# TERMS OF REFERENCE (TOR)

**CONSULTANCY FOR END-OF-THE PROJECT EVALUATION OF THE LESOTHO NATIONAL DIALOGUE AND STABILIZATION PROJECT (LNDSP)**

**Location:** Maseru and Home based  
**Application Deadline:** 11 - November-19 (Midnight New York, USA)  
**Type of Contract:** Individual Contract  
**Post Level:** International Consultant  
**Languages Required:** English  
**Starting Date:** 01- December -2019  
**Duration of Initial Contract:** Up to 20 days  
**Expected Duration of Assignment:** 1 December 2019 – 31 January 2020

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>PROJECT/OUTCOME INFORMATION</strong></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Project/outcome title</strong></td>
<td>National Dialogue and Stabilization Project to Catalyse Comprehensive National Reforms in Lesotho (LNDSP)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Atlas ID</strong></td>
<td>00112082</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Corporate outcome and output</strong></td>
<td>A more united Lesotho with a commitment to implement political reforms aimed at addressing the causes of recurrent crises and building sustainable peace and stability in the country.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Country</strong></td>
<td>Lesotho</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Region</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Date project document signed</strong></td>
<td>4th June 2018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Project dates</strong></td>
<td>Start 4th June 2018 Planned end 4th December 2019</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Project budget</strong></td>
<td>$ 2,000,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Project expenditure at the time of evaluation</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Funding source</strong></td>
<td>Un Peacebuilding Fund</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Implementing party</strong>¹</td>
<td>UNDP Lesotho</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

¹ It is the entity that has overall responsibility for implementation of the project (award), effective use of resources and delivery of outputs in the signed project document and workplan.
1. Background and context

UNDP is the UN global development network, advocating for change and connecting countries to knowledge, experience and resources to help people build a better life. It works with individual countries on their own solutions to global and national development challenges. UNDP has recently initiated a new Country Programme (2019-2023) anchored on the Lesotho National Strategic Development Plan (NSDP II), the UNDAF (2019–2023) and guided by the UNDP Strategic Plan (2018–2021). The Programme comprises three pillars: (i) Governance, Accountability, Social Cohesion and Stability; (ii) Sustainable and Inclusive Economic Growth and (iii) Environmental Sustainability, Climate Change and Resilience. UNDP Lesotho supported the Government of Lesotho in the implementation of the Lesotho National Dialogue and Stabilization Project as part of its Country Programme, Outcome 1: By 2023, government and nongovernmental institutions deliver their mandates and uphold good governance, rule of law and human rights, with all people having improved access to justice and participating in social and political decision-making processes in a peaceful environment.

UNDP Lesotho, in partnership with the Government of Lesotho and the Southern African Development Community (SADC) recently secured funding from the UN Peacebuilding Fund (PBF) to implement the Lesotho National Dialogue and Stabilisation Project (LNDS). The LNDS is a response to Lesotho’s cyclic political instability and a first step towards the implementation of comprehensive national reforms aimed at long-term stability and sustained peace and development. The support by the PBF through its Immediate Response Facility (IRF) enables UNDP and other UN agencies, specifically, UN Women, the United Nations Department of Political and Peacebuilding Affairs (DPPA) and the United Nations Office of the High Commissioner on Human Rights (UN OHCHR), the Government, SADC and non-governmental groups - Lesotho Council of NGOs (LCN) and the Christian Council of Lesotho (CCL) to work collaboratively to catalyse positive change.

In this regard, LNDS aims at creating a conducive environment, unity and commitment among Basotho to implement political reforms that address the causes of recurrent crises and build sustainable peace and stability. Specifically, the project seeks to deliver three key outcomes:

- By 2019, there is a national agreement on the content and processes of comprehensive political reforms and national reconciliation in Lesotho with increased public and donor support;
- By 2019, tensions and divisions within and amongst security services are reduced thereby enhancing the participation of the sector in the national dialogue and reform processes and enhancing public trust;
- By 2019, a more informed Lesotho constituency is actively participating in political reforms and reconciliation efforts due to greater access to timely and accurate information on the national dialogue and reform processes.

The LNDS provides a unique opportunity for UNDP to go beyond its regular programming to support the Government and collaborate with SADC and civil society groups to tackle and mitigate risks by, foremost, initiating a national political dialogue that will bring all stakeholders together to agree on the reform content and processes of the national reforms. The first step was a national consensus building and a broad-based, inclusive and participatory national dialogue process to generate political and societal consensus on the reforms content for future stability, reconciliation and peaceful co-existence in Lesotho.

Secondly, the project also prepared the security sector for a constructive engagement in the national dialogue and reforms, through analysis and assessment of the security sector policy environment. As part of interim stabilisation and human rights mainstreaming measures in the security sector, the Project supported capacity building interventions geared towards professionalising the security sector and enhancing inter-agency coordination including formulating a national security sector strategy and policy.
Thirdly, the project supported the development and operationalisation of a coherent and professional communication strategy to ensure that stakeholders have the correct information, enhance participation and reduce anxiety among those who may feel targeted by the reforms. Communication training was provided to existing intra-government communication teams, key stakeholders involved in the national dialogue and reforms process, and local media to ensure a comprehensive operationalisation and roll out of the communication strategy.

