|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Terms of Reference: National Consultant –Mid-term Evaluation for the Governance for Inclusive Development Programme (GIDP)** | |
| **Location :** | Vientiane Capital, LAO PDR |
| **Application Deadline :** | 27-September-2019 (Midnight New York, USA) |
| **Additional Category :** | Governance and Peacebuilding |
| **Type of Contract :** | Individual Contract |
| **Post Level :** | National Consultant |
| **Languages Required :** | English, Lao |
| **Starting Date : (date when the selected candidate is expected to start)** | 16-October-2019 |
| **Duration of Initial Contract :** | 15 working days |
| **Expected Duration of Assignment :** | mid-October 2019 – mid-Novemeber 2019 |

1. **Project Title**

National Governance and Public Administration Reform (GPAR) Programme - Governance for Inclusive Development Programme (GIDP)

1. **Background**

The Governance for Inclusive Development Programme (GIDP) is a joint programme of the government of Lao PDR, UNDP, UNCDF and other development partners. The GIDP has been formulated under the framework of the National Governance and Public Administration Reform Programme (NGPAR) of the Government of Lao PDR and built on the established partnerships through two programmes within the government’s NGPAR - Strengthening Capacity and Service Delivery of Local Administration (GPAR SCSD 2012-December 2016) and the National GPAR Programme Support Programme (GPAR NGPS 2012- June 2016).

The GIDP, led by the Ministry of Home Affairs (MoHA) and with formal cross-sector cooperation and implementation by Ministry of Finance, Ministry of Planning and Investment, and provincial and district administrations. This programme is responsive to the growing emphasis on the need for multi-sector planning and the use of data/information to inform the content, nature and scope of district plans. It seeks to promote wider governance improvements, strengthen public administration’s ability to achieve better service delivery and increase citizens’ systematic engagement, especially at the local levels where basic services are coordinated, planned, financed and reported. GIDP has a particular focus on the inclusion of less-advantaged people and groups. GIDP will also enhance partnerships at the national level through the Governance Sector Working Group, to promote multi-stakeholder policy dialogue on good governance and gender policies. The three GIDP components are interrelated and designed to create a virtuous loop that promotes good governance and accountability as well as sub-national and national partnerships and policy dialogue. To achieve these objectives, three inter-related outputs have been conceived:

* Output 1: Targeted local administrations are able to develop and finance the implementation of multi-sector work plans based on community priorities
* Output 2: Accountability framework applied at the district level to capture and use citizens’ feedback on provision of basic services
* Output 3: Enhanced multi-stakeholder governance processes promoting dialogue and feeding into good governance-related policies including the delivery of basic services

The GIDP Result Framework was updated to include the final outcome statement with qualitative indicators; reviewed in terms of expected impact/results, key indicators, activities, and timelines.

The mid-term evaluation is planned to assess the programme in such areas as efficiency, effectiveness, and relevance at the output level and their contributions to outcome level goals, to analyse budget and resource allocations in terms of emerging issues that the project needs to address and to capture lessons and recommendations for the future.

During the mission/assignment, the Mid-Term Evaluation team will be joined by a SDC appointed consultant with specific reporting obligations to SDC as the main development partner of GPAR/GIDP.

1. **Scope of Work**

The main purpose of the consultancy is to support the Team Leader in with coordination of meetings and consultation with all stakeholders, including Government counterparts and the Development Partners as well as reference findings. When needed, a national consultant will provide a translation of Lao into English documents (vice versa) or interpret communications. S/he will also provide information in terms of local and cultural context and background as relevant, especially in dealing and liaising with government. The consultant will work under the supervision of the team leader (International MTE Specialist) in close collaboration with the Head of Governance Unit as per work needs. In addition, s/he will regularly interact with UNDP Senior Advisor, UNDP Technical Specialist, UNCDF Programme Specialist, and the programme team of MoHA.

