## TERMINAL EVALUATION TERMS OF REFERENCE

#### **INTRODUCTION**

In accordance with UNDP and GEF M&E policies and procedures, all full and medium-sized UNDP support GEF financed projects are required to undergo a terminal evaluation upon completion of implementation. These terms of reference (TOR) sets out the expectations for a Terminal Evaluation (TE) of The Fifth Operational Phase of the GEF – Small Grants Programme in the Philippines (otherwise known as the GEF-SGP OP5) (PIMS #4517).

The essentials of the project to be evaluated are as follows:

#### **PROJECT SUMMARY TABLE**

Table 1. Project Su	Table 1. Project Summary Table						
-	Project Title : The Fifth Operational Phase of the GEF – Small Grants Programme in the Philippines						
(otherwise known	as GEF-SGP OP5)		_				
GEF Project ID (PIMS #)	4517		At Endorsement (US \$ M)	By end June 2016 <sup>1</sup> (US \$ M)			
UNDP Project ID:	0082868	GEF Financing:	4,583,333.00	1,325,642.52			
Country:	Philippines	UNDP	1,000,000.00				
Region:	Asia	Government:	3,002,907.00				
Focal Area:	Biodiversity	Other (NGOs, LGUs, communities)	1,100,000.00				
Operational Program:	GEF-5 Strategic Program:	Total Co-financing:	5,102,907.00				
Executing Agency:	UNDP	Total Project Cost:	9,686,240.00				
Other Partners Involved:	Civil Society Organizations, The	ProDoc Signature: Date Project began:	June 2013 June 2013				
	Foundation for the Philippine Environment as Responsible Party (Grants Management)	(Operational) Closing Date:	July 2017	Proposed (Approved): December 2018			

## **OBJECTIVE AND SCOPE**

The project was designed to: support local people's organizations, NGOs and CBOs in designing and implementing projects to contribute to global biodiversity conservation using the landscape approach and modeling and implementation of best practices. Individual small grant projects will contribute concrete outputs to the achievement of three inter-related components and their respective outcomes: (1) Community-based actions improve the sustainability of protected areas (PAs); (2) Mainstream biodiversity conservation and sustainable use into production landscapes, seascapes and sectors (PLS); and; (3) Cross Cutting Capacity Development and Knowledge Management. The majority of individual grants funded under this project will contribute to the achievement of the main project objective and targets included in the project results framework.

1

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> To be determined in January, 2013.

The goal of SGP-5 is to secure global environmental benefits through community-based initiatives and actions in selected priority sites in the Philippines.

The TE will be conducted according to the guidance, rules and procedures established by UNDP and GEF as reflected in the UNDP Evaluation Guidance for GEF Financed Projects.

The objectives of the evaluation are to assess the achievement of project results, and to draw lessons that can both improve the sustainability of benefits from this project, and aid in the overall enhancement of UNDP programming.

#### **EVALUATION APPROACH AND METHOD**

An overall approach and method<sup>2</sup> for conducting project terminal evaluations of UNDP supported GEF financed projects has developed over time. The evaluation should include a mixed methodology of document review, interviews, and observations from project site visits, at minimum, and the evaluators should make an effort to triangulate information. The evaluator is expected to frame the evaluation effort using the criteria of **relevance**, **effectiveness**, **efficiency**, **sustainability**, **and impact**, as defined and explained in the <u>UNDP Guidance for Conducting Terminal Evaluations of UNDP-supported</u>, <u>GEF-financed Projects</u>. A set of questions covering each of these criteria have been drafted and are included with this TOR (<u>Annex C</u>). The evaluator is expected to amend, complete and submit this matrix as part of an evaluation inception report, and shall include it as an annex to the final report.

The evaluation must provide evidence-based information that is credible, reliable and useful. The evaluator is expected to follow a participatory and consultative approach ensuring close engagement with government counterparts, in particular the GEF operational focal point, UNDP Country Office, SGP project team, UNDP GEF Technical Adviser (Upgraded Country Programmes Global Coordinator (UCP GC) and key stakeholders and grantees. The evaluator is expected to conduct a field mission to select project sites in the aforementioned three priority sites of the Programme. The complete list of these projects, their corresponding project sites, grantees and their contact details is included in Annex B. Interviews will be held with the following organizations and individuals at a minimum:

- Members of the National Steering Committee
- Members of the Project Technical Review Committee
- Officials of the Biodiversity Management Bureau
- GEF Operational Focal Point
- Staff/Consultants of SGP-5
- Officials and Staff of the Responsible Party (The Foundation for the Philippine Environment)
- Staff of UNDP Country Office
- Officers and staff of NCIP
- Officers and Staff of Site Hubs
- Officers and Staff of Grantee Organizations
- Officers and Staff of Local Government Units

The evaluator will review all relevant sources of information, such as the project document, project reports – including Annual APR/PIR, project budget revisions, midterm review, progress reports, GEF focal area tracking tools, project files, national strategic and legal documents, and any other materials that the evaluator considers

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> For additional information on methods, see the <u>Handbook on Planning, Monitoring and Evaluating for Development</u> <u>Results</u>, Chapter 7, pg. 163

useful for this evidence-based assessment. A list of documents that the project team will provide to the evaluator for review is included in Annex B of this Terms of Reference.

## **EVALUATION CRITERIA & RATINGS**

An assessment of project performance will be carried out, based against expectations set out in the Project Logical Framework/Results Framework (see Annex A), which provides performance and impact indicators for project implementation along with their corresponding means of verification. The evaluation will at a minimum cover the criteria of: relevance, effectiveness, efficiency, sustainability and impact. Ratings must be provided on the following performance criteria. The completed table must be included in the evaluation executive summary. The obligatory rating scales are included in Annex D.

Evaluation Ratings:	Evaluation Ratings:						
1. Monitoring and Evaluation	rating	2. IA& EA Execution	rating				
M&E design at entry		Quality of UNDP Implementation					
M&E Plan Implementation		Quality of Execution - Executing Agency					
Overall quality of M&E		Overall quality of Implementation / Execution					
3. Assessment of Outcomes	rating	4. Sustainability	rating				
Relevance		Financial resources:					
Effectiveness		Socio-political:					
Efficiency		Institutional framework and governance:					
Overall Project Outcome Rating		Environmental:					
		Overall likelihood of sustainability:					

## **PROJECT FINANCE / COFINANCE**

The Evaluation will assess the key financial aspects of the project, including the extent of co-financing planned and realized. Project cost and funding data will be required, including annual expenditures. Variances between planned and actual expenditures will need to be assessed and explained. Results from recent financial audits, as available, should be taken into consideration. The evaluator(s) will receive assistance from the Country Office (CO) and Project Team to obtain financial data in order to complete the co-financing table below, which will be included in the terminal evaluation report.

Co-financing	UNDP owr	n financing	Governme	nt	Partner Ag	ency	Total	
(type/source)	(mill. US\$)		(mill. US\$)		(mill. US\$)		(mill. US\$)	
	Planned	Actual	Planned	Actual	Planned	Actual	Actual	Actual
Grants								
Loans/								
Concessions								
<ul> <li>In-kind support</li> </ul>								
• Other								
Totals								

## **MAINSTREAMING**

UNDP supported GEF financed projects are key components in UNDP country programming, as well as regional and global programmes. The evaluation will assess the extent to which the project was successfully

mainstreamed with other UNDP priorities, including poverty alleviation, improved governance, the prevention and recovery from natural disasters, and gender.

### **IMPACT**

The evaluators will assess the extent to which the project is achieving impacts or progressing towards the achievement of impacts. Key findings that should be brought out in the evaluations include whether the project has demonstrated: a) verifiable improvements in ecological status, b) verifiable reductions in stress on ecological systems, and/or c) demonstrated progress towards these impact achievements.<sup>3</sup>

## **CONCLUSIONS, RECOMMENDATIONS & LESSONS**

The evaluation report must include a chapter providing a set of **conclusions**, **recommendations** and **lessons**. Conclusions should build on findings and be based in evidence. Recommendations should be prioritized, specific, relevant, and targeted, with suggested implementers of the recommendations. Lessons should have wider applicability to other initiatives across the region, the area of intervention, and for the future.

#### **IMPLEMENTATION ARRANGEMENTS**

The principal responsibility for managing this evaluation resides with the UNDP CO in the Philippines. The UNDP CO will contract the evaluators and ensure the timely provision of per diems and travel arrangements within the country for the evaluation team. The Project Team will be responsible for liaising with the Evaluators team to set up stakeholder interviews, arrange field visits, coordinate with the Government etc.

#### **EVALUATION TIMEFRAME**

The total duration of the evaluation will be 27 days according to the following plan:

Activity	Timing	Completion Date
Preparation	3 days	27 March 2018
<b>Evaluation Mission</b>	15 days	16 April 2018
Draft Evaluation Report	7 days	07 May 2018
Final Report	2 days	28 May 2018

## **EVALUATION DELIVERABLES**

The evaluation team is expected to deliver the following:

Deliverable	Content	Timing	Responsibilities
Inception	Evaluator provides	No later than 2 weeks	Evaluator submits to UNDP CO
Report	clarifications on timing and method	before the evaluation mission.	
Presentation	Initial Findings	End of evaluation mission	To project management, UNDP CO

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> A useful tool for gauging progress to impact is the Review of Outcomes to Impacts (ROtI) method developed by the GEF Evaluation Office: ROTI Handbook 2009

<b>Draft Final</b>	Full report, (per	Within 3 weeks of the	Sent to CO, reviewed by UCP GC,
Report	annexed template) with	evaluation mission	PCU, GEF OFPs
	annexes		
Final Report*	Revised report	Within 1 week of receiving	Sent to CO for uploading to
		UNDP comments on draft	UNDP ERC.

<sup>\*</sup>When submitting the final evaluation report, the evaluator is required also to provide an 'audit trail', detailing how all received comments have (and have not) been addressed in the final evaluation report.

#### **TEAM COMPOSITION**

The evaluation team will be composed of 1 international (Team Leader, who shall finalize the Report) and 1 national evaluators. The consultants shall have prior experience in evaluating similar projects. Experience with GEF financed projects is an advantage. The evaluators selected should not have participated in the project preparation and/or implementation and should not have conflict of interest with project related activities.

The national Evaluator must present the following qualifications:

- Master's Degree on sociology, environmental science, environment & natural resources management or any related course
- Minimum five (5) years of relevant professional experience especially on results-based monitoring and evaluation methodologies
- Knowledge of UNDP and GEF, and experience of working on GEF evaluations
- Technical knowledge in the targeted focal area and familiarity with biodiversity conservation issues in the Philippines
- Knowledge and experience in diversification of protected area governance regimes, including recognition of ICCAs, community-based management schemes, and strengthening the role of subnational governments in biodiversity conservation
- Demonstrated experience in implementation and/or evaluation of capacity-building efforts in developing countries, in the area of biodiversity conservation
- Demonstrated understanding of issues related to gender, indigenous peoples, farmers and fishers
- Fluency in the English language and excellent oral and written communication skills required for both consultants
- Fluency in the Filipino Language; knowledge of Waray, Cuyuno or Ilocano is an advantage

## **EVALUATOR ETHICS**

Evaluation consultants will be held to the highest ethical standards and are required to sign a Code of Conduct (Annex E) upon acceptance of the assignment. UNDP evaluations are conducted in accordance with the principles outlined in the <a href="UNEG">UNEG 'Ethical Guidelines for Evaluations</a>'

## **PAYMENT MODALITIES AND SPECIFICATIONS**

The financial proposals from possible candidates should be expressed in lump sum amount inclusive of all financial costs related to this engagement (i.e. professional fees, transportation/travel to and from residence-Manila, reproduction, communications including internet). Domestic airfare, food

and accommodation of the team outside Manila will be shouldered by UNDP separately and only 20% of the DSA following the NIM rates will be provided.

%	Milestone
10%	Following acceptance of Inception Report
40%	Following submission and approval of the 1ST draft terminal evaluation report
50%	Following submission and approval (UNDP-CO and UNDP RTA) of the final terminal evaluation
	report

#### **APPLICATION PROCESS**

Applicants are requested to apply online

http://www.ph.undp.org/content/philippines/en/home/operations/jobs.html by 20 February 2018 Individual consultants are invited to submit applications together with their CV for these positions. The application should contain a current and complete C.V. in English with indication of the e-mail and phone contact. Shortlisted candidates will be requested to submit a price offer indicating the total cost of the assignment (including daily fee, per diem and travel costs).

UNDP applies a fair and transparent selection process that will take into account the competencies/skills of the applicants as well as their financial proposals. Qualified women and members of social minorities are encouraged to apply.