UNDP will commission an end of project evaluation for the Lesotho National Dialogue and Stabilization project to facilitate accountability for results and resources invested in the project. The evaluation will also assess the partnerships across agencies, global, regional, and local environment and comparative value and positioning of UNDP. UNDP is thus soliciting for Expression of Interest from individual consultants to undertake an end of project evaluation.

2. Evaluation purpose, scope and objectives

Purpose
This evaluation is undertaken as part of the UNDP program management requirements to assess the extent to which the Lesotho Dialogue and Stabilisation Project has met its objectives, to provide evidence of UNDP’s contribution towards outcome achievements, impact and role played and partnerships developed. Apart from UNDP, the results of this evaluation will be used by the Government of Lesotho, SADC, Development Partners, Civil Society Organisations, Political Parties, Faith-Based Organisations and other stakeholders.

Scope
The evaluation will be conducted from December 2019 to February 2020, covering the implementation phase of the project. The evaluation will cover the project implementation period from the 4th June 2018 to the 31st December 2019. It will also consider project results achieved through various project stakeholders and beneficiaries, and consider activities in different geographic locations, through effective sampling for evaluation. The evaluation will include achievements made by the following implementing agencies in the context of this project; namely; Government of Lesotho, SADC, LCN, CCL, UN DPPA, UN-Women and the UN OHCHR.

Objectives
The overall objective of the end of project evaluation, is to assess processes and achievements made with focus on the entire implementation period and draw lessons and apply them to possible follow-on assistance activities. The evaluation will detail lessons learnt that will apply in the next phase of the implementation of the reforms. Specifically, the evaluation will assist UNDP and Implementing Partners to:

- Establish the extent to which the planned and related objectives, outcomes and results of the LNDSP have been or are being achieved;
- The relevance, efficiency and effectiveness of the project in achieving its objectives, outcomes and results;
- Assess the factors affecting the project implementation, outputs and its sustainability, including contributing factors and constraints;
- Assess UNDP’s strategy used in making contribution to the outcome, including on the use of partnerships for implementation and programming arrangements;
- Examine the extent to which gender, human rights and other cross-cutting issues were considered in the project’s design, implementation and monitoring;
- Establish lessons from implementation of the project and;
- Make recommendations for improving the design, effectiveness, efficiency, sustainability and mainstreaming of gender and other cross-cutting issues in future programming.
3. Evaluation criteria and key guiding questions
The End of Project evaluation seeks to answer the following questions, focused around the evaluation criteria of relevance, effectiveness, efficiency and sustainability:

Relevance
- To what extent is LNDS’s engagement in governance and peacebuilding support a reflection of strategic considerations, including its role in the development context in Lesotho and its comparative advantage vis-a-vis other partners?
- To what extent has LNDS’s selected method of delivery been appropriate to the development context?
- To what extent has the implementation of the LNDS been influential in decisions for national dialogues and Peacebuilding, national policies on legal reforms and human rights protection?
- To what extent were considerations for gender equality and women’s empowerment integrated in the design of the project?
- To what extent has gender been addressed in the design, implementation and monitoring of LNDS? Is gender marker data assigned to projects representative of reality?

Effectiveness
- What evidence is there that LNDS support has contributed towards an improvement in national government capacity on dialogue and stabilisation?
- To what extent has LNDS promoted positive changes in gender equality? Were there any unintended effects?
- Has LNDS been effective in helping establish mechanisms for comprehensive political reforms and national reconciliation at national and local levels? Do these local results aggregate into nationally significant results?
- Has LNDS worked effectively with other UN Agencies and other international and national delivery partners to deliver project objectives?
- How effective has LNDS been in partnering with different stakeholder constituencies, including civil society and the private sector, media, political parties to promote effective and active participation in the reforms and reconciliation efforts in Lesotho?
- Has LNDS utilized innovative techniques and best practices in its programming?
- Extent to which UNDP is perceived by stakeholders as a strong advocate for improving government effectiveness and integrity in Lesotho through implementation of LNDS?
- Considering the technical capacity and institutional arrangements of the LNDS, did the project provide adequate basis for national dialogue, reforms and national reconciliation process in Lesotho?
- What contributing factors and impediments enhance or impede LNDS performance in this area?

Efficiency
- Are LNDS approaches, resources, models, conceptual framework relevant to achieve the planned outcome? Are they sufficiently sensitive to the political and development constraints of the country (political stability, post crisis situations, etc.)?
- Has LNDS’s strategy and execution in these 3 areas been efficient and cost effective?
- Has there been an economical use of financial and human resources?
- Are the monitoring and evaluation systems that LNDS has in place helping to ensure that programmes are managed efficiently and effectively?
- Were alternative approaches considered in designing the Projects?

Sustainability
- What is the likelihood that the interventions undertaken through the LNDS for national reforms and reconciliation agenda are sustainable?
- What mechanisms have been set in place by LNDS to support the government of Lesotho to sustain improvements made through these interventions?
• How should the project results be utilised to enhance stakeholder engagement and potential to a more united Lesotho with a commitment to implement political reforms? project stakeholders What changes should be made in the current set of partnerships in order to promote long term sustainability?

