collected over the past year to ensure the project is addressing local If to inform project decision making. (all must be true to select this option)
	have been collected over the past year, but this information has not been used to inform so selected if no beneficiary feedback has been collected.
Not Applicable	
Evidence	Management Response
Limited udpates about beneficiaries or ta	arget groups in APR.
nowledge informed management decision	particularly lessons learned (i.e., what has worked and what has not) – and has this ions and changes/course corrections to ensure the continued relevance of the project of its outputs and the management of risk? (select the option from 1-3 that best
Workshops) by credible evidence from exproject board meetings and reflected in the	backed (gained, for example, from Peer Assists, After Action Reviews or Lessons Learned valuation, corporate policies/strategies, analysis and monitoring have been discussed in the minutes. There is clear evidence that the project's theory of change has been adjusted, as project to ensure its continued relevance. (both must be true to select this option)
	backed by relatively limited evidence, drawn mainly from within the project, have been some evidence that changes were made to the project as a result to ensure its continued is option)
1: There is limited or no evidence the no evidence that this has informed project.	at knowledge and lessons learned have been collected by the project team. There is little or et decision making.
Evidence	Management Response
Not much udpates in this regard.	

6. Are the project's measures (through outputs, activities, indicators) to address gender inequalities and empower women relevant and producing the intended effect? If not, evidence-based adjustments and changes have been made. (select the option from 1-3 that best reflects the project)

3: The project team has systematically gather to address gender inequalities and empowering was as appropriate. (both must be true to select this operation)	ered data and evidence through project monitoring on the relevance of the measures omen. Analysis of data and evidence were used to inform adjustments and changes, otion)
	ence on the relevance of the measures to address gender inequalities and est some adjustments were made, as appropriate. (both must be true to select this
	ce on the relevance of measures to address gender inequalities and empowering ges being made. This option should also be selected if the project has no measures omen relevant to the project results and activities.
Evidence	Management Response
GEN 1 in Atlas.	
change? (select the option from 1-3 that best ref	expotential to scale up in the future, to meaningfully contribute to development lects the project) is reaching a sufficient number of beneficiaries (either directly through significant
	blicy change) to meaningfully contribute to development change.
 2: While the project is currently not at scale, its coverage or using project results to advocate for 	there are explicit plans in place to scale up the project in the future (e.g. by extending or policy change).
1: The project is not at scale, and there are r	no plans currently to scale up the project in the future.
Evidence	
Please find in PD 2.8 Sustainability	
Social & Environmental Standards	Quality Rating:
B. Does the project seek to further the realization 1-3 that best reflects this project)	of human rights using a human rights based approach? (select from options
	the realization of human rights, on the basis on applying a human rights based ment of human rights are actively identified, managed and mitigated through the select this option)
	e realization of human rights. Potential adverse impacts on the enjoyment of human itigated through the project's management of risks.
1: No evidence that the project aims to furthe impacts on enjoyment of human rights are manag	er the realization of human rights. Limited or no evidence that potential adverse ed.
Evidence	Management Response
N/A	
9. Are social and environmental impacts and risk successfully managed and monitored in accordano social or environmental risks the answer is "	cs (including those related to human rights, gender and environment) being ince with project document and relevant action plans? (for projects that have fes")

3/19/2018	Implementation and Monitoring Stage Quality Assurance Report	
O No		
Evidence		
10. Are unanticipated social and env	rironmental issues or grievances that arise during implementation assessed and adequately	
managed, with relevant managemen environmental risks or grievances the	It plans updated? (for projects that have not experienced unanticipated social and ne answer is "Yes")	
Yes		
O No		
Evidence		
Management & Monitoring	Quality Rating:	
11. Is the project's M&E Plan being a	adequately implemented? (select the option from 1-3 that best reflects the project)	
3. The project has a comprehe	nsive and costed M&E plan. Baselines, targets and milestones are fully populated. Progress data	
against indicators in the project's RF	RF is being reported regularly using credible data sources and collected according to the frequency	
stated in the Plan, including sex disa evaluation standards, including geno	riggregated data as relevant. Any evaluations conducted, if relevant, fully meet decentralized der UNEG standards. Lessons learned, including during evaluations and/or After Action Reviews, when necessary. (all must be true to select this option)	
	E Plan, and most baselines and targets are populated. Progress data against indicators in the	
data sources are not always reliable	ar basis, although there may be some slippage in following the frequency stated in the Plan and . Any evaluations conducted, if relevant, meet most decentralized evaluation standards. Lessons v not have been used to take corrective actions yet. (all must be true to select this option)	
1: The project has an M&E Plan	n, but costs are not clearly planned and budgeted for, or are unrealistic. Progress data is not being	
regularly collected against the indica learned are rarely captured and used	tors in the project's RRF. Evaluations may not meet decentralized evaluation standards. Lessons d. Select this option also if the project does not have an M&E plan.	
Evidence	Management Response	
Please see in M&E in APR.		
12. Is project's governance mechani 3 that best reflects the project)	sm (i.e., the project board or equivalent) functioning as intended? (select the option from 1-	
2: The project's governance me	ochaniam is apparating well, and is a model for other projects. It has mot in the agreed frequency	
	echanism is operating well, and is a model for other projects. It has met in the agreed frequency he minutes of the meetings are on file. There is regular (at least annual) progress reporting to the	
project board or equivalent on result	s, risks and opportunities. It is clear that the project board explicitly reviews and uses evidence, lessons and evaluations, as the basis for informing management decisions (e.g., change in	
	echanism has met in the agreed frequency and the minutes of the meeting are on file. A project to the project board or equivalent at least once in the past year, covering results, risks and	
opportunities. (both must be true to		