## **ANNEX A: PROJECT LOGICAL FRAMEWORK**

# PIMS 4517: The Fifth Operational Phase of the GEF – Small Grants Programme in the Philippines

# FIFTH OPERATIONAL PHASE OF THE GEF SMALL GRANTS PROGRAMME IN THE PHILIPPINES PROJECT LOGFRAME (REVISED AS OF 26 NOVEMBER 2014)

	Indicator	Baseline	Targets	Source of	Risks and Assumptions
			End of Project	verification	·
Project Objective					
Global environmental benefits secured through community-based biodiversity conservation initiatives and actions in selected priority sites in the Philippines	1. Increase in area under protection in community-managed or community-supported protected areas	1. None supported by the project	1. At least 100,000ha of protected areas under community management/ comanagement by recipients of grants under this project.	1. GEF-SGP portfolio reports/ PIR reports and final evaluation	
	2. Increase in area under certified or verified sustainable use by communities	2. None supported by the project.	2. At least 400,000ha of community agricultural, fishing or forestry area under certified or verified sustainable use by communities that are recipients of grants under this project.	2. GEF-SGP portfolio reports/ PIR reports and final evaluation	
Outcome 1  Effective models for community-based governance of	Number of community managed or co-managed PA	No specific community comanagement models identified in target areas.	At least 10 communities exhibit management or comanagement models of protected areas	Grantee progress reports and data from DENR-PAWB	Communities in the project areas have the interest and willingness to engage in the

protected areas demonstrated	models operational in project areas				management or co- management of PAs.
	Number of hectares protected through community-PA	Individual small community protection initiatives in existence in some local communities, but no comprehensive data available	At least 10 community- managed protected or conservation areas established or enhanced encompassing at least 100,00 hectres	Grantee progress reports	Communities in the project areas have the interest and willingness to engage in the management or comanagement of PAs.
	% increase in METT	Relevant METT indicators and baseline scores to be decided prior to each relevant grant inception.	40% increase in relevant dimensions of management effectiveness in target protected areas	METT scores reported in grantee progress reports	Improvement in the capacity of communities translates into significant increases in relevant dimensions of the METT framework during project lifetime.
	Number of hectares of mangroves and/or seagrass rehabilitated or protected.	None identified	1,000 hectares of mangrove and/or seagrass areas within the 100,000 ha communitymanaged protected or conserved areas are rehabilitated or protected	Grantee progress reports	Suitable mangrove areas are available with communities that are willing and able to protect them.
Component 2					
Outcome 2. Community-managed landscapes and seascapes explicitly integrate biodiversity	Number of hectares under improved community "mainstreamed" management within	Zero – no hectarage is under improved community-mainstreamed management.	400,000 hectares of production landscapes and/or seascapes are under community management or co-management	Grantee reports and grant portfolio overview reports	

conservation objectives	PLS, reducing threats to BD from slash and burn farming; over- harvesting of timber, and destructive fishing.		arrangements, mainstreaming biodiversity conservation objectives, thereby reducing threats to biodiversity	prepared by the GEF- SGP team	
	Number of community-based land use plans or Ancestral Domain plans that incorporate biodiversity and ecosystem services valuations.	None	At least 30 community-based land use plans or ancestral domain plan incorporate biodiversity and ecosystem services valuations	Copies of the plans as provided by grantees.	Ancestral Domain plan preparation processes are not unduly delayed by procedural requirements.
	Number of communities adopting TEV reports or similar ecosystem valuation approaches in development of ADSPs or other community land-use plans.	No community level TEV reports		Copies of land-use plans with references to TEV or other ecosystem valuation approaches.	Communities do not recognize the value of ecosystem valuation approaches sufficiently to invest the effort required to learn and apply the approach.
Outcome 3. Alternative biodiversity friendly agriculture, fisheries and forestry products produced and	Number of biodiversity-friendly products produced and marketed by communities.	There are no records bearing out the biodiversity-friendly products of local communities	30 communities produce and market biodiversity-friendly agriculture, fisheries, forestry and ecotourism products.	Copies of relevant regulations or citations in grantee progress reports.	

marketed by 30 communities					
Component 3					
Outcome 4: Increased capacity of GEF-SGP stakeholders to diagnose and understand the complex and dynamic nature of global environmental problems and to develop local solutions	# of community-level resource users and managers who are trained to use the GEF-SGP knowledge networking and partnership platforms, and are actively using these tools.	None amongst grantees to be selected (grants are generally awarded to grantees who have not previously benefitted from GEF-SGP capacity support)	At least 4,000 community-level resource users and managers are trained to use the GEF-SGP knowledge networking and partnership platforms, and are actively using these tools.	Training records; APR; Interviews.	
	# of new grants that replicate approaches	None	Conservation and sustainable use approaches of the projects are replicated in at least 20 new grants by year 4.	Actual grant proposals themselves; APR reports.	
	% increase in amount of co-funding for the Philippines GEF-SGP by year 3	Minimum of 1:1 co- funding for grants	50% increase in amount of co- funding for Philippines GEF- SGP by year 3	Co-funding agreements.	External financial factors do not inhibit availability of donor funding
	Number of governors who launch	Zero	Community-based partnership initiatives for GEF-SGP	Official declarations/ records/interviews	

	community-based partnerships by year 4		launched by at least 4 LGUs by end of year 4		
Outcome 5. Enhanced capacities of GEF-SGP grantees to monitor and evaluate their projects and environmental trends	# of GEF-SGP grantees participating in monitoring and evaluation training; % increase in knowledge before/after training	Grantees not yet trained	All project grantees, except Planning Grant recepients are trained on GEF-SGP M&E framework and protocols, improving 30% in level of knowledge on fundamentals of M&E  At least 80% of projects,except Planning Grants, adopt/adapt and implement GEF-SGP M&E framework and proptocols, and improve on the quality and accuracy of project monitoring reports, as assessed by progress reports	Training records; Before/after quiz results.	GEF-SGP grantees will have the discipline and inclination to monitor their project's progress.

# ANNEX B: LIST OF DOCUMENTS TO BE REVIEWED BY THE EVALUATORS AND PROJECTS FUNDED UNDER GEF-SGP OP5

## LIST OF DOCUMENTS TO BE REVIEWED BY THE EVALUATORS4

The evaluation will include the review of the following documents:

- Project Document and CEO Endorsement The Fifth Operational Phase of the GEF –
   Small Grants Programme in the Philippines
- Annual Reports (2014 Annual cum Inception Report, 2015, 2016 and 2017)
- Quarterly Reports
- APRs/PIRs (2014, 2015, 2016 and 2017)
- Minutes of National Steering Committee meetings
- Work and Financial Plans (2013, 2014, 2015, 2016, 2017 and 2018)
- SGP-5 Grant Making Guidelines
- Mid-Term Review Report

## **PROJECT BRIEF**

The Fifth Operational Phase of the GEF-Small Grants Programme in the Philippines (GEF-SGP OP5) was designed to: support local people's organizations, NGOs and CBOs in designing and implementing projects to contribute to global biodiversity conservation using the landscape approach and modeling and implementation of best practices. Individual small grant projects will contribute concrete outputs to the achievement of three inter-related components and their respective outcomes: (1) Community-based actions improve the sustainability of protected areas (PAs); (2) Mainstream biodiversity conservation and sustainable use into production landscapes, seascapes and sectors (PLS); and; (3) Cross Cutting Capacity Development and Knowledge Management. The majority of individual grants funded under this project will contribute to the achievement of the main project objective and targets included in the project results framework.

The goal of SGP-5 is to **secure global environmental benefits through community-based initiatives and actions in selected priority sites in the Philippines**.

SGP-5 has three components that encompass five outcome areas. The following enumerates these elements as well as the national targets under them:

**Component 1:** Community-based actions improve the sustainability of protected areas<sup>5</sup>

**Outcome 1:** Effective models for community-based governance of protected areas are demonstrated

## **Indicators:**

1.1 At least 10 communities exhibit management or co-management models of protected areas<sup>6</sup>

 $<sup>^{\</sup>rm 4}$  This list will be updated before TE as more documents become available.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> This component will help to support the development and implementation of community-based protected area models as a complementary form of PA management to NIPAS.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> As restated in the Progress Implementation Report, 30 June 2014.

- 1.2 At least 10 communities exhibit management or co-management models of protected areas<sup>7</sup>
- 1.3 At least 10 community-managed protected or conservation areas established or enhanced encompassing at least 100,000 hectares<sup>8</sup>
- 1.4 40% increase in relevant dimensions of management effectiveness in target protected areas, as measured by the METT
- 1.5 1,000 hectares of mangrove and/or seagrass areas within the 100,000ha community-managed protected or conserved areas are rehabilitated or protected<sup>9</sup>

**Component 2:** Mainstream biodiversity conservation and sustainable use into production landscapes, seascapes and sectors (PLS)<sup>10</sup>

**Outcome 2:** Community-managed landscapes and seascapes explicitly integrate biodiversity conservation objectives

### **Indicators:**

- 2.1 400,000 hectares of production landscapes and/or seascapes are under community management or co-management arrangements, mainstreaming biodiversity conservation objectives, thereby reducing threats to biodiversity<sup>11</sup>
- 2.2. At least 30 community-based land use plans or ancestral domain plans incorporate biodiversity and ecosystem services valuations. 12

**Outcome 3:** Alternative biodiversity friendly agriculture, fisheries and forestry products produced and marketed by 30 communities

### Indicator:

3.1 30 communities produce and market biodiversity-friendly agriculture, fisheries, forestry and ecotourism products.<sup>13</sup>

Component 3: Cross-cutting Capacity Development and Knowledge Management

**Outcome 4:** Increased capacity of GEF-SGP stakeholders to diagnose and understand the complex and dynamic nature of global environmental problems and to develop local solutions

## Indicators:

- 4.1 At least 4,000 community-level resource users and managers are trained to use the GEF-SGP knowledge networking and partnership platforms, and are actively using these tools.
- 4.2 Conservation and sustainable use approaches of the projects are replicated in at least 20 new grants by year 4<sup>14</sup>
- 4.3 50% increase in amount of co-funding for Philippines GEF-SGP by year 3<sup>15</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> As restated in the Progress Implementation Report, 30 June 2014.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> As restated in the Progress Implementation Report, 30 June 2014.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup> As restated in the Progress Implementation Report, 30 June 2014.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>10</sup> This component will support community initiatives in understanding and consequently integrating the principles, practices, and strategies of biodiversity conservation in the community's economic production activities.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>11</sup> As restated in the Progress Implementation Report, 30 June 2014.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>12</sup> As restated in the Progress Implementation Report, 30 June 2014.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>13</sup> As restated in the Progress Implementation Report, 30 June 2014.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>14</sup> As restated in the Progress Implementation Report, 30 June 2014.

 $<sup>^{15}</sup>$  As restated in the Progress Implementation Report, 30 June 2014.

4.4 Community-based partnership initiatives for GEF-SGP launched by at least 4 LGUs by end of Year  $4^{16}$ 

**Outcome 5:** Enhanced capacities of GEF-SGP grantees to monitor and evaluate their projects and environmental trends

### **Indicators:**

- 5.1 SGP Philippines M&E framework is established<sup>17</sup>
- 5.2. All project grantees, except Planning Grant recipients, are trained on GEF-SGP M&E framework and protocols, improving 30% in level of knowledge on fundamentals of M&E<sup>18</sup>
- 5.3. At least 80% of projects, except Planning Grants, adopt/adapt and implement GEF-SGP M&E framework and protocols, and improve on the quality and accuracy of project monitoring reports, as assessed by progress reports<sup>19</sup>

The Project commenced in June 2013 and was originally expected to end in June 2017. However, it has been granted a no-cost extension by the UNDP GEF Executive Coordinator until December 2018. It is implemented in Palawan, Samar Island and the Sierra Madre Mountain Range (which covers the provinces of Quezon, Laguna, Rizal, Bulacan, Aurora, Quirino, Nueva Ecija, Nueva Vizcaya, Isabela and Cagayan) as priority sites. It is managed by the Biodiversity Management Bureau (BMB, formerly PAWB) which has established a Country Project Management Unit (CPMU) to implement certain outputs and coordinate the work of partners in pilot sites.

## **LIST OF SGP-FUNDED PROJECTS**

Proponent Details	Project Title	Project Site	Project Commitments	Grant Amount
Priority Site: Samar Island				
Site Hub: Center for Empowerment and Resource Development, Inc. (CERD) Address: 117-D Kamuning Road, Quezon City  Contact Person: Julio Galvez Tan Managing Trustee +639209000234 jujutan@gmail.com	Samar Island Hub and Learning Center for Biodiversity Conservation	Samar Island	7,500 hectares of managed landscapes  1 community land/seascape plan that incorporate biodiversity and ecosystem services valuation	146,311.28
Eastern Visayas Partnership for Rural Development, Inc. (EVPRD) Address: PCA Compound, UEP Zone 3, Catarman 6400 Northern Samar, Philippines	Creating A Model on Social Fencing for SINP through Establishment of Organized Production and Marketing System for Abaca and other CBFM Products	Brgy. Cablangan, Mondragon, Northern Samar	1 community- based/co- management model 300 hectares of community-managed area	50,000.00

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>16</sup> As restated in the Progress Implementation Report, 30 June 2014.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>17</sup> As restated in the Progress Implementation Report, 30 June 2014.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>18</sup> As restated in the Progress Implementation Report, 30 June 2014.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>19</sup> As restated in the Progress Implementation Report, 30 June 2014.