Partnership strategy
• Has the partnership strategy adopted for the LNDSP been appropriate and effective?
• Are there current or potential complementarities or overlaps with existing national partners’ programmes?
• How have partnerships affected the progress towards achieving the project outputs
• Has LNDSP worked effectively with other international delivery partners to deliver on project outputs and initiatives?
• How effective has LNDSP been in partnering with civil society, media, the private sector and other national stakeholders to promote dialogue and reconciliation?

Based on the above analysis, the evaluators are expected to provide overarching conclusions on the implementation of the LNDSP, its results, as well as recommendations on how the UNDP could adjust its programming, partnership arrangements, resource mobilization strategies, and capacities in this area to ensure that it is positioned for sustainable results in the future. The evaluation is additionally expected to offer wider lessons for UNDP support on the governance and peacebuilding portfolio in Lesotho and elsewhere.

4. Methodology

The evaluation is expected to review and validate the project’s “theory of change” (TOC) to provide a contextual framework for examining relevant elements of the project including the causal links between interventions and the components of the Project. Evidence obtained and used to assess the results of the Project support should be triangulated from a variety of sources, including verifiable data on indicator achievement, existing reports, reviews and technical papers, stakeholder interviews, focus groups, surveys and site visits.

The Consultant should also adopt methodological approaches likely to yield most reliable and valid feedback to the evaluation questions, scope of the assignment, and gender analysis. The evaluation team should take into consideration both qualitative and quantitative approaches, encompassing all or some of the following:

Desk review of relevant documents, including the following:
• Project document (contribution agreement).
• Theory of change and results framework.
• Programme and Project quality assurance reports.
• Annual workplans.
• Activity designs/concept notes.
• Consolidated quarterly and annual reports.
• Results-oriented monitoring report.
• Highlights of project board meetings.
• Technical/financial monitoring reports

Data Collection and Analysis
• Evaluation questions around relevance, effectiveness, efficiency, sustainability and design for different stakeholders to be interviewed.
• Key informant and focus group discussions with beneficiaries and stakeholders. All interviews should be undertaken in full confidence and anonymity, without assigning specific comments to individuals.
• Semi-structured interviews with key stakeholders including key government counterparts, UN agencies, Donor Community, SADC and representatives of key civil society organizations,
• Discussions with senior management, Project team and Project staff. The evaluator is expected to follow a participatory and consultative approach that ensures close engagement with the evaluation managers, implementing partners and direct beneficiaries.
• Field visits to selected Ministries, Departments and Agencies
• Data analysis methods and software (where necessary).

The final methodological approach including interview schedule, field visits and data to be used in the evaluation should be clearly outlined in the inception report and be fully discussed and agreed between the UNDP and the evaluation team.

5. Evaluation products (deliveryables)

The Consultant will be expected to deliver the following:

• Inception report (10 – 15 pages).

One week after contract signing, the Consultant should produce an inception report, considering the following:

• The inception report is expected to outline the evaluators' understanding of the assignment, how each of the evaluation questions will be answered, proposed methodologies for analysis and data collection, as well as proposed data sources.
• The inception report should also include the evaluation matrix to summarizes evaluation criteria and process, indicators/success standards, and methods for data analysis as well as an outline of anticipated risks and management plan.
• The report should detail the specific timing for evaluation activities and deliverables and propose specific site visits and stakeholders to be interviewed. Protocols for engaging different stakeholders should be developed.
• The inception report should be discussed and agreed with the UNDP office and the evaluation team before the evaluators proceed with site visits.
• The evaluators should also propose in the inception report a rating scale to assess the evaluation criteria and to standardize assessments.

• Draft evaluation report

Produce a draft evaluation report consistent with the evaluation Terms of Reference and Inception Report,
• A preliminary debriefing shall be required by UNDP Management prior to finalisation of draft report.
• The draft report shall be reviewed by implementing partners, Project management team and the Evaluation Advisory Committee to ensure that the evaluation meets the required quality criteria and standards, and purpose and objectives are fulfilled.
• Feedback received from these sessions should be considered when preparing the final report. The evaluators will produce an 'audit trail' indicating whether and how each comment received was addressed in revisions to the final report.

• Presentation to stakeholders.

Presentation of a draft evaluation report to key stakeholders, (partners and beneficiaries). A debriefing report, presentation and list of partners and stakeholders who participated/contributed to the evaluation will be submitted to the Evaluation Manager.

• Final evaluation report (maximum 50 pages, excluding annexes).

Prepare the final report, taking into consideration all comments and inputs made by the implementing partners, and the Evaluation Advisory Committee to formulate the final evaluation report. The Report format shall follow the UNDP Evaluation Guidelines suggested table of contents for reports.
6. Evaluation team composition and required competencies

General: It is proposed that the evaluation is undertaken by One International Consultant. The evaluator shall be experts in evaluating development programmes and projects with specific emphasis on governance and peacebuilding sub-sector. They should not have been associated with the formulation and engaged in the implementation of the project.

The International Consultant will be responsible for overall production of reports at all stages of the evaluation process; data collection, analysis of the stakeholder feedback, quality and timely submission of the evaluation reports to UNDP. The consultant should have experience in programme design and development, results-based evaluation based on feasible and substantive methodological approach maximised on data collection, collation; synthesis and stakeholder engagement.