1: The project's governance mechanism has not met in the frequency stated in the project document over the past year and/or

the project board or equivalent is not functioning as a decision making body for the project as intended.

Evidence	Management Response	
2nd meetings of MSTP and ICC were organised.		
3. Are risks to the project adequately mo	nitored and managed? (select the option from 1-3 that best reflects the project)	
to identify continuing and emerging risks to evidence that relevant management plans	risks every quarter including consulting with key stakeholders at least once in the past year project implementation and to assess if the main assumptions remain valid. There is clear and mitigating measures are being fully implemented to address each key project risk, and assessment. (all must be true to select this option)	
2: The project has monitored risks every quarter, as evidenced by an updated risk log. Some updates have been made to management plans and mitigation measures.		
	every quarter as required. There may be some evidence that the project has monitored ent of results, but there is no explicit evidence that management actions have been taken	
Evidence	Management Response	
Please see Risk Log Status Update in AP	٦.	
icient Adequate resources have been mobilize Expected results in the project's results from	Quality Rating: ted to achieve intended results. If not, management decisions were taken to adjust amework.	
. Adequate resources have been mobiliz	red to achieve intended results. If not, management decisions were taken to adjust	
Adequate resources have been mobilized pected results in the project's results from Yes	red to achieve intended results. If not, management decisions were taken to adjust	
Adequate resources have been mobilize pected results in the project's results from Yes No	red to achieve intended results. If not, management decisions were taken to adjust	
Adequate resources have been mobilize pected results in the project's results from Yes No Evidence	red to achieve intended results. If not, management decisions were taken to adjust	
Adequate resources have been mobilize pected results in the project's results from Yes No Evidence Are project inputs procured and deliver flects the project) 3: The project has an updated procure	ted to achieve intended results. If not, management decisions were taken to adjust amework.	
Adequate resources have been mobilize pected results in the project's results from the project's results from the project's results from the project of the	red to achieve intended results. If not, management decisions were taken to adjust amework. red on time to efficiently contribute to results? (select the option from 1-3 that best ement plan. Implementation of the plan is on or ahead of schedule. The project quarterly	
Adequate resources have been mobilize pected results in the project's results from the project's results from the project's results from the project of the project of the project of the project of the project has an updated procure reviews operational bottlenecks to procuring (all must be true to select this option) 2: The project has an updated procure timely manner and addresses them throughtout the project does not have an updated production to the project does not have an updated production the proje	red to achieve intended results. If not, management decisions were taken to adjust amework. red on time to efficiently contribute to results? (select the option from 1-3 that best ement plan. Implementation of the plan is on or ahead of schedule. The project quarterly g inputs in a timely manner and addresses them through appropriate management actions.	
Adequate resources have been mobilize pected results in the project's results from the project's results from the project's results from the project of the project of the project of the project of the project has an updated procure reviews operational bottlenecks to procuring (all must be true to select this option) 2: The project has an updated procure timely manner and addresses them throughtout the project does not have an updated production to the project does not have an updated production the proje	red to achieve intended results. If not, management decisions were taken to adjust amework. red on time to efficiently contribute to results? (select the option from 1-3 that best ement plan. Implementation of the plan is on or ahead of schedule. The project quarterly g inputs in a timely manner and addresses them through appropriate management actions. rement plan. The project annually reviews operational bottlenecks to procuring inputs in a mappropriate management actions. (all must be true to select this option) ted procurement plan. The project may or may not have reviewed operational bottlenecks to	

Please find in workplans.