MR. GREGORIO O. SARMIENTO			40 hectares of	
Project Coordinator			managed landscapes	
evprd2004@yahoo.com;				
egegsarmiento@yahoo.com			1 community/CBFM	
			plan that incorporates	
			biodiversity and	
			ecosystem services	
			valuation	
			10.00.0	
			1 community engaged	
			in BDFE (abaca)	
Nortehanon Access Center, Inc.	Enhanced Sustainable	Municipalities of	12 hectares of	43,151.63
(NAC)	Rice Production	Catarman,	production landscapes	43,131.03
(NAC)	Techniques: An	Mondragon and	and/or seascapes are	
Address:	Approach To	Bobon Northern	under community	
Nortehanon Access Center, Inc.	Biodiversity	Samar	management or co-	
	Conservation	Salliai	=	
(NAC) Lot 8, Block 10, University	Conservation		management	
			arrangements	
Homes, Catarman, Northern			1 community and duese	
Samar			1 community produces and markets 4	
Contrat Revenue				
Contact Person:			biodiversity-friendly	
MS. MAYBELLE M. CAMPS			agricultural products	
BOD Chairperson			(rice/ palay, salted	
camps.maybelle@gmail.com/092			egg, balut, palay	
73256156			seeds)	
Green Mindanao, Inc.	Basey Nipa	Basey, Eastern	1 community-	51,195.65
	Community	Samar	based/co-	
Address:	Conservation Project		management model	
Old Phase 1, Block 6 Lot 14,				
Xavier Height Subdivision			50 hectares of	
Upper Balulang, Cagayan De Oro			community-managed	
City			area	
Contact Person:			100 hectares of	
MR. GLICETO O. DAGONDON			managed landscapes	
Executive Director				
butch_dagondon@yahoo.com;			1 community	
09209134922			land/seascape plan	
			that incorporates	
			biodiversity and	
			ecosystem services	
			valuation	
			1 community engaged	
			in BDFE (nipa)	
Pasay, Kinis and Bangus	Community Based	Brgys. Tingzon and	1 community-	22,336.95
Producers Association (PAKIBA)	Mangrove	and Bagong	based/co-	
,		Sabang in San	management model	
	Management and			
Address:	Protection for	Jose, Northern		
Address: Brgy. P. Tingson, San Jose,	_		1 community-	
Address:	Protection for	Jose, Northern	1 community- managed area	
Address: Brgy. P. Tingson, San Jose,	Protection for	Jose, Northern	managed area	
Address: Brgy. P. Tingson, San Jose, Northern Samar  Contact Person:	Protection for	Jose, Northern	managed area management of 450	
Address: Brgy. P. Tingson, San Jose, Northern Samar	Protection for	Jose, Northern	managed area	
Address: Brgy. P. Tingson, San Jose, Northern Samar  Contact Person:	Protection for	Jose, Northern	managed area management of 450	

c/o CERD: cerd@cerd.ph; 09999195530; 091620194208			2 communities engaged in BDFE	
Lakas at Pagkakaisa ng Asosasyon sa San Pedro (LAPAS) Address: Brgy. San Pedro, Biri Northern Samar  Contact Person:	Increase Fish Catch to Increase Income Through Protection and Rehabilitation of the Coastal and Marine Resource	Brgys. McArthur, Kauswagan and San Pedro, Biri, Northern Samar	3 community- based/co- management model 1 community- managed area	22,488.04
Ricardo Albario LAPAS President 09217811745			management of 564 hectares of mangroves  3 communities engaged in BDFE	
NSEP-UEP Address: CESA Office, University of Eastern Philippines, University Town, Northern Samar Contact Person: MYRNA NICOL OGOC, PhD Chairperson/CEO myrna_uep@yahoo.com; 093990774757	Coastal Ecosystems Biodiversity Enhancement Project	Biri, Northern Samar: Kauswagan, Poblacion, Pio del Pilar Lavezares, Northern Samar: Borobaybay and Villaflores Rosario, Northern Samar: Jamoog and Vizcaya San Jose, Northern Samar: Dao and Geratag	1 community-based/co-management model 5 community-managed areas 40% METT increase in 1 community PA 1 communities engaged in BDFE	32,608.69
Sentro Ha Pagpauswag Ha Panginabuhi, Inc. (SPPI)  Address: National Highway, Brgy. Cawayan, Catarman, Northern Samar  Contact Person: Ms. JOELYN SOLDEVILLA-BIAG Executive Director sppi07@yahoo.com; 09258474337; 09778214419	Building Capacities on Biodiversity: Strengthening the Samar Island Seaweeds Value Chain Network	Biri, Lavezares, Rosario, San Jose, San Antonio, Capul, Mo ndragon and Laoang, Northern Samar	8 communities engaged in BDFE	39,134.78
Samar Center for Rural Education and Development, Inc. (SACRED)  Address: Guevarra Apartment, Room 1, Brgy. Dalakit, Catarman, Northern Samar  Contact Person: MR. RAUL C. DE LEON Executive Director sacred1990ngo@yahoo.com; 055 5009953; 09274976621	Upper Caynaga Community-based Upland Resource Development & Management Project	Brgy. Upper Caynaga, Lope de Vega, Northern Samar	1 community-based/co-management model  1 community-managed area  management of 50 hectares of mangroves  1 community land-use plan that incorporates biodiversity and	44,540.21

			ecosystem services	
			valuation	
			1 community engaged	
			in BDFE	
Cansangaya Fishermen's	Cansangaya Marine	Brgy. Cansangaya,	1 community-	17,391.30
Association (CFA)	Protected Area, Can-	Can-avid, Eastern	based/co-	17,331.30
Association (cl A)	avid, Eastern Samar	Samar	management model	
Address:	aviu, Lasterii Sairiai	Jaillai	management moder	
Brgy. Cansangaya, Can-avid,			1 community-	
Eastern Samar			managed area	
Eastern Samar			illallageu area	
Contact Person:			40% METT increase in	
MR. RAUL R. PRENSICA				
			1 community PA	
President			management of FO	
dan_alura@yahoo.com;			management of 50	
09193600071			hectares of	
			mangroves, seagrass	
			and coral reef area	
			1.0000000000000000000000000000000000000	
			1 community engaged	
	C 1 5 1	D 6 1	in BDFE	22.500.50
Philippine Business for Social	San Jorge Forest	Barangay San Jose,	1 community-	32,608.69
Progress (PBSP)	Reserve Protection	Barangay Sung-an,	based/co-	
Address:	Through Coffee	Barangay	management model	
PSDC Bldg., Magallanes cor. Real	Production	Mercedes		
Streets, Intramuros, Manila		municipality in	2 community-	
Contract Bonnage		Eastern Samar	managed areas	
Contact Person:			management of 5	
MR. RAFAEL C. LOPA			hectares of mangroves	
Executive Director			401 1 6	
pbsp@pbsp.org.ph; 02 2325270			10 hectares of	
			managed landscapes	
			2 communities	
			engaged in BDFE	
Guiuan Development	Lawaan Integrated	Lawaan, Eastern	1 community-	102,097.82
Foundation, Inc. (GDFI)	Ecosystems	Samar	based/co-	102,037.82
Foundation, inc. (GDFI)	Conservation Project	Sallial	management model	
Address:	Conservation Project		management moder	
Guimbaolibot Ave., Guiuan,			2 community-	
Eastern Samar			managed areas	
Lasterii Sairiai			illallageu aleas	
Contact Person:			1 community land-use	
PROF. MARGARITA T. DELA			· ·	
			plan that incorporates biodiversity and	
CRUZ			•	
Executive Director cruzmarge2003@yahoo.com;			ecosystem services valuation	
09189295852/09173211942			valuation	
03103233032/031/3211342			7696.16 hectares of	
			managed seascapes/ 13,826 hectares of	
			1	
			managed landscapes	
			management of 132	
			hectares of corals and	
			TIECTOLES OF COLOIS ALIO	

			275 h	
			275 hectares of	
			mangroves	
			3 communities	
			engaged in BDFE	
Priority Site: Sierra Madre Mounta	in Pange		eligageu III BDFL	
Site Hub, for Lower Sierra Madre:	Strengthening the	Provinces of	At least 1 community-	133,695.65
Tanggol Kalikasan (TK)	Networks of Lower	Nueva Ecija,	managed protected or	133,093.03
Tunggor Kunkusun (TK)	Sierra Madre CSOs for	Aurora, Bulacan,	conservation area	
Address:	Biodiversity	Rizal, Laguna, and	enhanced	
M-02 CRM III Bldg., 106 Kamias	Conservation and	Quezon	encompassing at least	
Road, Quezon City	Management	Que2011	5,000 hectares	
, ,				
Contact Person:			1,000 trained to use	
RAMON GRIMALDO			SGP knowledge	
President			networking and	
02 3760842			partnership platforms;	
c/o gene1631@gmail.com				
			5 replication projects;	
			25% increase in co-	
			financing;	
			1 partnership with LGU	
			launched	
			M&E System; grantees	
			trained on M&E 80%	
			of project holders	
			adopt/adapt SGP M&E	
			framework	
Site Hub, for Upper Sierra Madre:	Strengthening the CSO	Provinces of	creation of 1 CSO-	130,434.47
The Philippine Rural	Partners of GEF-SGP in	Nueva Vizcaya,	based network	,
Reconstruction Movement, Inc.	Upper Sierra Madre	Cagayan, Isabela		
(PRRM)		and Quirino	7 communities	
			engaged in BDFE	
Address:			(organic agriculture,	
56 Mother Ignacia Avenue corner			fisheries, forestry and	
Dr. Lazcano St., Brgy. Paligsahan,			ecotourism products)	
Quezon City				
			150 farmers trained on	
Contact Person:			sustainable agriculture	
MR. ISAGANI R. SERRANO			technologies; CSOs	
President			trained on biodiversity	
info@prrm.org,			management,	
iserrano@prrm.org; (+632) 3724989			sustainable agriculture, social	
3724303			entrepreneurship,	
			disaster risk reduction	
			and management	
Tanim Kalikasan (TanimK)	Community-based	SIERRA MADRE	7,086 hectares of	49,992.68
	Alternative Livelihood	General Nakar	managed landscapes	,= = ====
Address:	Initiatives in Southern			
M04 CRM III Building	Sierra Madre		1 community engaged	
I -	Sierra iviaure			
106 Kamias Road, Quezon City	Sierra Maure		in BDFE (coffee)	
I -	Sierra iviaure		in BDFE (coffee)	
106 Kamias Road, Quezon City	Sierra iviaure		in BDFE (coffee)	

MR. ESTACIO S. LIM, JR.				
Executive				
DirectorJayslim41@gmail.com				
Sustainable Environment for Rural Development Association (SERD)	R2R (Ridge to River) Management of Natural Resources: A Showcase Project for	SIERRA MADRE Barangays Eden and Dibibi, Cabarroquis,	1 community- based/co- management model	49,853.66
Address: Project Development Office, Quirino State University, Andres Bonifacio, Diffun, Quirino	Watershed Management and Biodiversity conservation in Quirino Province	Quirino	3,953 hectares of community-managed area  40% METT increase in	
Contact Person: MS. ELIZABETH T.CARIG Executive Director Sustainable Environment for	Quiiiio i ioiiiice		1 community PA	
Rural Development Association, Inc. serd_quirino@yahoo.com				
Kalahan Educational Foundation, Inc. (KEF)  Address:	ICCA and Community Enterprise Development Project in Cluster 1 of the	SIERRA MADRE Brgys. Bacneng, Barabac, Imugan, Malico, Sta. Rosa	3,000 hectares of community-managed area	50,000.00
Imugan, Sta. Fe, Nueva Vizcaya	Ikalahan/Kalanguya Ancestral Domain	and Unib, Municipality of	40% METT increase in 1 community ICCA	
Contact Person:  MR. MOISES O. PINDOG  Chairman of the Board		Sta. Fe, Nueva Vizcaya	100,000 hectares of	
kalahanef@gmail.com; sammybalinhawang@yahoo.com			managed landscapes  1 community plan incorporating ICCAs	
			3 communities engaged in BDFE (mushroom, sweets, ecotourism)	
Community Forestry Foundation, Inc. (CFFQI)  Address:	Integrated Micro- Watershed Enhancement Project	SIERRA MADRE Nagtipunan, Quirino	100 hectares of managed landscapes	44,634.15
Capitol Hills, Brgy. San Marcos, Cabarroguis, Quirino	Within Critical Slopes Of The Cagayan River Basin In Quirino		1 community plan that incorporates biodiversity and	
Contact Person: For. Bernardo A. Faraon Executive Director cffqi_ngo2003@yahoo.com/ 0935-803-4567/0936-495-3723	Province		ecosystem services valuation	
DALUHAY Daloy ng Buhay, Inc.	Synergistic and Ecocentric	Aurora Province, Municipalities of	7 community- based/co-	130,434.78
Address: Sitio Tibag, Purok 5, Brgy. Sahang, Baler, Aurora	Capacitation of Sierra Madre's Indigenous and Artisanal	Casiguran, Maria Aurora, Baler, San Luis and Dingalan	management model	
Sabang, Baler, Aurora  Contact Person:  MARIVIC G. PAJARO, Ph.D  Philippine Coordinator	Communities	Luis and Dingalah	26,535 hectares of community-managed area	

dalubay@greeil.com/	1		400/ NACTT : :	
daluhay@gmail.com/			40% METT increase in	
09127806338/09358100981			9 community	
			PAs/MPAs	
			protection of 25	
			hectares of mangroves	
			139,691 hectares of	
			managed landscapes	
			(ancestral domain)	
			9 community	
			land/seascape plans	
			that incorporate	
			biodiversity and	
			ecosystem services	
			valuation	
			4 communities	
			engaged in BDFE	
Friends of Environment for	Co-Managing Sierra	SIERRA MADRE	1 community-	148,817.07
Development and Sustainability,	Madre's Palali	Bambang,	based/co-	
Inc.	Mountain Range As	Bayombong,	management model	
(FRENDS)	Local Conservation	Kasibu and		
	Area for Biodiversity	Quezon, Nueva	43,359 hectares of	
Address:	Conservation and	Vizcaya	community-managed	
56B Quezon St., DDM,	Ecosystem Services		area	
Bayombong, Nueva Vizcaya				
			40% METT increase in	
			1 community PA	
Contact Person:				
MS. TERESITA SERMONIA-			20,000 hectares of	
ACOSTA			managed landscapes	
Executive Director				
frends1_99@yahoo.com/			1 community	
09209546491			land/seascape plan	
			that incorporates	
			biodiversity and	
			ecosystem services	
			valuation	
			4 communities	
			engaged in BDFE	
Sitio Maguli Marginal Farmers	Restoration of	Tumauini	1 community-	41,220.10
and Producers Cooperative	Degraded Forestlands	Watershed Forest	based/co-	
(SMMFPC)	and Biodiversity	Reserve (TWFR)	management model	
	within the Tumauini	Brgy. Antagan 1st,		
Address:	Watershed Forest	Tumauini, Isabela	30 hectares of	
Antagan 1st, Tumaini, Isabela	Reserve		community-managed	
			area	
Contact Person:				
MR. SAMUEL A. DIVINA			40% METT increase in	
BOD Chairman			1 community PA	
samanakatuwa@gmail.com/				
09289888009; 09178349215	<u> </u>			