Education: Advanced University Degree in Monitoring and Evaluation or: Governance; Social Sciences; Project Management or other related fields.

Experience:
- At least 10 years of experience in programme evaluations and proven accomplishments in undertaking evaluations for international organizations;
- At least 7 years of solid experience in democratic governance and peacebuilding programming and evaluation, Development Management, Capacity Development, Partnerships and gender; local development and RBM;
- Consultant should have sound knowledge and understanding of democratic governance and local development in Lesotho; and
- Proven experience and expertise in conducting program or projects evaluations.
- Further experience working with international organizations and evaluating UNDP programmes and projects is an asset.
- Some knowledge of the Lesotho political context will be an added advantage.

Language: Fluency in spoken and written English with good report writing skills. Samples of previous written work may be required.

7. Evaluation ethics

Consultants must sign the Ethical Code of Conduct for UNDP Evaluations and must be free and clear of perceived conflicts of interest. According to this, “The consultant must safeguard the rights and confidentiality of information providers, interviewees and stakeholders through measures to ensure compliance with legal and other relevant codes governing collection of data and reporting on data. The consultant must also ensure security of collected information before and after the evaluation and protocols to ensure anonymity and confidentiality of sources of information where that is expected. The information knowledge and data gathered in the evaluation process must also be solely used for the evaluation and not for other uses with the express authorization of UNDP and partners.”

Interested consultants will not be considered if they were directly and substantively involved, as an employee or consultant, in the formulation of UNDP strategies and programming relating to the LNDS project under review. The code of conduct and an agreement form to be signed by each consultant.
8. **Implementation arrangements**

- **Evaluation Manager:** The Consultant will be engaged by UNDP and becomes directly responsible to UNDP Country Office (CO). UNDP CO management is ultimately responsible and accountable for the quality of the evaluation process and products under the leadership of the UNDP Deputy Resident Representative and direct supervision of the LNDSP Manager. The LNDSP Manager will be the focal point for the assignment and shall be responsible for engaging and debriefing the evaluation team, reviewing the inception report and ensuring compliance to the UNDP ethics and code of conduct for outcome evaluations.

- The UNDP CO will select the evaluator through an open process and will be responsible for the management of the evaluator. The CO Management supported by the LNDSP Manager will take responsibility for the approval of the final evaluation report. The Monitoring, Reporting and Evaluation Officer, in consultation with the Project Manager, will arrange introductory meetings within the CO and with partners, avail relevant documentation, and arranging visits/interviews with key informants. The Consultant, with help from Project Management Team, will take responsibility for setting up meetings and conducting the evaluation, subject to advanced approval of the methodology submitted in the inception report. The CO management will develop a management response to the evaluation within two weeks of report finalization.

- **The Evaluation Advisory Group:** A five-member Evaluation Advisory Group comprising of key stakeholders from the Ministry of Development Planning, UNDP, selected ministries and development agencies, and a representative of UNDP partners will work closely with the evaluation manager. The advisory group will guide the evaluation by advising the manager on evaluation design and reviewing the TOR and reviewing the draft report to enhance its quality, credibility and utility. This group will review the inception report and the draft evaluation report to provide detail comments related to the quality of methodology, evidence collected, analysis and reporting. The group will also advise on the conformity of evaluation processes to the UNEG standards. The evaluation team is required to address all comments of the Panel completely and comprehensively. The Consultant will provide a detail rationale to the advisory panel for any comment that remain unaddressed.

- **Evaluation Team:** Will comprise of the Consultant, who did not work for UNDP or were not involved as national partners and were not involved in the design or implementation of the programme. S/He is responsible for producing the evaluation report.

- **The Quality Assurance Team:** The quality assurance team is external to the evaluation, consisting of the Regional Evaluation Advisors at the Regional Bureau and Regional Service Centre. They will critically review the documents and provide advice on the evaluation.

- The evaluation will use a system of ratings standardizing assessments proposed by the evaluators in the inception report. Performance rating will be carried out for the four evaluation criteria: relevance, effectiveness, efficiency and sustainability.

The CO will provide some logistical support during the evaluation, for instance assisting in setting interviews with senior government officials, and arrangement of travel to and from project sites. Planned travels and associated costs will be included in the Inception Report and agreed with the CO.
9. **Time frame for the evaluation process**

**Proposed Time Frame:** The evaluation is expected to start in December 2019 to February 2020 for an estimated duration of 30 working days in three phases. This will include desk reviews, field work - interviews, and report writing. The following table provides an indicative breakout for activities and delivery timelines:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ACTIVITY</th>
<th>ESTIMATED # OF DAYS</th>
<th>DATE OF COMPLETION</th>
<th>PLACE</th>
<th>RESPONSIBLE PARTY</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Phase One: Desk review and inception report</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Meeting briefing with UNDP (programme managers and</td>
<td></td>
<td>At the time of contract signing</td>
<td>UNDP</td>
<td>Evaluation manager</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>project staff as needed)</td>
<td></td>
<td>10th December 2019</td>
<td></td>
<td>and commissioner</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sharing of the relevant documentation with the</td>
<td></td>
<td>At the time of contract signing</td>
<td>Via email</td>
<td>Evaluation manager</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>evaluation team</td>
<td></td>
<td>10th December 2019</td>
<td></td>
<td>and commissioner</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Desk review, Evaluation design, methodology and</td>
<td></td>
<td>Within 5 days of contract signing</td>
<td>Partly home-based</td>
<td>Evaluation Team</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>updated workplan including the list of stakeholders</td>
<td></td>
<td>10 to 15 December 2019</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>to be interviewed</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Evaluation team</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Submission of the inception report (15 pages</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>maximum)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Comments and approval of inception report</td>
<td>5 working days</td>
<td></td>
<td>UNDP</td>
<td>Evaluation manager</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phase Two: Data-collection mission</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consultations and field visits, in-depth interviews</td>
<td></td>
<td>Within ten days of contract signing</td>
<td>In country</td>
<td>UNDP to organize with local project partners, project staff, local authorities, NGOs, etc.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*UNDP to organize with local project partners, project staff, local authorities, NGOs, etc.*
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Activity</th>
<th>Days</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Team</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Preparation of draft evaluation report (50 pages maximum excluding annexes), executive summary (5 pages) Draft report submission Consolidated UNDP and stakeholder comments to the draft report Debriefing with UNDP and key stakeholders</td>
<td>10 days</td>
<td>10 January 2019</td>
<td>Home-based Evaluation team</td>
<td>UNDP Evaluation team Evaluation manager and evaluation reference group Remotely UNDP UNDP, evaluation reference group, stakeholder and evaluation team</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Finalization of the evaluation report incorporating additions and comments provided by project staff and UNDP country office, a) Technical brief/popular version of the evaluation, b) Exit Report Submission of the final evaluation report to UNDP country office (50 pages maximum excluding executive summary and annexes)</td>
<td>3 working days</td>
<td>30 January 2019</td>
<td>Home-based Evaluation team</td>
<td>Evaluation team</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Estimated total days for the evaluation**: 20 working days (01 December - 30 January 2020)
10. Application submission process and criteria for selection

For application, interested consultants are invited to submit the following:

- P11 indicating all experience from similar projects, as well as the contact details (e-mail and telephone number) of at least three (3) professional references using the template provided by UNDP (Annex I)
- Letter of Confirmation of Interest and Availability using the template provided by UNDP (Annex II)
- Methodology – Brief description of why the individual considers him/herself as the most suitable for the assignment and a detailed methodology on how they will approach and complete the assignment.
- Financial Proposal that indicates the all-inclusive fixed total contract price, supported by a breakdown of costs using template provided by UNDP (Annex III)

- Criteria for Selecting the Best Offer

Cumulative analysis
The award of the contract will be made to the individual consultant whose offer has been evaluated and determined as:

a) responsive/compliant/acceptable, and
b) Having received the highest score out of a pre-determined set of weighted technical and financial criteria specific to the solicitation.

* Technical Criteria weight; 70%
* Financial Criteria weight; 30%

Only candidates obtaining a minimum of 70 points would be considered for the Financial Evaluation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Criteria</th>
<th>Total Point 100</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Technical</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Demonstrated experience in programme evaluations and proven accomplishments in undertaking evaluations for international organizations including UNDP</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Must have at least an Advanced Degree/Master’s Degree, PhD preferred with equivalent experience in Monitoring and Evaluation,</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Governance and Peacebuilding, Social Sciences, Project Management or other closely related field</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------------------------------------</td>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Previous similar experience in governance and peacebuilding programming and evaluation, Development Management, Capacity Development, Partnerships and gender; local development and RBM</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Methodology – internal and external validity; reliability; logical/theoretical framework; data, analysis and presentation</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Experience in large-scale complex evaluations of projects supporting national dialogue and reforms processes; governance programmes and or formulating</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Computer Skills – proficiency in Microsoft Office Suit, Statistical packages and Fluency in written and oral English</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>100</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- **Fee payments** will be made upon acceptance and approval by the UNDP Country Office of planned deliverables and components, based on the following payment schedule:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Inception report</th>
<th>20%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Upon submission of Draft Evaluation Report meeting the evaluation ToR</td>
<td>40%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Upon submission of an acceptable Final Evaluation Report</td>
<td>40%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

11. **TOR annexes**

- Confirmation of Interest and Availability Template
- P11 Template
- Results Framework
- Theory of Change
- Key stakeholders and partners
- Documents to be consulted
- Evaluation matrix
- Required reporting format
- Inception report format
- Evaluation report format
- Code of Conduct
OFFERS WITH THE REQUIREMENTS LISTED ABOVE TO BE SUBMITTED TO:

United Nations Development Programme
The Resident Representative,
United Nations Road
3rd Floor UN House
P.O. Box 301
MASERU, LESOTHO
Tel: +266-2222-8127/+266-2222-8106
Email: ls.procurement@undp.org

12. Approval

Approved by:

Name: ____________________________ Designation: __________

Signature: ____________________________ Date: ________/_____/____

[Signature]

[Date]
### Annex B: Project Results Framework

**Country name:** Kingdom of Lesotho

**Project Effective Dates:** 04th June 2018 - 04th December 2019

**PBF Focus Area:**
1. Inclusive, participatory and transparent national dialogue, including dedicated sessions on gender and women empowerment, to build consensus on the processes and content of the proposed comprehensive national reforms and national reconciliation and unity.
2. Urgent measures to enhance stability and security through the reintegration and rehabilitation of soldiers formerly exiled, detained or suspended, within-sector dialogue, re-visioning and re-orientation to prepare the security agencies for reforms.
3. Development and implementation of a national communication strategy to provide the public with coherent, accurate, unbiased and timely information on the dialogue and reforms processes.