The primary responsibilities of the selected consultant include:

* Provide inputs to the workplan;
* Arrange meetings and consultations with relevant stakeholders;
* Coordinate field visits to the identified project sites and collect data; and
* Provide substantive inputs to the Mid-Term Evaluation

 To fulfil the primary functions above, the consultant shall:

* Contribute to the whole process substantively;
* Collect all relevant documents and reports;
* Translate documents provided by the team leader that are only available in Lao into English
* Be responsible for the analysis of documents for which no English translation exists as assigned by the team leader;
* Be co-responsible for the quality of the Mid-Term Evaluation
* Recommend the most appropriate ways to adopt a culturally sensitive and ethical approach;
* Facilitate access to data sources;
* Liaise with national partners and follow up on requests from the team leader;
* Organise the schedule of the team;
* Interpret discussions and/or communications into English/Lao as necessary to support the review process
* Prepare and presents presentations in Lao language;
* Further support the team leader as necessary and within the framework of his/her qualifications to work towards the most effective conduct of the review

More specifically, s/he will support the team leader in reviewing the following focused scope of works and criteria:

More specifically, the following criteria are covered by this Review:

1. **Relevance :** The extent to which the aid activity is suited to the priorities and policies of the target group, recipient and donor. The following questions will be considered:

* To what extent are the objectives of the programme still valid?
* Are the activities and outputs of the programme consistent with the overall goal and the attainment of its objectives?
* Are the activities and outputs of the programme consistent with the intended impacts and effects?
* Is the project pro-actively addressing emerging demands and opportunities unforeseen during the project development, adapting its theory of change to respond to changes in the country context and stakeholder landscape, including changing national priorities, legislative and policy updates, changes in power relation among key stakeholders?

1. **Effectiveness :** A measure of the extent to which an aid activity attains its objectives. The following questions will be considered:

* To what extent were the objectives achieved / are likely to be achieved?
* What were the major factors influencing the achievement or non-achievement of the objectives?
* What are lessons learnt from previous phases of GPAR and how are they reflected and implemented in GIDP?
* Have there been regular reviews of the work to ensure that the project is on track to achieve the desired results, and to inform course corrections if needed?
* Is project’s governance mechanism (i.e., the project board or equivalent) functioning well? If not, commentary and recommendations shall be provided.
* Are the outcome indicators measured against baseline and target values (if available) and reflects quantitative and qualitative dimensions of the achievement?

1. **Efficiency :** Efficiency measures the outputs - qualitative and quantitative - in relation to the inputs. It is an economic term which signifies that the aid uses the least costly resources possible in order to achieve the desired results. This generally requires comparing alternative approaches to achieving the same outputs, to see whether the most efficient process has been adopted. The following questions will be considered:

* Were activities cost-efficient?
* Were objectives achieved on time?
* Was the programme or project implemented in the most efficient way compared to alternatives?
* Are budget deviations, be it over/under spending, well-recorded? Is the budget outlook for the rest of the phase well assessed?

1. **(Potential) Impact :** The positive and negative changes produced by a development intervention, directly or indirectly, intended or unintended. This involves the main impacts and effects resulting from the activity on the local social, economic, environmental and other development indicators. The examination should be concerned with both intended and unintended results and must also include the positive and negative impact of external factors, such as changes in terms of trade and financial conditions. The following questions will be considered:

* What has happened as a result of the programme or project?
* What real difference has the activity made to the beneficiaries?
* How many people have been affected?

1. **Sustainability :** Sustainability is concerned with measuring whether the benefits of an activity are likely to continue after donor funding has been withdrawn. Projects need to be environmentally as well as financially sustainable. The following questions will be considered:

* To what extent did the benefits of a programme or project continue after donor funding ceased?
* What were the major factors which influenced the achievement or non-achievement of sustainability of the programme or project?
* Is there an exit strategy / transition plan from DP funded project to GoL endeavor, with a special focus on the funding status?
* Is there a actionable exit plan to transit the DP funded project to a national government initiative, and is the plan regularly reviewd and adjusted according to the project progress, including its financial commitments and capacity?
* Are stakeholders and national partners fully engaged in the decision-making, implementation and monitoring of the project?