Tribal Center for Development Foundation, Inc. (TCD)	Bantay Lupang Ninuno: Towards	Mts. Irid-Angelo, Barangay	1 community- based/co-	43,478.26
Address:	Strengthening the Dumagat-Remontado	Lumutan, General Nakar, Quezon,	management model	
86 Gomez St., Barangay	Indigenous	Region 4-A	2,60 hectares of	
Poblacion 39, Infanta, Quezon	Communities	Negion 4 A	community-managed	
1 oblación 33, infanta, Quezon	Conserved Area in		area	
Contact Person:	Mts. Irid-Angelo,		area	
MS. CONCHITA CALZADO	Barangay Lumutan,		40% METT increase in	
Executive Director	General Nakar,		1 community PA	
	Quezon, Region 4-A,			
tribalcenterdev@yahoo.com;sagi	Philippines		1 community land-use	
bin_katutubo@yahoo.com;			plan or ancestral	
sagibin_ln@yahoo.com;			domain plan that	
(042) 535-2453			incorporates	
09995567958; 09284891841;			biodiversity and	
09466275433			ecosystem services	
			valuation	
			1 community engaged	
			in BDFE (almaciga resin)	
Marine Environment and	Initiating Bioregional	Northern	1 community-	43,478.26
Resources Foundation, Inc.	Community-Based	Philippine Sea	based/co-	43,470.20
(MERF)	Biodiversity	Bioregion –	management model	
(	Conservation on the	Batanes, Cagayan,	(initiated by at least 7	
Address:	Benham Rise	Isabela, Aurora,	PLGUs/SUCs)	
Administration Office, Ground	Seamount	Quezon,		
Floor,		Camarines Sur,	Formation of a cadre	
The Marine Science Institute		Camarines Norte,	of future leaders in the	
Velasquez St., U.P. Diliman,		Catanduanes,	BBS-NPS region on	
Quezon City		Albay and	Tropical Marine	
		Sorsogon	Ecosystems	
Contact Person:			Management (TMEM)	
DR. GIL S. JACINTO				
President				
admin@merf.org.ph; 4333645				
mirasanchez@yahoo.com;				
5867484				
Bagong Lumad Artists	SiningBayan Social	Cagayan, Isabela,	1 album of songs and	32,608.69
Foundation, Inc. (BLAFI)	Artistry: Sierra Madre-	Aurora, Quezon,	dances collected from	
	North Philippine Sea	Quirino, Nueva	at least 7 communities	
Address:	Diversity and	Vizcaya and	in NPS and Sierra	
11 Sta. Lucia Street, Rosalia	Protected Areas	Catanduanes	Madre as a tool to	
Compound, TandangSora, QC	Enhancement		improve biodiversity conservation	
Contact Person:			approaches	
Mr. Joey Ayala			At least 1 Sierra Madre	
President			and North Philippine	
+6324567665			Sea group of YEAN	
+632456 7665			formed with at least	
joeyayala@blafi.org,			14 youth leaders from	
pauline@blafi.org			target sites trained to	
			promote biodiversity	
			conservation and	
			bioregional	

Inc. (EDNP)	Through Developing Community-based	Rizal	managed landscapes	
Cubao, Quezon City  Contact Person: Fr. PEDRO MONTALLANA Chairperson and Acting Executive Director savesierram@yahoo.com; 912 0224  Earth Day Network, Philippines,	community practices  Protecting Biodiversity	of General Nakar, Quezon Province; Brgy. Paltic, Dinagalan in Aurora Province; Brgy. Sto. Cristo, Norzagaray, Bulacan; Palaui Island in Cagayan; Sito Binbin in Carranglan, Nueva Ecija; and, Brgy. Dingading, San Guillermo, Isabela province. Daraitan, Tanay,	9 community plan that incorporates biodiversity and ecosystem services valuation 5 communities engaged in BDFE	28,260.86
Save Sierra Madre Network Alliance, Inc. (SSMNA)  Address: Room 232, St. Anthony Blvd., Cambridge St. cor. Aurora Blvd.,	Conserving and protecting the Sierra Madre Mountain Range through collective actions and sustainable	The community sites are located at Sitios Sari, Baykuran, Cablao, and Maktang all in the municipality	9 community- based/co- management models 4 community- managed areas	84,782.60
			NPS-Sierra Madre TrACK  1 online library for collection of TrACK songs, dance and others from objective	
			1 biodiversity conservation festival conducted with plans for annual or bi-annual gathering. Included 1 website/ Facebook page developed for	
			1 TESDA training regulations obtained on biodiversity conservation and management using social artistry	
			trained  1 action plan for biodiversity conservation strategies for individual sites and across the NPS	
			stewardship; at least 14 community level resource users are	

Address: Suite D3, 3rd Floor, Agcor Building, 335 Katipunan Ave., Brgy. Loyola Heights, Quezon City 1108 Philippines  Contact Person: Mr. Ryan Vita Executive Director (632) 239-0729; (632) 239-0729 secretariat@earthdayphilippines. org	Sustainable Use of Natural Resources		1 community engaged in BDFE	
UP Los Banos Foundation, Inc. (UPLBFI)  Address: UPLBFI Bldg., A.P. Aglibut Ave., UPLB, Los Banos, Laguna 4031  Contact Person: Dr. Casiano S. Abrigo, Jr. Executive Director 09217122591 cs_abrigo@yahoo.com	Organic Farming as a Sustainable Approach to Biodiversity Conservation in Ecologically Vulnerable Areas.	Brgy. Daraitan, Tanay, Rizal	3 communities engaged in BDFE	35,869.56
Gabriela Masipag Farmers Multi-Purpose Cooperative (GMFMPC)  Address: Gabriela, Diffun, Quirino  Contact Person: Antonio Agnapan Chairman 09214174224 antonioagnapan@rocketmail.co m	Land Degradation and Sustainable Forest Management	Brgy. Gabriela, Diffun, Quirino	1 community-based/co-management model  1 community-managed area management of 100 hectares of mangroves  1 community engaged in BDFE	17,934.78
Malasi Lake Producers Cooperative Address: Malasi Tree Park and Wildlife Sanctuary Critical Habitat Sub- Office, Sitio Malasi, San Antonio, Cabagan, Isabela Contact Person: Alexander C. Malsi Chairman Malasi Tree Park and Wildlife Sanctuary Critical Habitat Sub- Office, Sitio Malasi, San Antonio, Cabagan, Isabela 09364251922 malasilakes@gmail.com	Enhancement and Conservation of Wetlands Conservation Sites (Malasi Lakes)	Sitio Malasi, Barangay San Antonio, Municipality of Cabagan, Isabela Province	1 community- managed protected or conservation area encompassing at least 40 hectares.  At least 178 hectares of production landscapes and/or seascapes are under community management or co- management arrangements, mainstreaming biodiversity conservation objectives, thereby	1,000,000.0

Philippine Permaculture Association (PPA)	A Permacultured Divilacan: Integrating Permaculture	Municipality of Divilacan, Isabela Province	reducing threats to biodiversity.  1 alternative biodiversity-friendly agriculture, fisheries and forestry product produced and marketed by communities  1 community protected areas established or	1,000,000.0
Address: 20 Maginhawa Street, UP Village, Quezon City  Contact Person: Bert Peeters President 20 Maginhawa Street, UP Village, Quezon City	Principles in the Development Framework of Divilacan Town Proper		enhanced encompassing 10 hectares  10 hectares are under improved community "mainstreamed" management within production landscapes and/or seascapes	
09178041590 philippinepermacultureassoc@g mail.com			1 Livelihood center established for trading, storing and making agricultural, fisheries and/or forestry products	
Priority Site: Palawan Palawan NGO Network, Inc.	Palawan Envi-	The entire province	1 community-	146,341.46
(PNNI)  Address:	KATaLoG: Environmental Knowledge Archives	of Palawan as an environmental knowledge hub	based/co- management model	140,341.40
Manalo Extension cor. Gabinete Road, Brgy. Bancao-Bancao Puerto Princesa City, Palawan	Translated for Local Governance	Knowiedge nab	2,000 hectares of community-managed	
·			area	
Contact Person: ATTY. ROBERT A. CHAN Executive Director			• =	
Contact Person: ATTY. ROBERT A. CHAN Executive Director pnnipal@gmail.com/ 048 4335525			protection of 2,000 hectares of mangroves 700,000 hectares of ECAN managed by communities through law enforcement	
Contact Person: ATTY. ROBERT A. CHAN Executive Director pnnipal@gmail.com/ 048	Protecting Endangered Species Along Barbacan River	PALAWAN Seven (7) Barangays north of	protection of 2,000 hectares of mangroves  700,000 hectares of ECAN managed by communities through law enforcement  2 community-based/co-	50,004.88
Contact Person: ATTY. ROBERT A. CHAN Executive Director pnnipal@gmail.com/ 048 4335525  Palawan Center for Appropriate Rural Technology, Inc. (PCART)  Address:	_		area  protection of 2,000 hectares of mangroves  700,000 hectares of ECAN managed by communities through law enforcement 2 community- based/co- management model  5,400 hectares of	50,004.88
Contact Person: ATTY. ROBERT A. CHAN Executive Director pnnipal@gmail.com/ 048 4335525  Palawan Center for Appropriate Rural Technology, Inc. (PCART)	Endangered Species Along Barbacan River and its Mangrove	Seven (7) Barangays north of	area  protection of 2,000 hectares of mangroves  700,000 hectares of ECAN managed by communities through law enforcement 2 community- based/co- management model	50,004.88
Contact Person: ATTY. ROBERT A. CHAN Executive Director pnnipal@gmail.com/ 048 4335525  Palawan Center for Appropriate Rural Technology, Inc. (PCART)  Address: Unit 2, Zanzibar Bldg., Rizal Ave.,	Endangered Species Along Barbacan River and its Mangrove	Seven (7) Barangays north of	area  protection of 2,000 hectares of mangroves  700,000 hectares of ECAN managed by communities through law enforcement  2 community- based/co- management model  5,400 hectares of community-managed	50,004.88

Palawan Center for Appropriate Rural Technology, Inc. bioform@gmail.com; oyen.padilla@gmail.com    Abaroan Small Farmers   Association, Inc. (ASFA)   Management of Tutud Watershed and the whole CBFM in Abaroan, Roxas, Palawan   Palawan   Southern Palawan   Southern Palawan   Security   Palawan   Security   Palawan   Security   Palawan   Southern Palaw
biofarm@gmail.com; oyen.padilla@gmail.com  Abaroan Small Farmers Association, Inc. (ASFA)  Address: Barangay Abaroan, Roxas, 5304 Palawan  Contact Person: MR. ERNESTO D. SILVANO President asfaroxas@gmail.com  Institute for the Development of Educational and Ecological Alternatives, Inc. (IDEAS) Conservation and Address: Sitio Sabsaban, Tabon, Quezon, 5304 Palawan  Contact Person: MR. ROGER V. GARINGA Executive Director ideaspalawan@yahoo.com.ph; rvgaringa@gmail.com  Kalipunan ng Pundasyon ng mga Tagbanua (SARAGPUNTA)  Kalipunan ng Pundasyon ng mga Tagbanua (SARAGPUNTA)  Toward Sustainable Management of Toward Sustainable Management of Tutud Watershed and word watershed and sengaged in ecotourism  1 communities engaged in ecotourism  1 community- based/co- management model  1 community- based/co- management model  2 conservation and PALAWAN Southern Palawan – Selected Communities as Pilot sites within Mt. Mantalingahan Protected landscape, Malanut Watershed, and Victoria Anepahan Range within the administrative jurisdiction of the municipality of Quezon in the province of Palawan  Tagbanua (SARAGPUNTA)  Rayawan  1 community- based/co- management model  48,780.40  5 community- based/co- management model  1 community- based/co- management model  2 despriations of communities as Pilot sites within Mt. Mantalingahan Protected landscape, Malanut Watershed, and Victoria Anepahan Range within the administrative jurisdiction of the municipality of Quezon in the province of Palawan  1 community- Dased/co- management model  3 community- based/co- management model  48,780.40  6 community- based/co- management model  1 community- based/co- management model  2 despriations of community- based/co- management model  2 despriations of community- based/co- management model  3 community- based/co- management model  2 despriations of community- based/co- management model  2 despriations of community- based/co- management model  2 despriations of community- based/co- management model  1 community- based/co- management model  1 comm
Abaroan Small Farmers Abaroan Small Farmers Association, Inc. (ASFA)  Address: Barangay Abaroan, Roxas, 5304 Palawan  Institute for the Development of Educational and Ecological Alternatives, Inc. (IDEAS) Sitio Sabsaban, Tabon, Quezon, 5304 Palawan  Contact Person: MR. ROGER V. GARINGA Executive Director ideaspalawan@yahoo.com.ph; rvgaringa@gmail.com  Kalipunan ng Pundasyon ng mga Tagbanua (SARAGPUNTA)  Kalipunan ng Pundasyon ng mga Tagbanua (SARAGPUNTA)  Tuwad Sustainable Management of Tutud Watershed and the whole CBFM in Abaroan in Rowas Bry. Abaroan in Roxas 1 community based/comanagement model  1 community food Southern Palawan - Selected Communities as Pilot sites within Mt. Mantalingahan Protected landscape, Malanut Watershed, and Victoria Anepahan that incorporate biodiversity and ecosystem services valuation  Kalipunan ng Pundasyon ng mga Tagbanua (SARAGPUNTA)  Rowas  PALAWAN 3 community- based/comanagement model Community-managed area  1 community- based/comanagement model Communities as Pilot sites within Mt. Mantalingahan protected landscape, Malanut Watershed, and Victoria Anepahan that incorporate biodiversity and ecosystem services valuation for Quezon in the province of Palawan  Kalipunan ng Pundasyon ng mga Tagbanua (SARAGPUNTA)  Rowas  1 community- based/co- management model 3 community- based/co- management model Communities as Pilot sites within Mt. Mantalingahan protected landscape, Malanut Watershed, and Victoria Anepahan that incorporate biodiversity and ecosystem services valuation food plants)
Abaroan Small Farmers Association, Inc. (ASFA) Association, Inc. (ASFA) Barangay Abaroan, Roxas, 5304 Palawan  Contact Person: MR. ERNESTO D. SILVANO President asfaroxas@gmail.com Institute for the Development of Educational and Ecological Alternatives, Inc. (IDEAS) Address: Sitio Sabsaban, Tabon, Quezon, 5304 Palawan  Contact Person: MR. ROGER V. GARINGA Executive Director ideaspalawan@yahoo.com.ph; rvgaringa@gmail.com  Kalipunan ng Pundasyon ng mga Tagbanua (SARAGPUNTA)  Toward Sustainable Management of Tutud Watershed and the whole CBFM in Abaroan, Roxas, PALAWAN Bryy. Abaroan in Roxas  PALAWAN 3,953 hectares of community-managed area  3,953 hectares of community-managed area  48,780.40  Communities as Pilot sites within Mt. Mantalingahan Protected landscape, Malanut Watershed, and Victoria Anepahan Range within the administrative jurisdiction of the municipality of Quezon in the province of Palawan  Pagpapatibay ng Pagpapatibay ng PALAWAN 3 community- based/co- management model Community- based/co- management model  Community- based/co- management model  Admress: 3,953 hectares of community- based/co- management model  Admress: 3,953 hectares of community- based/co- management model  Admress:  Security Astronanin Roxas  Admress:  3,953 hectares of community- managed area  48,780.40  48,780.40  Alternatives angement model Community- based/co- management model Community- managed area  1 community- based/co- management model Community- based/co- management model Community- managed area  1 community- based/co- management model Community- based/co- management on community- based/co- management on commu
Abaroan Small Farmers Association, Inc. (ASFA)  Address: Barangay Abaroan, Roxas, 5304 Palawan  Contact Person: MR. ERNESTO D. SILVANO President asfaroxas@gmail.com Institute for the Development of Educational and Ecological Alternatives, Inc. (IDEAS) Alternatives, Inc. (IDEAS) Sitio Sabsaban, Tabon, Quezon, 5304 Palawan  Contact Person: MR. ROGER V. GARINGA Executive Director ideaspalawan@yahoo.com.ph; rvgaringa@gmail.com  MR. ROGER V. GARINGA Executive Director ideaspalawan@yahoo.com.ph; rvgaringa@gmail.com  Kabaroan Sustainable Management of Tutud Watershed, and with whole CBFM in Abaroan, Roxas, Palawan  PALAWAN Brgy. Abaroan in Roxas  PALAWAN Brgy. Abaroan in Roxas  PALAWAN Southern Palawan  Southern Palawan Southern Palaw
Association, Inc. (ASFA)  Address: Barangay Abaroan, Roxas, 5304 Palawan  Contact Person: MR. ERNESTO D. SILVANO President asfaroxas@gmail.com Institute for the Development of Educational and Ecological Alternatives, Inc. (IDEAS) Address: Sitio Sabsaban, Tabon, Quezon, 5304 Palawan  Contact Person: MR. ROXAS  Enhancing Indigenous Food Plant Biodiversity Conservation and Promotion for Community Food Selected Communities as Pilot sites within Security  Mt. Mantalingahan Protected landscape, Malanut Watershed, and Victoria Anepahan Range within the administrative jurisdiction of the municipality of Quezon in the province of Palawan  Kalipunan ng Pundasyon ng mga Tagbanua (SARAGPUNTA)  Management of Tutud Watershed and the whole CBFM in Abaroan in Roxas  Brgy. Abaroan in Roxas  Brey. Abaroan
Tutud Watershed and the whole CBFM in Abaroan, Roxas, 5304 Palawan  Contact Person: MR. ROGER V. GARINGA Executive Director ideaspalawan@yahoo.com.ph; rvgaringa@gmail.com  Kalipunan ng Pundasyon ng mga Tagbanua (SARAGPUNTA)  Tutud Watershed and the whole CBFM in Abaroan, Roxas, Palawan  Tutud Watershed and the whole CBFM in Abaroan, Roxas, Palawan  Ad the whole CBFM in Abaroan, Roxas, Palawan  Ad the whole CBFM in Abaroan, Roxas, Palawan  Ad the whole CBFM in Abaroan, Roxas, Palawan  Says a management model  3,953 hectares of community-managed area  3 community-based/co-management model  Communities as Pilot sites within Mt. Mantalingahan Protected landscape, Malanut Watershed, and Victoria Anepahan Range within the administrative jurisdiction of the municipality of Quezon in the province of Palawan  Kalipunan ng Pundasyon ng mga Tagbanua (SARAGPUNTA)  Tutud Watershed and the whole CBFM in Abaroan, Roxas, Palawan  PALAWAN  Southern Palawan – Selected Communities as Pilot sites within Mt. Mantalingahan Protected landscape, Malanut Watershed, and Victoria Anepahan Range within the administrative jurisdiction of the municipality of Quezon in the province of Palawan  Kalipunan ng Pundasyon ng mga Tagbanua (SARAGPUNTA)  Pagpapatibay ng Programa ng Labing apat (14) na komunidad sa
Address: Barangay Abaroan, Roxas, 5304 Palawan  Contact Person: MR. ERNESTO D. SILVANO President asfaroxas@gmail.com  Institute for the Development of Educational and Ecological Alternatives, Inc. (IDEAS)  Address: Sitio Sabsaban, Tabon, Quezon, 5304 Palawan  Contact Person: MR. ROGER V. GARINGA Executive Director ideaspalawan@yahoo.com.ph; rygaringa@gmail.com  Kalipunan ng Pundasyon ng mga Tagbanua (SARAGPUNTA)  and the whole CBFM in Abaroan, Roxas, Palawan  BABAROAN, PALAWAN Southern Palawan – Selected Community- Southern Palawan – Selected Communities as Pilot sites within Mt. Mantalingahan Protected landscape, Malanut Watershed, and Victoria Anepahan Range within the administrative jurisdiction of the municipality of Quezon in the province of Palawan Programa ng Programa ng Pagapatibay ng Pagapatibay ng Palawan  3,953 hectares of community- based/co- management model 5900 hectares of community-based/co- management model 5900 hectares of community-based/co- management model community-managed area  1 tabing paga (14) na komunidad sa
Barangay Abaroan, Roxas, 5304 Palawan  Contact Person: MR. ERNESTO D. SILVANO President asfaroxas@gmail.com Institute for the Development of Educational and Ecological Alternatives, Inc. (IDEAS) Address: Sitio Sabsaban, Tabon, Quezon, 5304 Palawan  Contact Person: MR. ROGER V. GARINGA Executive Director ideaspalawan@yahoo.com.ph; rvgaringa@gmail.com  Kalipunan ng Pundasyon ng mga Tagbanua (SARAGPUNTA)  In Abaroan, Roxas, Palawan  Palawan  Adwass, Palawan  PALAWAN Southern Palawan – Palawan – Southern P
Palawan  Contact Person: MR. ERNESTO D. SILVANO President asfaroxas@gmail.com  Institute for the Development of Educational and Ecological Alternatives, Inc. (IDEAS)  Address: Sitio Sabsaban, Tabon, Quezon, 5304 Palawan  Contact Person: MR. ROGER V. GARINGA Executive Director ideaspalawan@yahoo.com.ph; rvgaringa@gmail.com  Kalipunan ng Pundasyon ng mga Tagbanua (SARAGPUNTA)  Palawan  Palawan  PALAWAN Southern Palawan – Selected Community- based/co-management model Communities as Pomotion for Community Food Security  PALAWAN Southern Palawan – Selected Communities as Pilot sites within Mt. Mantalingahan Protected landscape, Malanut Watershed, and Victoria Anepahan Range within the administrative jurisdiction of the municipality of Quezon in the province of Palawan Pomotion for Community Food Security  It community plans that incorporate biodiversity and ecosystem services valuation  1 community engaged in BDFE (indigenous food plants)  Kalipunan ng Pundasyon ng mga Tagbanua (SARAGPUNTA)  Pagpapatibay ng Palawan  Community-managed area  1 community- based/co-  Maternative, plased/co-  Maternative, plased/c
Contact Person: MR. ERNESTO D. SILVANO President asfaroxas@gmail.com  Institute for the Development of Educational and Ecological Alternatives, Inc. (IDEAS)  Address: Sitio Sabsaban, Tabon, Quezon, 5304 Palawan  Contact Person: MR. ROGER V. GARINGA Executive Director ideaspalawan@yahoo.com.ph; rvgaringa@gmail.com  MR. ROGER V. GARINGA Executive Director ideaspalawan@yahoo.com.ph; rvgaringa@gmail.com  Kalipunan ng Pundasyon ng mga Tagbanua (SARAGPUNTA)  Kalipunan ng Pundasyon ng mga Tagbanua (SARAGPUNTA)  Institute for the Development of Enhancing Indigenous Food Indigenous Food Palawan  PALAWAN Southern Palawan Southern Palawan – based/comanagement model  Communities as Pilot sites within Mt. Mantalingahan Protected Iandscape, Malanut Watershed, and Victoria Anepahan Range within the administrative jurisdiction of the municipality of Quezon in the province of Palawan food plants)  Kalipunan ng Pundasyon ng mga Tagbanua (SARAGPUNTA)  Pagpapatibay ng Palawan   Programa ng Pagpapatibay ng Pagpapatibay ng Valawan   Asmunity- based/co-
Contact Person: MR. ERNESTO D. SILVANO President asfaroxas@gmail.com  Institute for the Development of Educational and Ecological Alternatives, Inc. (IDEAS)  Address: Sitio Sabsaban, Tabon, Quezon, 5304 Palawan  Contact Person: MR. ROGER V. GARINGA Executive Director ideaspalawan@yahoo.com.ph; rvgaringa@gmail.com  Kalipunan ng Pundasyon ng mga Tagbanua (SARAGPUNTA)  Institute for the Development of Edhancing Indigenous Food Plant Biodiversity Conservation and Promotion for Communities as Pilot sites within Mt. Mantalingahan Protected landscape, Malanut Watershed, and Victoria Anepahan Range within the administrative jurisdiction of the municipality of Quezon in the province of Palawan  Address: Sitio Sabsaban, Tabon, Quezon, Security  Southern Palawan Southern Palawan  Southern Palawan  Mt. Mantalingahan Protected landscape, Malanut Watershed, and Victoria Anepahan Range within the administrative jurisdiction of the municipality of Quezon in the province of Palawan  Frograma ng Pagpapatibay ng  Programa ng Pagpapatibay ng  Indigenous 3 community- based/co- management model Commun
MR. ERNESTO D. SILVANO President asfaroxas@gmail.com  Institute for the Development of Educational and Ecological Alternatives, Inc. (IDEAS)  Address: Sitio Sabsaban, Tabon, Quezon, 5304 Palawan  Contact Person: MR. ROGER V. GARINGA Executive Director ideaspalawan@yahoo.com.ph; rvgaringa@gmail.com  Kalipunan ng Pundasyon ng mga Tagbanua (SARAGPUNTA)  Pinhancing Indigenous Food Indigenous Food Indigenous Food Indigenous Food Southern Palawan — Sou
President asfaroxas@gmail.com  Institute for the Development of Educational and Ecological Alternatives, Inc. (IDEAS)  Address: Sitio Sabsaban, Tabon, Quezon, 5304 Palawan  Contact Person: MR. ROGER V. GARINGA Executive Director ideaspalawan@yahoo.com.ph; rvgaringa@gmail.com  Kalipunan ng Pundasyon ng mga Tagbanua (SARAGPUNTA)  Kalipunan ng Pundasyon ng mga Tagbanua (SARAGPUNTA)  Indigenous Food Indigenous Food Southern Palawan — based/co-management model Communities as Pilot sites within Mc Ocommunity Food Southern Palawan — based/co-management model Communities as Pilot sites within Mc Mantalingahan Frotected Indiscape, Malanut Watershed, and Victoria Anepahan Range within the administrative jurisdiction of the municipality of Quezon in the province of Palawan  Kalipunan ng Pundasyon ng mga Tagbanua (SARAGPUNTA)  Programa ng Papapatibay ng Pagapatibay ng Pagapatibay ng Namunida sa Namunity-based/co-  PALAWAN  3 community- based/co-management model Communities as Programa ng Pilot sites within Mt. Mantalingahan Food Community-managed area  3 community- based/co- management model Community-managed area  1 community-managed area  1 community plans that incorporate biodiversity and ecosystem services valuation  1 community engaged in BDFE (indigenous food plants)  1 community-managed area  1 community plans that incorporate biodiversity and ecosystem services valuation  1 community engaged in BDFE (indigenous food plants)
asfaroxas@gmail.com  Institute for the Development of Educational and Ecological Alternatives, Inc. (IDEAS)  Address: Sitio Sabsaban, Tabon, Quezon, 5304 Palawan  Contact Person: MR. ROGER V. GARINGA Executive Director ideaspalawan@gmail.com  Kalipunan ng Pundasyon ng mga Tagbanua (SARAGPUNTA)  Enhancing Indigenous Food Plant Biodiversity Conservation and Promotion for Communities as Pilot sites within Mt. Mantalingahan Protected Iandscape, Malanut Watershed, and Victoria Anepahan Range within the administrative jurisdiction of the municipality of Quezon in the province of Palawan  Kalipunan ng Pundasyon ng mga Tagbanua (SARAGPUNTA)  PALAWAN Southern Palawan Southern Palawan - Southern Pa
Institute for the Development of Educational and Ecological Alternatives, Inc. (IDEAS)  Address: Sitio Sabsaban, Tabon, Quezon, 5304 Palawan  Contact Person: MR. ROGER V. GARINGA Executive Director ideaspalawan@yahoo.com.ph; rvgaringa@gmail.com  Kalipunan ng Pundasyon ng mga Tagbanua (SARAGPUNTA)  Indigenous Food Plant Biodiversity Conservation and Promotion for Communities as Pilot sites within Mt. Mantalingahan Selected Community-managed area  3 community-based/co-management model  3 community-based/co-management model  3 community-managed area  3 community-managed area  3 community-managed area  48,780.40  48,780.40  Address: Pilot sites within Mt. Mantalingahan Protected Induscape, Malanut Watershed, and Victoria Anepahan Range within the administrative pirisdiction of the municipality of Quezon in the province of Palawan Food plants)  Kalipunan ng Pundasyon ng mga Tagbanua (SARAGPUNTA)  Pagpapatibay ng  PALAWAN Southern Palawan – Selected Community-based/co-management model  3 community-based/co-management model  3 community-based/co-management model  48,780.40  Address:  Selected Community-based/co-management model  48,780.40  Address: Suthin Mt. Mantalingahan Security area dividence of plants biodiversity and ecosystem services valuation  48,780.40  48,780.40  48,780.40  48,780.40
Institute for the Development of Educational and Ecological Alternatives, Inc. (IDEAS)  Address: Sitio Sabsaban, Tabon, Quezon, 5304 Palawan  Contact Person: MR. ROGER V. GARINGA Executive Director ideaspalawan@yahoo.com.ph; rvgaringa@gmail.com  Kalipunan ng Pundasyon ng mga Tagbanua (SARAGPUNTA)  Indigenous Food Plant Biodiversity Conservation and Promotion for Communities as Pilot sites within Mt. Mantalingahan Selected Community-managed area  3 community-based/co-management model  3 community-based/co-management model  3 community-managed area  3 community-managed area  3 community-managed area  48,780.40  48,780.40  Address: Pilot sites within Mt. Mantalingahan Protected Induscape, Malanut Watershed, and Victoria Anepahan Range within the administrative pirisdiction of the municipality of Quezon in the province of Palawan Food plants)  Kalipunan ng Pundasyon ng mga Tagbanua (SARAGPUNTA)  Pagpapatibay ng  PALAWAN Southern Palawan – Selected Community-based/co-management model  3 community-based/co-management model  3 community-based/co-management model  48,780.40  Address:  Selected Community-based/co-management model  48,780.40  Address: Suthin Mt. Mantalingahan Security area dividence of plants biodiversity and ecosystem services valuation  48,780.40  48,780.40  48,780.40  48,780.40
Educational and Ecological Alternatives, Inc. (IDEAS)  Address: Sitio Sabsaban, Tabon, Quezon, 5304 Palawan  Contact Person: MR. ROGER V. GARINGA Executive Director ideaspalawan@yahoo.com.ph; rvgaringa@gmail.com  Kalipunan ng Pundasyon ng mga Tagbanua (SARAGPUNTA)  Kalipunan ng Pundasyon ng mga Tagbanua (SARAGPUNTA)  Itolica Biodiversity Conservation and Plant Biodiversity Conservation and Plant Biodiversity Conservation and Plant Biodiversity Communities as Pilot sites within Mt. Mantalingahan Protected landscape, Malanut Watershed, and Victoria Anepahan Range within the administrative jurisdiction of the municipality of Quezon in the province of Palawan  Kalipunan ng Pundasyon ng mga Tagbanua (SARAGPUNTA)  Pagpapatibay ng  Southern Palawan — based/co-management model  Communities as Pilot sites within Mt. Mantalingahan Protected landscape, Malanut Victoria Anepahan Range within the administrative good province of palawan  Southern Palawan — based/co-management model  Communities as Pilot sites within Mt. Mantalingahan Protected landscape, Malanut victoria Anepahan Range within the administrative good province of palawan  Southern Palawan — based/co-management model  Communities as Pilot sites within Mt. Mantalingahan Protected landscape, Malanut victoria Anepahan Range within the administrative good province of palawan  Soummunity plans that incorporate biodiversity and ecosystem services valuation  1 community engaged in BDFE (indigenous food plants)  Labing apat (14) na komunity-based/co-
Alternatives, Inc. (IDEAS)  Plant Biodiversity Conservation and Address: Sitio Sabsaban, Tabon, Quezon, 5304 Palawan  Contact Person: MR. ROGER V. GARINGA Executive Director ideaspalawan@yahoo.com.ph; rvgaringa@gmail.com  Malipunan ng Pundasyon ng mga Tagbanua (SARAGPUNTA)  Plant Biodiversity Conservation and Promotion for Community Food Security  Selected Communities as Pilot sites within Mt. Mantalingahan Protected landscape, Malanut Watershed, and Victoria Anepahan Range within the administrative jurisdiction of the municipality of Quezon in the province of Palawan  Programa ng Pagpapatibay ng Pagpapatibay ng  Plant Biodiversity Communities as Pilot sites within Mt. Mantalingahan Sommunity-managed area  3 community plans that incorporate biodiversity and ecosystem services valuation  1 community engaged in BDFE (indigenous food plants)  117,315.21
Address: Sitio Sabsaban, Tabon, Quezon, 5304 Palawan  Community Food Security  Contact Person: MR. ROGER V. GARINGA Executive Director ideaspalawan@yahoo.com.ph; rvgaringa@gmail.com  Malipunan ng Pundasyon ng mga Tagbanua (SARAGPUNTA)  Conservation and Promotion for Community Food Security  Mt. Mantalingahan Protected landscape, Malanut Watershed, and Victoria Anepahan Range within the administrative jurisdiction of the municipality of Quezon in the province of Palawan  Labing apat (14) na komunidad sa  Pood hectares of community-managed area  3 community plans that incorporate biodiversity and ecosystem services valuation  1 community engaged in BDFE (indigenous food plants)  117,315.21
Address: Sitio Sabsaban, Tabon, Quezon, 5304 Palawan  Contact Person: MR. ROGER V. GARINGA Executive Director ideaspalawan@yahoo.com.ph; rvgaringa@gmail.com  Kalipunan ng Pundasyon ng mga Tagbanua (SARAGPUNTA)  Promotion for Community Food Mt. Mantalingahan Protected landscape, Malanut Watershed, and Victoria Anepahan Range within the administrative jurisdiction of the municipality of Quezon in the province of Palawan  Kalipunan ng Pundasyon ng mga Tagbanua (SARAGPUNTA)  Promotion for Community Food Mt. Mantalingahan Protected area  Mt. Mantalingahan Security  Mt. Mantalingahan Security  A community-managed area  3 community plans that incorporate biodiversity and ecosystem services valuation  1 community engaged in BDFE (indigenous food plants)  Labing apat (14) na komunidad sa komunidad sa komunidad sa based/co-
Sitio Sabsaban, Tabon, Quezon, 5304 Palawan  Contact Person: MR. ROGER V. GARINGA Executive Director ideaspalawan@yahoo.com.ph; rvgaringa@gmail.com  Kalipunan ng Pundasyon ng mga Tagbanua (SARAGPUNTA)  Community Food Security  Mt. Mantalingahan Protected landscape, Malanut Watershed, and Victoria Anepahan Range within the administrative pircitor ijurisdiction of the municipality of Quezon in the province of Palawan  Executive Director ideaspalawan@yahoo.com.ph; rvgaringa@gmail.com  Mt. Mantalingahan Protected landscape, Malanut Watershed, and Victoria Anepahan Range within the administrative pionistrative ijurisdiction of the municipality of Quezon in the province of Palawan  Example Victoria Anepahan Range within the administrative ijurisdiction of the municipality of Quezon in the province of Palawan  Example Victoria Anepahan Programa in Programa in BDFE (indigenous food plants)  Example Victoria Anepahan Range within the administrative pionistrative in BDFE (indigenous food plants)  Example Victoria Anepahan Range within the administrative pionistrative province of plants in BDFE (indigenous food plants)  Example Victoria Anepahan Range within the administrative pionistrative province of province of plants in BDFE (indigenous food plants)  Example Victoria Anepahan Range within the administrative pionistrative province of province of plants in BDFE (indigenous food plants)
Security  Protected landscape, Malanut Watershed, and Victoria Anepahan Range within the administrative jurisdiction of the municipality of Quezon in the province of Palawan  Kalipunan ng Pundasyon ng mga Tagbanua (SARAGPUNTA)  Security  Protected landscape, Malanut Watershed, and Victoria Anepahan Range within the administrative ecosystem services valuation  Quezon in the province of palawan  Labing apat (14) na komunidad sa  Programa ng Pagpapatibay ng  Protected landscape, Malanut Watershed, and Victoria Anepahan that incorporate biodiversity and ecosystem services valuation  1 community engaged in BDFE (indigenous food plants)  Labing apat (14) na komunidad sa  National Security  Protected landscape, Malanut Watershed, and Security plans  1 that incorporate biodiversity and ecosystem services valuation  Palawan 1 community engaged in BDFE (indigenous food plants)  Labing apat (14) na kommunity- based/co-
Iandscape, Malanut   Watershed, and   Scommunity plans   Watershed, and   Victoria Anepahan   Range within the   administrative   ecosystem services   jurisdiction of the   municipality of   Quezon in the   province of   Palawan   Food plants   Programa ng   Programa ng   Pagpapatibay ng   Pagpapatibay ng   Range within the   diodiversity and   ecosystem services   valuation   valuatio
Contact Person: MR. ROGER V. GARINGA Executive Director ideaspalawan@yahoo.com.ph; rvgaringa@gmail.comWatershed, and Victoria Anepahan Range within the administrative jurisdiction of the municipality of Quezon in the province of Palawan3 community plans that incorporate biodiversity and ecosystem services valuationKalipunan ng Pundasyon ng mga Tagbanua (SARAGPUNTA)Programa ng Programa ng Pagpapatibay ngLabing apat (14) na komunidad sa4 community- based/co-
MR. ROGER V. GARINGA Executive Director ideaspalawan@yahoo.com.ph; rvgaringa@gmail.com  MR. ROGER V. GARINGA Executive Director ideaspalawan@yahoo.com.ph; rvgaringa@gmail.com  MR. ROGER V. GARINGA Range within the administrative jurisdiction of the municipality of Quezon in the province of Palawan  Kalipunan ng Pundasyon ng mga Tagbanua (SARAGPUNTA)  Pagpapatibay ng  Victoria Anepahan Range within the biodiversity and ecosystem services valuation  1 community engaged in BDFE (indigenous food plants)  Labing apat (14) na komunidad sa komunidad sa based/co-
Executive Director ideaspalawan@yahoo.com.ph; rvgaringa@gmail.com  Range within the administrative jurisdiction of the municipality of Quezon in the province of Palawan  Kalipunan ng Pundasyon ng mga Tagbanua (SARAGPUNTA)  Range within the administrative purisdiction of the municipality of Quezon in the province of Palawan  Labing apat (14) na komunidad sa komunidad sa biodiversity and ecosystem services valuation  1 community engaged in BDFE (indigenous food plants)  117,315.21
ideaspalawan@yahoo.com.ph; rvgaringa@gmail.com  administrative jurisdiction of the municipality of Quezon in the province of Palawan  Kalipunan ng Pundasyon ng mga Tagbanua (SARAGPUNTA)  Administrative jurisdiction of the municipality of Quezon in the province of Palawan  Labing apat (14) na komunidad sa komunidad sa komunidad sa  ecosystem services valuation  1 community engaged in BDFE (indigenous food plants)  117,315.21
rvgaringa@gmail.com  jurisdiction of the municipality of Quezon in the province of Palawan  Kalipunan ng Pundasyon ng mga Tagbanua (SARAGPUNTA)  jurisdiction of the municipality of Quezon in the province of province of Palawan  Labing apat (14) na komunidad sa komunidad sa komunidad sa based/co-
municipality of Quezon in the province of Palawan  Kalipunan ng Pundasyon ng mga Tagbanua (SARAGPUNTA)  Pagpapatibay ng  municipality of Quezon in the province of Palawan  Labing apat (14) na komunidad sa komunidad sa komunidad sa  programa ng komunidad sa komunidad sa  programa ng komunidad sa komunidad sa
Quezon in the province of province of palawan paged in BDFE (indigenous food plants)  Kalipunan ng Pundasyon ng mga Tagbanua (SARAGPUNTA) Pagpapatibay ng komunidad sa based/co-
province of province of province of palawan food plants)  Kalipunan ng Pundasyon ng mga Tagbanua (SARAGPUNTA)  Pagpapatibay ng province of province of palawan food plants)  Labing apat (14) na 4 community-based/co-based/co-
Kalipunan ng Pundasyon ng mgaPrograma ng Pagpapatibay ngLabing apat (14) na komunidad sa4 community- based/co-117,315.21
Kalipunan ng Pundasyon ng mga Programa ng Labing apat (14) na 4 community- Tagbanua (SARAGPUNTA) Pagpapatibay ng komunidad sa based/co-
Tagbanua (SARAGPUNTA) Pagpapatibay ng komunidad sa based/co-
Pangangalaga ng   Municipalidad ng   management model
Address: Lupain at Karagatan Coron, Busuanga at
Brgy. 1, Poblacion, Coron, ng Tribung Tagbanua Culion, Palawan 10,000 hectares of
Palawan community-managed
area
Contact Person:
Rodolfo Aguilar 40% METT increase in
Chairperson 1 community PA
09475599237
90,108 hectares of
managed landscapes
2 ADSDPPs
Community Centred Establishment of Barangay Quezon, 1 community- 43,086.95
Conservation (C3) Philippines, Dugong Sanctuary in Cheey and Calauit, based/co-
Inc. Busuanga, Palawan: Busuanga, Palawan management model
Strengthening
Address: Community 50 hectares of
Address: Community 50 hectares of

Dragramma Caardinster	Concomistics		400/ NACTT : :	
Programme Coordinator rey@c-3.org.uk/ +63 998 4951	Conservation Benefits		40% METT increase in 1 community PA	
972	Benefits		1 Community PA	
972			protection of 300	
			hectares seagrass beds	
			and 200 hectares of	
			mangroves	
			mangroves	
			1 community engaged	
			in BDFE	
Philippines Biodiversity	Developing Local	Barangays Cheey,	1 community-	48,780.48
Conservation Foundation, Inc.	Biodiversity	Bogtong and New	based/co-	40,700.40
(PBCFI)	Conservation	Busuanga	management model	
( = 5)	Strategies in Globally			
Address:	Important Areas:		1,000 hectares of	
c/o Negros Forest Ecological	Busuanga Island		community-managed	
Foundation, Inc.,			area	
South Capitol Road, Bacolod City,				
Negros Occidental				
Contact Person:				
RAFAEL COSCOLLUELA				
President				
lmjpaguntalan@pbcfi.org.ph/				
034-4358209				
Palawan Conservation Corps, Inc.	Expansion of the	PALAWAN	2 community-	50,000.00
(PCC)	Conservation Scope	Brgys. Macarascas,	based/co-	
	of PPSRNP (PA and	Bahile, Babuyan,	management model	
Address:	WHS) in adjacent	Tanabag and		
3rd Floor, City Coliseum, Brgy.	communities through	Binduyan in Puerto	1,500 hectares of	
San Pedro, Puerto Princesa City,	the establishment of	Princesa City,	community-managed	
Palawan	Community- Conserved Areas	Palawan	area	
Contact Person:				
MS. CHERRY F. DE DIOS	(CCAs) for Habitat Protection and			
Executive Director	Biodiversity			
palcorps1999@gmail.com/ 048-	Conservation			
4349854	Conservation			
Palawan State University –	Empowering Men	Magsaysay,	7,597 hectares of	161,595.60
Center for Strategic Policy and	and Women for	Palawan	managed landscapes	
Governance (PSU-CSPG)	Biodiversity		3	
	Conservation in		1 community	
Address:	Magsaysay, Palawan		land/seascape plan	
G/F Medical Building, Palawan			that incorporates	
State University			biodiversity and	
Puerto Princesa City, Palawan			ecosystem services	
			valuation	
Contact Person:				
PROF. MARILYN G. PABLICO			4 communities	
Vice Chair, Board of			engaged in BDFE	
Incorporators and Trustees			(cashew, lato,	
cspgpsu@yahoo.com/ 048-			ecotourism, salt)	
4348752				
Maliliit na Mangingisda ng	Conserving and	Green Island Bay,	7 community-	43,478.26
Caramay Multi-Purpose	Protecting an Island	Roxas Palawan	based/co-	
Cooperative (MMCPC)			management model	