**IRF Theory of Change:** The project's theory of change is that if a structured multi-stakeholder national dialogue is held with due attention to human rights, youth and women empowerment, and measures taken to address the fears and needs within the security sector while keeping the public informed in a timely, professional and unbiased manner, then a deeper crisis will be prevented, a broader political and societal consensus built, and a conducive environment created for the participatory and inclusive implementation of proposed political, constitutional, legislative, sectoral and institutional reforms to safeguard the long-term stability and development of Lesotho, gender equality and human rights.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Outcomes</th>
<th>Outputs</th>
<th>Indicators</th>
<th>Notes &amp; Means of Verification</th>
<th>Quarters</th>
<th>Milestones</th>
<th>Responsible Institution</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Q1 Q2 Q3 Q4 Q5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Outcome 1: By 2019, there is a national agreement on the content and processes of comprehensive political reforms and national reconciliation in Lesotho with increased public and donor support.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Outcome Indicator 1:</strong> National agreement on core objectives and areas of the political reform in Lesotho collectively agreed, supported by development partners.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Baseline:</strong> National Reforms Roadmap proposed by Govt</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Target:</strong> National dialogue and reform process and outcome document collectively agreed by, GoL political parties; traditional leaders; civil society (youth/women organizations); religious leaders, academia; media and citizens of Lesotho, and supported by development partners.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Validated National Reforms Roadmap Document</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>End of Dialogue Communique outlining key agreements and processes with timeline</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>National Consultations amongst political leaders held</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Outcome of Dialogue communicated.</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GoL SADC UNDP</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Output 1.1</td>
<td>Output Indicator 1.1.1</td>
<td>Date for National Leaders Forum organised.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| National Leaders Forum (NLF) convened | Level, perception and motivation of participation of key national Leaders and Political parties that participate in National Leaders Forum  
**Baseline:** No NLF to guide the national dialogues processes on Reform Roadmap  
**Target 1:** Participation of key stakeholders from different institutions and communities such as principal Chiefs, Traditional Rulers, and political parties. Media, women’s group, youth groups amongst others in the 2018 National Leaders Forum | • Leaders Forum Report  
• Leaders forum Attendance Sheet  
• Media Reports  
• GoL Statements  
• Results of Participants’ Perception Survey |
|           |                        |                                          | • GoL  
• SADC  
• CCL  
• LCN  
• UNDP |
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>Output indicator 1.1.2:</strong> Multi-stakeholders and multi-sectoral National Dialogue Planning Committee (NDPC) composed, mandated and inaugurated</th>
<th>List of NDPC Members Official Release of NDPC composition</th>
<th>x</th>
<th>x</th>
<th>x</th>
<th>x</th>
<th>x</th>
<th>NDPC members nominated and composed.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| **Baseline:** No multi-sectoral- multi stakeholder National Dialogue Planning Committee (NDPC) constituted in the past. **Target 1:** Multi-sectoral- multi stakeholder National Dialogue Planning Committee (NDPC) agreed, composed and publicity communicated **Target 2:** At least 30% of member of the NDCP to be women and youth between 15-35 years | | | | | | | - GoL  
- SADC  
- CCL  
- LCN  
- UNDP |
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Output 1.2</th>
<th>Output Indicator 1.2.1</th>
<th>x</th>
<th>x</th>
<th>x</th>
<th>x</th>
<th>x</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>1. Baseline:</strong> No dialogue structures. <strong>Target:</strong> Dialogue structures with participation of key stakeholders from different institutions and communities such as principal Chiefs, Traditional Rulers, and political parties. Media, women's group, youth groups amongst others in the 2018 National Dialogue</td>
<td>70% percent of the population does not possess the knowledge of democratic and development processes that will enable them to</td>
<td>Citizen's participation Survey</td>
<td>Citizen's participation Survey</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
3. Baseline: 55% of the population do not have the sufficient opportunities to participate in democratic processes.

4. Baseline: Almost 60% are not satisfied with their level of participation while around 51% are not motivated to participation further in democratic processes

**Target:** At least 30% are satisfied with their level of participation at the National Dialogue process average of 55 are motivated to participation further in democratic process after their participation at the National Dialogue
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Output Indicator 1.2.2</th>
<th>Dialogue Report with recommendations</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th>- Dialogue report with recommendations published</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No of substantive dialogue agendas that lead to concrete recommendations on political reforms <strong>Baseline:</strong> Roadmap. No reform has been agreed since the 2015 snap election <strong>Target:</strong> At least agreement reaches on key reform areas; security, constitutional/political and public service</td>
<td><strong>Dialogue</strong></td>
<td><strong>Communique</strong></td>
<td><strong>Media report</strong></td>
<td>UNDP</td>
<td>GoL</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Output Indicator 1.2.3</th>
<th>UN-Women Training Report, Women's Conference Communique, Women's Conference Report, Youth Conference</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th>Training and agenda Plan developed</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gender and age sensitive deliberations of the national dialogue processes with concrete recommendations</td>
<td><strong>UN-Women</strong></td>
<td><strong>Training Report,</strong></td>
<td><strong>Women's Conference</strong></td>
<td><strong>Communique,</strong></td>
<td><strong>Women's Conference Report,</strong> <strong>Youth Conference</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<p>| | | | | | |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Output 1.3</th>
<th>Output Indicator 1.3.1</th>
<th>Report, Citizen's Participation Survey</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