16. Is there regular monitoring and recording of cost efficiencies taking into account the expected quality of results? (select the project)						
 3: There is evidence that the project regularly reviews costs against relevant comparators (e.g., other projects or country office or industry benchmarks to ensure the project maximizes results that can be delivered with given resources. The project actively coordinates with other relevant ongoing projects and initiatives (UNDP or other) to ensure complementarity and seek efficiencies wherever possible (e.g. joint activities.) (both must be true to select this option) 2: The project monitors its own costs and gives anecdotal examples of cost efficiencies (e.g., spending less to get the same result,) but there is no systematic analysis of costs and no link to the expected quality of results delivered. The project coordinates activities with other projects to achieve cost efficiency gains. 1: There is little or no evidence that the project monitors its own costs and is considering ways to save money beyond following standard procurement rules. 						
					Evidence	
					Please see cost-efectiveness in PD.	
ffective	Quality Rating:					
YesNoEvidence						
The project is preparing for extension.						
	the work plan to ensure that the project is on track to achieve the desired results, and to select the option from 1-3 that best reflects the project)					
most likely to achieve the desired result	ormed regular reviews of the project work plan to ensure that the activities implemented are ts. There is evidence that data and lessons learned (including from evaluations and/or After orm course corrections, as needed. Any necessary budget revisions have been made. (both					
	view of the work plan during the year to assess if project activities are on track to achieving the uts.) There may or may not be evidence that data or lessons learned has been used to inform evisions have been made.					
	we reviewed the work plan at least once over the past year to ensure outputs are delivered on very of desired development results. Select this option also if no review of the work plan by past year.					
Evidence	Management Response					

19. Are targeted groups being systematically identified and engaged, prioritizing the marginalized and excluded, to ensure results are achieved as expected? (select the option from 1-3 that best reflects the project)

3: The project is targeting specific groups and/or geographic areas, identified by using credible data sources on their capacity needs, deprivation and/or exclusion from development opportunities relevant to the project's area of work. There is clear evidence the targeted groups are being reached as intended. The project has engaged regularly with targeted groups over the past year to assess whether they are benefiting as expected and adjustments were made if necessary to refine targeting. (all must be true to select this option)				
2: The project is targeting specific groups and/or geographic areas, based on some evidence of their capacity needs, deprivation and/or exclusion from development opportunities relevant to the project's area of work. Some evidence is provided to confirm that project beneficiaries are members of the targeted groups. There has been some engagement with beneficiaries in the past year to assess whether they are benefiting as expected. (all must be true to select this option)				
populations have capacity needs or are deprived	rgeted groups. There is no evidence to confirm that project beneficiaries are and/or excluded from development opportunities relevant to the project area of work. Preficiaries to assess whether they are benefiting as expected, but it has been limited			
Not Applicable				
Evidence				
20. Are at least 40 per cent of the personnel hire	d by the project, regardless of contract type, female?			
Yes				
O No				
Evidence				
Sustainability & National Ownership	Quality Rating:			
21. Are stakeholders and national partners fully (select the option from 1-3 that best reflects the	engaged in the decision-making, implementation and monitoring of the project? project)			
	monitoring, evaluation, etc.) are used to fully implement and monitor the project. All actively engaged in the process, playing a lead role in project decision-making, le to select this option)			
office support or project systems) to implement a	itoring, evaluation, etc.) are used in combination with other support (such as country nd monitor the project, as necessary. All relevant stakeholders and partners are fully active role in project decision-making, implementation and monitoring. (both must be			
 1: There is relatively limited or no engagement and/or monitoring of the project. 	ent with national stakeholders and partners in the decision-making, implementation			
O Not Applicable				
Evidence	Management Response			
The unwillingness of stakeholders are reducing a APR.	according to			
7 0 1 0				

22. There is regular monitoring of changes in capacities and per project. The implementation <u>arrangements</u> have been adjusted a rom 1-3 that best reflects the project)	formance of national institutions and systems relevant to the according to changes in partner capacities. (select the option			
3: In the past year, changes in capacities and performance of national institutions and systems have been comprehensively assessed/monitored using clear indicators, rigorous methods of data collection and credible data sources including HACT assurance activities. Implementation arrangements have been formally reviewed and adjusted, if needed, in agreement with partners according to changes in partner capacities. (both must be true to select this option)				
2: In the past year, aspects of changes in capacities and performance of relevant national institutions and systems have been monitored by the project using indicators and reasonably credible data sources including HACT assurance activities. Some adjustment has been made to implementation arrangements if needed to reflect changes in partner capacities. (both must be true to select this option)				
1: Some aspects of changes in capacities and performance of relevant national institutions and systems may have been monitored by the project, however changes to implementation arrangements have not been considered. Also select this option if changes in capacities and performance of relevant national institutions and systems have not been monitored by the project.				
Not Applicable				
Evidence	Management Response			
Please see Sustainability in PD.				
3. The transition and phase-out arrangements are reviewed regularly and adjusted according to progress (including financial ommitments and capacity). (select the option from 1-3 that best reflects the project) 3: The project's governance mechanism has reviewed the project's sustainability plan in the past year, including arrangements for transition and phase-out, to ensure the project is on track in meeting the requirements set out by the plan. The plan has been adjusted according to progress as needed. (both must be true to select this option) 2: There has been a review of the project's sustainability plan, including arrangements for transition and phase-out, to ensure the				
project is on track in meeting the requirements set out by the plan. 1: The project may have a sustainability plan, but there has not been a review of this strategy since it was developed. Also select				
this option if the project does not have a sustainability strategy.				
Evidence	Management Response			
Please see Sustainability in PD.				

QA Summary/Project Board Comments: