**Disagreement from the Implementing Partner on the Terminal Evaluation Report**

Project: 3Rio project, Cambodia

The project Implementing Partner (IP) **neither satisfied nor agreed with the** **overall rating of the result of the project evaluation** as the **assessment of the Final Project Evaluation is bias and misuse of revised work plan which was revised based on the recommendation of the project mid-term review and approved by the Project Board.**

* **Output 2.1 and its two indicators** had been adjusted as recommended by the Project Mid Term Review (MTR), which was conducted in 2017 (*As shown in the Table below*) and project work plan had been revised and endorsed by the 4th meeting of Project Board (Steering Committee). In this revised and approved work plan, the “*Innovative tools piloted for decision-making using the economic valuation of the use of natural resources” activity has been removed* and it’s no longer to be implemented.
* The Final Evaluator did not take into account the adjustment in the Output 2.1 and its two indicators. The evaluation left out the progress made by the project toward the new output 2.1. The evaluation was done based on the original output 2.1, with no-progress made of course, as the output was no longer existed.

Table comparing the adjustment of Output 2.1:

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Document Source** | **Output 2.1 Description** | **Indicators** |
| Output 2.1 and its indicators as extracted from Original log Frame (pro doc) – used by Terminal Evaluator  (page 83 and 84 of the Final Evaluation Report) | Output 2.1: Innovative tools piloted for decision-making using the economic valuation of the use of natural resources. | Model to implement environmental economic valuation in Cambodia.  Use of environmental economic valuation, impact assessments and scenarios and projections in environmental decision-making |
| Output 2.1 and its indicators as extracted from Adjusted Log Frame in the Mid Term Review Report  (MTR report page 22) | Output 2.1: Enhanced capacity in using tools for decision- and policy-making | Number of individuals and institutions using the new CHM and participating in the implementation of the other Rio Conventions when they belong institutionally to one of the three conventions |