The aim of asking these questions is to ensure that the evaluator can assess the information and formulate conclusions and recommendations concerning:

* The Overall Results: How successful was the undertaking? Why? Do impacts and effects justify costs? Were the objectives achieved within time and within the budget? Were there any major shortcomings? Were there major achievements?
* Sustainability: The question of whether achievements are sustainable in the longer run is of critical importance.
* Alternatives: Are there better ways of achieving the results?
* Lessons Learned: What are the general lessons which can be drawn and which should be borne in mind when embarking on future programmes that has been/ to be applied within current phase of GIDP.
* Phase out recommendations.

During the mission/assignment, the Mid-Term Evaluation team will be joined by a SDC appointed consultant with specific reporting obligations to SDC as the main development partner of GPAR/GIDP. Said consultant will be part of the evaluation team and participate in the evaluation process including meetings and discussions and may contribute to the evaluation report as appropriate based on discussion with the team leader.

The evaluation should be undertaken with the guidance of the 2009 *UNDP Handbook on Planning, Monitoring and Evaluating for Development Results (ADDENDUM June 2011)*, available here:

[*http://web.undp.org/evaluation/handbook/documents/english/pme-handbook.pdf*](http://web.undp.org/evaluation/handbook/documents/english/pme-handbook.pdf)

[*http://web.undp.org/evaluation/documents/handbook/addendum/Evaluation-Addendum-June-2011.pdf*](http://web.undp.org/evaluation/documents/handbook/addendum/Evaluation-Addendum-June-2011.pdf)

1. **Expected Outputs and Deliverables**

| **Deliverables/ Outputs** | **Timeframe** | **Review and Approvals Required** |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Preparation** (e.g. reference documents, desk review, preliminary analysis, interview and survey questionnaires, mission planning etc.)  **Workplan** – outlining how the s/he will conduct the tasks including methodology for review/assessment and data collection; schedule of tasks and delivery timeframe based on the meeting with the programme team and stakeholders | Within 2 days from contract signing  (home-based, 2 working days) | UNDP in consultation with MoHA and UNCDF |
| **(50%)** of the professional fees shall be paid upon submission and acceptance of below output:   * **Data collection** using various appropriate methods such as Interviews, Group Interviews with relevant stakeholders and/or On-Site Observation * **Draft Mid-term Evaluation Report** | Within 14 days from contract signing  (in country)  (10 working days) | UNDP in consultation with MoHA and UNCDF |
| **(50%)** of the professional fees shall be paid upon submission and acceptance of below output:   * **Briefing** – including Briefing materials such as PowerPoint presentation to present the findings of the report * **Final Mid-term Evaluation Report –** incorporating comments | Within 22 days from contract signing  (in country)  (3 working days) | UNDP in consultation with MoHA and UNCDF |
| *\* Management response to be prepared by UNDP in close consultation with MoHA and UNCDF* | | |

1. **Institutional Arrangement**

The National MTE Specialist will be under the supervision of the team leader (International MTE Specialist) in close collaboration with the Head of Governance Unit as per work needs. In addition, S/he will also closely work with UNDP Programme Office, UNCDF Programme Specialist and the Swiss Agency for Development and Cooperation (SDC). During the mission/assignment, the Mid-Term Evaluation team will be joined by a SDC appointed consultant with specific reporting obligations to SDC as the main development partner of GPAR/GIDP. Said consultant will be part of the evaluation team and participate in the evaluation process based on discussion with the teamleader.

1. **Contract Duration**

The whole assignment is foreseen for a period of 15 working days (2 working days home-based and 13 working days in Vientiane Capital, Lao PDR).

1. **Duty Station and Expected Places of Travel**

Based in Vientiane Capital, Lao PDR. Some field visits outside Vientiane are envisaged under the contract. The contractor will follow UNDP working hours. The contractor is at all times required to observe UNDP security rules and regulations.