	T	Т	T	1
	Bay through		2521	
Address:	Networking of MPAs		252 hectares of	
Caramay, Roxas, Palawan			community-managed	
			area	
Contact Person:				
MR. MEDARDO C. CAPUNO			40% METT increase in	
Chairman			10 MPAs/MPA	
mmcpc_coop@yahoo.com/			Network	
09296756605				
			protection of 500	
			hectares of mangroves	
			118,951 hectares of	
			managed landscapes	
Bono-Bono Gintong Butil Multi-	Improving the	Coastal Barangays	1 community-	44,565.21
Purpose Cooperative	Coastal Resource		based/co-	44,303.21
Purpose Cooperative		in San Antonio Bay	•	
	Management of San	Bgy. Bono-Bono,	management model	
Address:	Antonio Bay	Bataraza, Palawan	22.245.24	
Bono-Bono, Bataraza, Palawan			23,345.21 hectares of	
			community-managed	
Contact Person:			area	
MR. LEONY P. BALIGUAT				
Chairman			40% METT increase in	
bonobonogintongbutil@yahoo.c			1 community PA/MPA	
om.ph; +63 920 977 1884				
			protection of 1,000	
			hectares of mangroves	
			3 communities	
			engaged in BDFE (crab	
			fattening, sea	
			cucumber culture,	
			organic farming, nipa	
			products	
			products	
			150 managers on MPA	
			and biodiversity	
			management; 35	
			bantay-dagat	
			members trained and	
			deputized	
Sahang Mangroyo Daddla Bast	Custaining assamin	Duarta Princess	•	120 4224 7
Sabang Mangrove Paddle Boat	Sustaining economic	Puerto Princesa	1 community-	130,4334.7
Tour Guide Association Inc.	growth for	Subterranean River	based/co-	8
(SMPBTGAI)	Indigenous Cultural	National Park,	management model	
A / / 611 6 1 6	Communities (ICCs)	Western Coast of	4001 1 515	
Address: Sitio Sabang, Brgy.	through an improved	Palawan,	100 hectares of IP	
Cabayugan, Puerto Princesa City	environment, natural	Philippines	production landscapes	
	resources and			
Contact Person:	biodiversity		5 communities	
MR. NESTOR C. ELIJAN	management in		engaged in BDFE	
President	ancestral domains			
miCam1221@yahoo.com;	and the Puerto			
bethmclang@yahoo.com.ph;	Princesa			
09277113637; 09201048287	Subterranean			
	National Park			
	(PPRSNP)			

Sabang Sea Ferry Multipurpose Cooperative (SSFMPC)	Promoting Biodiversity and	Communities within Puerto	7 community- based/co-	130,4334.7
Address:	Ecological Integrity of PPSRNP Through	Princesa Subterranean River	management model	
Sitio Sabang, Brgy. Cabayugan, Puerto Princesa City	Community-Based Livelihood Enterprises	National Park and its environs including Ulugan	22,000 hectares of community-managed area	
Contact Person: MS. TERESITA C. AUSTRIA BOD Chairperson		Bay	20% METT increase in 1 community PA	
Ssfsc06@yaoo.com/ tesscaustria@yahoo.com; bethmclang@yahoo.com.ph; 0917 585 1980			4,400 hectares of managed landscapes	
			1 community land-use plan that incorporates biodiversity and ecosystem services valuation	
			7 communities engaged in BDFE	
Culion Foundation, Inc. (CFI)  Address: Room 507, Evekal Building, A. Arnaiz Avenue, Legaspi Village, Makati City	Achieving Economies of Scale in the Value Chain through Transformation and Consolidation of Biodiversity-Friendly Products in the	Municipalities of Busuanga, Coron and Culion in Palawan	10 communities engaged in BDFE (mat- weaving, honeybee production, casher nuts production, dried squid/fish processing, sea cucumber	94,884.78
Contact Person: MR. EUGENIO M. CACCAM, JR. Executive Director culionfoundation@gmail.com; 02 812 2170	Calamianes Group of Islands, Palawan		processing)	
Candis III Marketing Cooperative (C3MC)  Address: C3MC, Bacungan, Puerto Princesa City, Palawan	Improving Lives of Upland Dwellers thru the Implementation of Functional Community Based Forest Management	CBFM area of Candis III Marketing Cooperative. Bacungan Watershed connecting Irawan	1 community- based/co- management model 463 hectares of community-managed area (watershed)	44,343.47
Contact Person: MR. JOEL M. GERMINA Chairman dsaligumba@yahoo.com; +63 907 674 3003		Watershed, Puerto Princesa City, Palawan.	1 community land-use plan that incorporates biodiversity and ecosystem services valuation	
			1 community engaged in BDFE (wood and rattan crafts)	
			At least 50 (est.) participants on Handicraft, souvenir items, wood and	

Non-Timber Forest Products – Exchange Programme (NTFP-EP)  Address: 92-A Masikap Extension, Central Diliman, Quezon City  Contact Person: Ms. RUTH CANLAS Executive Director rpcanlas@yahoo.com; 02 426 2757	Enhancing capacities of Indigenous, cultural and local communities as comanagers of VAMR through engagement in biodiversity friendly and sustainable economic activities	Victoria-Anepaan Mountain Ranges (VAMR) Areas in the Municipalities of Aborlan, Narra, and Quezon in Southern Palawan, Philippines	rattan craft –making; 4 forest wardens deputized; training conducted on financial management and marketing. 4 communities engaged in BDFE	43,976.08
Katala Foundation, Inc.  Address: 3rd Floor, JMV Bldg., National Highway, Bgy. Santa Monica, Puerto Princesa City  Contact Person: Indira Dayang L. Widmann Chief Executive Officer +63 48 4347693 Idlacerna@yahoo.com	Community-based wildlife warden scheme		1 community-based/co-management model 16,000 hectares of managed landscapes 1 community engaged in BDFE	
Palawan Katutubo Mission (PKM-AVPPI)  Address: PKM-AVPPI, Chancery Office, Apostolic Vicariate of Puerto Princesa, # 14 Taft St., Puerto Princesa City (5300), Palawan, Philippines  Contact Person: Rev. Fr. Armando R. Limsa Executive Director 09154337334/09394942976 armanlimsa@yahoo.com	Empowerment of Indigenous Peoples and Local Communities' towards Environmental Protection and Conservation of Biodiversity in the Victoria Anepaan Mountain Ranges (VAMR), Palawan Province	Municipalities of Aborlan and Narra, Palawan Province	3 community-based/co-management models  1 community-managed area  20,000 hectares of ICCA and 200 hectares of community-managed area (watershed)  management of 200 hectares of mangroves  1 community land-use plan that incorporates biodiversity and ecosystem services valuation	43,478.26
The Samdhana Institute  Address: 32F Kalambaguhan St., 9000 Cagayan de Oro City	Capacitating Tagbanua Women and Youth as Ancestral Domian	Decabobo-San Nicolas- Decalachao-San Jose Ancestral Domain,	18,368.37 hectares of managed landscapes  1 community land-use plan that incorporates	39,130.43

	Caretakers and	Municipality of	biodiversity and	
Contact Person:	Conservation Leaders	Coron, Palawan	ecosystem services	
Joan U Jamisolamin			valuation	
Grant Manager - Regional Office				
+63-88-851-9238				
+63-88-851-9238				
cristi@samdhana.org,				
joan@samdhana.org				

# **ANNEX C: EVALUATION QUESTIONS**

This is a generic list, to be further detailed with more specific questions by CO and UNDP GEF Technical Adviser based on the particulars of the project.