76 In-district consultations undertaken with participation of women and youth

**Baseline:** No consultations conducted

**Target:**
1. At least 60% nominated women trained and capacitated to contribute to national dialogue process
2. At least one national women conference held to articulate women's view and contributions
3. At least one national youth conference

- Districts Consultations report
- Community Consultation report
- Media report
- Facilitators and
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>consultations in post 2015 elections (No Baseline)</th>
<th>Coordinators training report</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Target 1:</strong> At least 76 community dialogues held across 10 districts</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Target 2:</strong> At least 30 percent of training facilitators and coordinators to be women and youth between 15 to 35 years.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Output Indicator 1.3.2</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No of women group and youth groups that participate in community consultations</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Baseline:</strong> No baseline. This is the first district consultations</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Target:</strong> At least 30% of community consultation participants to be women/youth between 15-35 years.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Consultation report</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Consultation participants list</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Outcome 2: By 2019, tensions and divisions within and amongst security services are reduced thereby enhancing the participation of the sector in the national dialogue and enhancing public trust.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| **Outcome Indicator 2:** National dialogue process and outcome inclusive of security vision with broader security sector buy-in, participation and guaranteed safety assurances  
**Baseline:** No existing inter-agency vision and strategy  
**Target:** Comprehensive security sector vision and strategy developed, and affected officers rehabilitated and reintegrated |
| **Output Indicator 2.1.1**  
- No of Officers (exiled, tortured & detained) rehabilitated and reintegrated by 2019 from LDF (360), LMPS (85) and NSS (60)  
**Baseline:** No |
| SADC report, Security Sector report, List of officers that received counselling |
| x | x | x | x | x |
| Vision document developed  
Communication strategy developed  
Trainings conducted |
| x | x |
| SADCUNDP  
OHCHR  
DPA  
CCL |
| SADC  
CCL  
UN-Women |
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Output 2.2</th>
<th>Output Indicator 2.2.1</th>
<th>Vision documents, Communication Strategy</th>
<th>x</th>
<th>x</th>
<th>x</th>
<th>x</th>
<th>x</th>
<th>- Visions documents developed.</th>
<th>- Communication strategy developed.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Security sector entities engaged and supported to contribute to sectoral vision to inform national reforms</td>
<td>Gender sensitive security sector vision developed to informed Security Sector communication strategy <strong>Baseline:</strong> No existing Security Sector Vision document and/or Communication Strategy &amp; no previous sector participation in reforms</td>
<td><strong>Target:</strong> Visions document developed, communication strategy in place fast track security sector</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>- SADC</td>
<td>- UNDP</td>
<td>- UNWomen</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| Output 2.3 | Output Indicator 2.3: Gender mainstreaming strategy for security sector in place to ensure gender sensitive vision documents and communication strategy  
**Baseline:** No existing gender mainstreaming for security sector  
**Target:** Gender mainstreaming strategy developed and consulted in the development |
|------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
|            | Gender Mainstreaming Strategy  
|            | **x**  
|            | **x**  
|            | **x**  
|            | **x**  
|            | **x**  |
|            | • Gender mainstreaming strategy finalised and submitted. |
|            | • UN-Women  
|            | • UNDP  
|            | • SADC |
| Output 2.4  
Understanding of the rule of law and respect for human rights strengthened through training | Output Indicator 2.4:  
• Status of training of security Officers on inter-agency coordination, Code of Conduct, SOPs, civil- security relations, crisis management and human rights  
Baseline: Human rights training provided to security sectors in 2010. No recent training  
Target: At least a total of 100 Officers receive different level of training on coordination, code of conduct, SOP, civil security relations, | • Training Report  
• Training Evaluation | x  
| x  
|  
|  
|  
|  
|  
|  
| * Training conducted. |  
| SADC  
| OHCHR  
<p>| UNDP |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Outcome 3: Participation of Basotho in the reform and reconciliation processes and effort enhanced through timely and accurate communication and information management by 2019</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| **Baseline:** Limited public knowledge of political reforms and goals in Lesotho  
**Target:** Communication strategy developed, and rolled out through use of |
| **Outcome Indicator 3:** A comprehensive national Communications Strategy in place to support public engagement on the national dialogues  
**Baseline:** Limited public knowledge of political reforms and goals in Lesotho  
**Target:** Communication strategy developed, and rolled out through use of |
| ・ Community Strategy document  
・ Growing public perception of knowledge and interest in national political dialogues |
| ・ Communication Strategy developed. |
| UNDP  
DPA  
OHCHR |
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Output 3.1</th>
<th>Output indicator 3.1</th>
<th>Communication strategy</th>
<th>Training date agreed. Training agenda developed. Trainings conducted.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Capacity for coherent, timely and accurate communication on national dialogue and reforms enhanced</td>
<td>Communication strategy develop, validated and training provided for the rolling out of the communication strategy to inform the public of the national dialogue and reform processes. <strong>Baseline:</strong> no communication and information dissemination strategy in place. <strong>Target 1:</strong> All nominated communication focal persons from government and national dialogue planning committee trained. <strong>Target 2:</strong> At least 30% of trained personnel to be women and youth.</td>
<td>x x x x x</td>
<td>• UNDP • NDPC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Output 3.2</td>
<td>Output Indicator 3.2</td>
<td>Improvement in the level of communication, popularization and public engagement in national dialogue and reform processes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baseline: The Reform Roadmap Target: At least one 5 discussions and engagement with media houses held, monthly newsletters released and IEC materials developed and disseminated</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IEC materials</td>
<td>Newsletter</td>
<td>Media report</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IEC developed. Newsletters released every month</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UNDP</td>
<td>NDPC</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Annex 1. Theory of Change