1. **Qualifications of the Successful Individual Contractor**

## **Education**

* University degree in public administration, public policy and management, development studies, political science, social science or other relevant fields

## **Work Experience**

* At least 5 years of increasingly responsible professional experience in the field of monitoring and evaluation, including a track record of conducting M&E of technical cooperation, development activities and projects related to social inclusion and/or gender, preferably in the South East Asia region
* Recognised expertise in M&E preferably in Public Administration and/or Governance including sub-national administrations combined with a solid understanding of the use of rubrics analysis
* Strong track record of innovative leadership in managing evaluations, and proven ability to produce demonstrable results
* Sound knowledge and understanding of political context and working culture in multi cultural context

## **Competency**

* Demonstrated strong knowledge of public administration and governance development
* Excellent analytical skills and ability to synthesise research and reach empirically-based conclusions on related subject
* Displays capacity to provide experienced advice on best practices
* Focuses on result for the client and responds positively to feedback
* Strong writing skills with proven capacity to produce evaluation reports
* Good communication, coordination and facilitation skills
* Good application of Results-Based Management
* Consistently ensures timeliness and quality of work
* Ability to deliver when working under pressure and within changing circumstances
* Ability to provide guidance and training to a team member
* Excellent interpersonal, communications and facilitation skills
* Cultural and gender sensitivity and ability to work with people from different backgrounds
* Familiarity with the UN(DP) norms and standards

## **Language requirements**

* Fluency of Lao and English language is required

1. **Price Proposal and Schedule of Payments**

The total amount quoted shall be all-inclusive and include all costs components required to perform the deliverables identified in the ToR, including professional fee, travel costs, living allowances, communications, consumables, and any other applicable cost that may be possibly incurred by the IC in completing the assignment.

The contract price will be fixed output-based price regardless of changes in the cost components. Payments will be made upon completion of the deliverables/outputs and as per below percentages:

* Deliverable 1: Draft Mid-term Evaluation Report (50%)
* Deliverable 2: Briefing and the Final Mid-term Evaluation Report (50%)

In general, UNDP shall not accept travel costs exceeding those of an economy class ticket. Should the IC wish to travel on a higher class, he/she should do so using their own resources

In the event of unforeseeable travel not anticipated in this TOR, payment of travel costs including tickets, lodging and terminal expenses should be agreed upon, between the respective business unit and the Individual Consultant, prior to travel and will be reimbursed.

1. **Evaluation Method and Criteria**

Applicants will be evaluated based on the following methodology:

## **Combined Scoring method:**

The award of the contract shall be made to the applicant whose offer has been evaluated and determined as a) responsive/compliant/acceptable; and b) having received the highest score out of set of weighted technical criteria (70%) and combined with the financial offer which will be weighted a max of 30%.

## **Criteria:**

1. Relevance of education: 10 points
2. Direct experience: 30 points
3. Expertise and knowledge: 30 points

Only candidates obtaining a minimum of 49 points (70% of the total technical points) would be considered for the Financial Evaluation.

1. **Documentation required**

Interested individual consultants must submit the following documents/information to demonstrate their qualifications.

* **Letter of Confirmation of Interest and Availability** using the template provided in Annex II.
* **Personal CV or** [**P11**](https://info.undp.org/global/documents/cap/P11%20modified%20for%20SCs%20and%20ICs.doc), indicating all experience from similar projects, as well as the contact details (email and telephone number) of the Candidate and at least three (3) professional references.
* **Technical proposal**, including a) a brief description of why the individual considers him/herself as the most suitable for the assignment; and b) a methodology, on how they will approach and complete the assignment.
* **Financial proposal**, as per template provided in Annex II.

Incomplete proposals may not be considered.

1. **Annexes**

* Annex I - [Individual IC General Terms and Conditions](http://www.undp.org/content/dam/undp/documents/procurement/documents/IC%20-%20General%20Conditions.pdf)
* Annex II – [Offeror’s Letter to UNDP Confirming Interest and Availability for the Individual IC, including Financial Proposal Template](https://info.undp.org/global/documents/cap/Template%20for%20Confirmation%20of%20Interest%20and%20Submission%20of%20Financial%20Proposal.docx)
* Annex III – The Governance for Inclusive Development Programme Document
* Annex IV – Updated RRF of the GIDP

1. **Approval**

**This TOR was Prepared and Submitted by :**

**This TOR is approved by :**