The overarching outcome is a united and secure Lesotho with a commitment to implement political reforms aimed at addressing the causes of recurrent crises and building sustainable peace and stability in the country.

The project theory of change:

If a participatory and structured multi-stakeholder national dialogue is held with due attention to human rights, youth and women empowerment, and measures taken to address the fears and needs of opposition stakeholders including within the security sector while keeping the public informed in a timely, professional and unbiased manner, then a deeper crisis will be prevented, a broader political and societal consensus built, and a conducive environment created for the participatory and inclusive implementation of proposed political, constitutional, legislative, sectoral and institutional reforms to safeguard the long-term stability and development of Lesotho, gender equality and human rights. In seeking to address these intermediate needs, the basic assumptions are that:

- Inclusive national dialogue is a viable means to achieve political and societal consensus on fundamental and sensitive changes such as the ones proposed in the Framework and Roadmap document;
- That deliberately engaging members of the security agencies through addressing their needs and fears would help to turn the security sector personnel into allies rather than just targets of the reforms and thereby enhance security and stability;
- Proposed national dialogue provide the single-most important avenue to re-engineer gender and age relations and empower women and youth in Lesotho.

Annex 2. Key stakeholders and partners

1. Ministry of Communication, Science and Technology (MOCST)
2. Ministry of Defence and National Security (MODNS)
3. Ministry of Foreign Affairs & International relations (MOFAIR)
4. Ministry of Gender, Youth, Sports and Recreation (MGYSR)
5. Ministry of Justice, Human Rights and Correctional Services (Judiciary, Lesotho Correctional Services)
6. Ministry of Law and Constitutional Affairs (MOLCA)
7. Ministry of Police and Public Safety (MOPPS)
8. Ministry of Prime Minister’s Office (Government Technical Working Team)
9. Ministry of Public Service (MPS)
10. Directorate on Corruption and Economic Offence (DCEO)
11. Limkokwing University
12. National University of Lesotho (NUL) and Institute of Extra-Mural Studies (IEMS)
13. College of Chiefs (SENATE)
14. Opposition Bloc
15. Parties outside Parliament
16. EU
17. OHCHR
18. UNDP
19. UNICEF
20. UNFPA
21. UN-Women
22. Southern African Development Community (SADC)
23. Lesotho Council of Non-Governmental Organisations (LCN)
24. Development for Peace and Education (DPE)
25. Christian Council of Lesotho (CCL)
26. Federation of Lesotho Women Entrepreneurs
27. LENAFU
28. Lesotho Youth Federation
29. Maseru Women Senior Citizens
30. Monna Ka Khomo

Annex 3. Documents to be consulted

1. Project Document
2. Project Annual Work Plans
3. Project Agreement and Addendum
4. Project Visibility and Communication Plan
5. Project Progress Reports
6. Project Financial Audit Report
7. The Lesotho We want: Dialogue and Reforms for the National Transformation (Roadmap)
8. Lesotho Citizen’s Participation Survey (CPS) 2017
9. Consultants reports (list to be provided)
10. Implementing Partners reports (list to be provided)
11. UNDP Evaluation Policy
12. UNDP Code of Ethics
15. UN Development Assistance Framework for Lesotho (2019 -2023)
16. UNDP Strategic Plan
17. NSDP II
Annex 4: Evaluation Matrix

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Relevant evaluation criteria</th>
<th>Key questions</th>
<th>Specific sub questions</th>
<th>Data sources</th>
<th>Data-collection methods/tools</th>
<th>Indicators/success standard</th>
<th>Methods for data analysis</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

Annex 5: Outline of the Reporting Format

a. Inception report Format
b. Evaluation Report Format

- Title
- Table of contents
- Acronyms and abbreviations
- Executive Summary
- Introduction
- Background and context
- Evaluation scope and objectives
- Evaluation approach and methods
- Data analysis
- Findings and conclusions
- Lessons learned
- Recommendations
- Annexes

Annex 6: Code of Conduct

The consultant will follow this link, read and understand the evaluator’s code of conduct in the UN system.

[www.unevaluation.org/document/detail/100](http://www.unevaluation.org/document/detail/100)