Evaluative Criteria Questions	Indicators	Sources	Methodology
Relevance: How does the project relate to the main objectives of the GEF foca	al area, and to the environment and developmen	nt priorities at the local, region	nal and national levels?
<ul> <li>Is the project relevant to UNCBD and other international objectives?</li> <li>How does the project support the objectives of UNCBD, especially the Aichi Targets?</li> <li>Does the project support other international conventions, such as the UNFCCC?</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>UNCBD priorities and areas of work incorporated in project design</li> <li>Level of implementation of UNCBD in the Philippines and contribution of the project</li> <li>Priorities and areas of work of other conventions incorporated in project design</li> <li>Extent to which the project is actually implemented in line with incremental cost argument</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Project documents</li> <li>National policies and strategies to implement the UNCBD, other international conventions, or related to environment more generally</li> <li>UNCBD and other international convention websites</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Documents         <ul> <li>analyses</li> </ul> </li> <li>Interviews with             <ul> <li>project team,</li> <li>UNDP and other partners</li> </ul> </li> </ul>
<ul> <li>Is the project relevant to GEF biodiversity focal area?</li> <li>How does the project support the GEF biodiversity focal area and strategic priorities?</li> </ul>	Existence of clear relationship between the project objectives and GEF biodiversity focal area	<ul> <li>Project documents</li> <li>GEF focal areas strategies and documents</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Documents         <ul> <li>analyses</li> </ul> </li> <li>GEF website</li> <li>Interviews with         <ul> <li>project team,</li> <li>UNDP and other</li> <li>partners</li> </ul> </li> </ul>
<ul> <li>Is the project relevant to the Philippines' environment and sustainable development objectives?</li> <li>How does the project support the environment and sustainable development objectives of the Philippines?</li> <li>Is the project country-driven?</li> <li>What was the level of stakeholder participation in project design?</li> <li>What was the level of stakeholder ownership in implementation?</li> <li>Does the project adequately take into account the national realities, both in terms of institutional and policy framework in its design and its implementation?</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Degree to which the project supports national environmental objectives</li> <li>Degree of coherence between the project and national priorities, policies and strategies</li> <li>Appreciation from national and local stakeholders with respect to adequacy of project design and implementation to national realities and existing capacities</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Project documents</li> <li>National policies and strategies, e.g., Philippine Development Plan, Philippine Biodiversity Strategic and Action Plan</li> <li>Key project partners</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Documents         <ul> <li>analyses</li> </ul> </li> <li>Interviews with             <ul> <li>UNDP, relevant</li></ul></li></ul>

	<ul> <li>Level of involvement of government officials and other partners in the project design process</li> <li>Coherence between needs expressed by national stakeholders and UNDP-GEF criteria</li> </ul>		
<ul> <li>Is the project relevant to the country programme of the UNDP?</li> <li>Does the project contribute to UNDAF?</li> <li>Does the project contribute to the Country Programme Document of UNDP in the Philippines?</li> <li>To what extent does the project contribute to the fulfilment of the objectives of UNDAF and the CPD?</li> </ul>	Degree to which the project supports the objectives and targets of UNDAF and the CPD	<ul> <li>Project document</li> <li>UNDAF and CPD</li> <li>UNDP CO</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Documents         <ul> <li>analyses</li> </ul> </li> <li>Interviews with             <ul> <li>UNDP</li> </ul> </li> </ul>
<ul> <li>Is the project addressing the needs of target beneficiaries at the local and regional levels?</li> <li>How does the project support the needs of relevant stakeholders?</li> <li>Has the implementation of the project been inclusive of all relevant stakeholders?</li> <li>Were local beneficiaries and stakeholders adequately involved in project design and implementation?</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Strength of the link between expected results from the project and the needs of relevant stakeholders</li> <li>Degree of involvement and inclusiveness of stakeholders in project design and implementation</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Project partners and stakeholders</li> <li>Needs assessment studies</li> <li>Project documents</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Document analysis</li> <li>Interviews with relevant stakeholders</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>Is the project internally coherent in its design?</li> <li>Are there logical linkages between expected results of the project (log frame) and the project design (in terms of project components, choice of partners, structure, delivery mechanism, scope, budget, use of resources etc.)?</li> <li>Is the length of the project sufficient to achieve project outcomes?</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Level of coherence between project expected results and project design internal logic</li> <li>Level of coherence between project deign and project implementation approach</li> </ul>	<ul><li>Program and project documents</li><li>Key project stakeholders</li></ul>	<ul><li>Document analysis</li><li>Key interviews</li></ul>
<ul> <li>How is the project relevant with respect to other donor-supported activities?</li> <li>Does the GEF funding support activities and objectives not addressed by other donors?</li> <li>How do GEF-funds help to fill gaps (or give additional stimulus) that are necessary but are not covered by other donors?</li> <li>Is there coordination and complementarity between donors?</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Degree to which program was coherent and complementary to other donor programming nationally and regionally</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Documents from other donor supported activities</li> <li>Other donor representatives</li> <li>Project documents</li> </ul>	■ Documents analyses ■ Interviews with project partners and relevant stakeholders
<ul> <li>Does the project provide relevant lessons and experiences for other similar projects in the future?</li> <li>Has the experience of the project provided relevant lessons for other future projects targeted at similar objectives?</li> </ul>		Data collected throughout evaluation	■ Data analysis

Effectiveness: To what extent have the expected outcomes and objectives of	the project been achieved?		
<ul> <li>Has the project been effective in achieving its expected outcomes?</li> <li>To what extent have the project targets been achieved?</li> <li>To what extent have the project failed to achieve its targets?</li> <li>To what factors can be attributed the achievement and/or non-achievement of the targets?</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>See indicators in project document results framework and log frame</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Project documents</li> <li>Project team and relevant stakeholders</li> <li>Data reported in project annual and quarterly reports</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Documents analysis</li> <li>Interviews with project team</li> <li>Interviews with relevant stakeholders</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>How is risk and risk mitigation being managed?</li> <li>How well are risks, assumptions and impact drivers being managed?</li> <li>What was the quality of risk mitigation strategies developed? Were these sufficient?</li> <li>Are there clear strategies for risk mitigation related with long-term sustainability of the project?</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Completeness of risk identification and assumptions during project planning and design</li> <li>Quality of existing information systems in place to identify emerging risks and other issues</li> <li>Quality of risk mitigations strategies developed and followed</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Project documents</li> <li>UNDP, project team, and relevant stakeholders</li> </ul>	<ul><li>Document analysis</li><li>Interviews</li></ul>
<ul> <li>What lessons can be drawn regarding effectiveness for other similar projects in the future?</li> <li>What lessons have been learned from the project regarding achievement of outcomes?</li> <li>What changes could have been made (if any) to the design of the project in order to improve the achievement of the project's expected results?</li> </ul>		Data collected throughout evaluation	■ Data analysis
<ul> <li>Efficiency: Was the project implemented efficiently, in-line with international</li> <li>Was project support provided in an efficient way?</li> <li>Was adaptive management used or needed to ensure efficient resource use?</li> <li>Did the project logical framework and work plans and any changes made to them use as management tools during implementation?</li> <li>Were the accounting and financial systems in place adequate for project management and producing accurate and timely financial information?</li> <li>Were progress reports produced accurately, timely and responded to reporting requirements including adaptive management changes?</li> <li>Was project implementation as cost effective as originally proposed (planned vs. actual)</li> <li>Did the leveraging of funds (co- financing) happen as planned?</li> <li>Were financial resources utilized efficiently? Could financial resources have been used more efficiently?</li> <li>Was procurement carried out in a manner making efficient use of project resources?</li> <li>How was results-based management used during project implementation?</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>and national norms and standards?</li> <li>Availability and quality of financial and progress reports</li> <li>Timeliness and adequacy of reporting provided</li> <li>Level of discrepancy between planned and utilized financial expenditures</li> <li>Planned vs. actual funds leveraged</li> <li>Cost in view of results achieved compared to costs of similar projects from other organizations</li> <li>Adequacy of project choices in view of existing context, infrastructure and cost</li> <li>Quality of results-based management reporting (progress reporting, monitoring and evaluation)</li> <li>Occurrence of change in project design/implementation approach (i.e. restructuring) when needed to improve project efficiency</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Project documents and evaluations, e.g., MTR, audit reports, spot check reports</li> <li>UNDP Project team</li> </ul>	<ul><li>Document analysis</li><li>Key interviews</li></ul>

	<ul> <li>Cost associated with delivery mechanism and management structure compare to alternatives</li> </ul>		
<ul> <li>How efficient are partnership arrangements for the project?</li> <li>To what extent partnerships/ linkages between institutions/ organizations were encouraged and supported?</li> <li>Which partnerships/linkages were facilitated? Which ones can be considered sustainable?</li> <li>What was the level of efficiency of cooperation and collaboration arrangements?</li> <li>Which methods were successful or not and why?</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Specific activities conducted to support the development of cooperative arrangements between partners,</li> <li>Examples of supported partnerships</li> <li>Evidence that particular partnerships/linkages will be sustained</li> <li>Types/quality of partnership cooperation methods utilized</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Project documents and evaluations</li> <li>Project partners and relevant stakeholders</li> </ul>	<ul><li>Document analysis</li><li>Interviews</li></ul>
<ul> <li>Did the project efficiently utilize local capacity in implementation?</li> <li>Was an appropriate balance struck between utilization of international expertise as well as local capacity?</li> <li>Did the project take into account local capacity in design and implementation of the project?</li> <li>Was there an effective collaboration between institutions responsible for implementing the project?</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Proportion of expertise utilized from international experts compared to national experts</li> <li>Number/quality of analyses done to assess local capacity potential and absorptive capacity</li> </ul>	<ul><li>Project documents and evaluations</li><li>UNDP</li><li>Beneficiaries</li></ul>	<ul><li>Document analysis</li><li>Interviews</li></ul>
<ul> <li>What lessons can be drawn regarding efficiency for other similar projects in the future?</li> <li>What lessons can be learnt from the project regarding efficiency?</li> <li>How could the project have more efficiently carried out implementation (in terms of management structures and procedures, partnership arrangements etc.)?</li> <li>What changes could have been made (if any) to the project in order to improve its efficiency?</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Lessons on efficiency drawn from the project</li> </ul>	Data collected throughout evaluation	Data analysis
Sustainability: To what extent are there financial, institutional, social-econor	mic, and/or environmental risks to sustaining lon	ng-term project results?	
<ul> <li>Are the outputs and outcomes of the project likely to be sustainable?</li> <li>Is there a realistic sustainability plan?</li> <li>Do project achievements show potential for sustainability, replication, scaling up?</li> </ul>	Potential for sustainability of project results	<ul> <li>Project documents and reports</li> <li>Sustainability plan</li> <li>Data collected throughout evaluation</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Documents analyses</li> <li>Interviews with CPMU, UNDP, BMB and other national government partners, grantees, local governments, other stakeholders</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>Do the financial, institutional, policy, social, economic, cultural and environmental conditions pose risk/s to the sustainability of project results?</li> <li>Are the risks manageable?</li> </ul>	<ul><li>Manageability of risks</li><li>Availability of opportunities</li></ul>	<ul><li>Project documents and reports</li><li>Sustainability plan</li></ul>	<ul><li>Documents analyses</li><li>Interviews with CPMU, UNDP, BMB</li></ul>

<ul> <li>Does the sustainability plan address the risks?</li> <li>What opportunities are available that can help sustainability of project gains?</li> <li>How can these opportunities be used or optimized for sustainability?</li> </ul>	Potential of opportunities to boost sustainability of project results	Data collected throughout evaluation	and other national government partners, grantees, local governments, other stakeholders
What lessons can guide the design and implementation of the next phase, if any, of SGP in the Philippines?	•	<ul> <li>Project documents and reports</li> <li>Sustainability plan</li> <li>Data collected throughout evaluation</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Documents analyses</li> <li>Interviews with CPMU, UNDP, BMB and other national government partners, grantees, local governments, other stakeholders</li> </ul>
Impact: Are there indications that the project has contributed to, or enable			
<ul> <li>Has the project effected significant improvement in the governance of protected areas?</li> <li>Has the project affected national and local policies and practice with regard to biodiversity conservation?</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Degree in which participatory governance has been affected and effected by the project</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Data collected throughout evaluation</li> </ul>	<ul><li>Documents analyses</li><li>Interviews</li></ul>
<ul> <li>Has the project changed the lives of affected community members in a positive way?</li> <li>Has the project positively affected women, indigenous peoples and other vulnerable groups socially, politically, economically and culturally?</li> <li>Has the project adversely affected women, indigenous peoples and other vulnerable groups socially, politically, economically and culturally?</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Positive impacts of the project on affected women, indigenous peoples and other vulnerable groups</li> <li>Negative impacts of the project on affected women, indigenous peoples and other vulnerable groups</li> </ul>	Data collected throughout evaluation	<ul><li>Documents analyses</li><li>Interviews</li></ul>

# **ANNEX D: RATING SCALES**

Ratings for Outcomes, Effectiveness, Efficiency, M&E, I&E Execution	Sustainability ratings:	Relevance ratings
6: Highly Satisfactory (HS): no shortcomings	4. Likely (L): negligible risks to sustainability	2. Relevant (R)
<ul><li>5: Satisfactory (S): minor shortcomings</li><li>4: Moderately Satisfactory (MS)</li></ul>	3. Moderately Likely (ML):moderate risks	1 Not relevant (NR)
<ul><li>3. Moderately Unsatisfactory (MU): significant shortcomings</li><li>2. Unsatisfactory (U): major problems</li><li>1. Highly Unsatisfactory (HU): severe problems</li></ul>	<ul><li>2. Moderately Unlikely (MU): significant risks</li><li>1. Unlikely (U): severe risks</li></ul>	Impact Ratings: 3. Significant (S) 2. Minimal (M) 1. Negligible (N)
Additional ratings where relevant:		
Not Applicable (N/A)		
Unable to Assess (U/A		

#### ANNEX E: EVALUATION CONSULTANT CODE OF CONDUCT AND AGREEMENT FORM

### **Evaluators:**

- 1. Must present information that is complete and fair in its assessment of strengths and weaknesses so that decisions or actions taken are well founded.
- 2. Must disclose the full set of evaluation findings along with information on their limitations and have this accessible to all affected by the evaluation with expressed legal rights to receive results.
- 3. Should protect the anonymity and confidentiality of individual informants. They should provide maximum notice, minimize demands on time, and respect people's right not to engage. Evaluators must respect people's right to provide information in confidence, and must ensure that sensitive information cannot be traced to its source. Evaluators are not expected to evaluate individuals, and must balance an evaluation of management functions with this general principle.
- 4. Sometimes uncover evidence of wrongdoing while conducting evaluations. Such cases must be reported discreetly to the appropriate investigative body. Evaluators should consult with other relevant oversight entities when there is any doubt about if and how issues should be reported.
- 5. Should be sensitive to beliefs, manners and customs and act with integrity and honesty in their relations with all stakeholders. In line with the UN Universal Declaration of Human Rights, evaluators must be sensitive to and address issues of discrimination and gender equality. They should avoid offending the dignity and self-respect of those persons with whom they come in contact in the course of the evaluation. Knowing that evaluation might negatively affect the interests of some stakeholders, evaluators should conduct the evaluation and communicate its purpose and results in a way that clearly respects the stakeholders' dignity and self-worth.
- 6. Are responsible for their performance and their product(s). They are responsible for the clear, accurate and fair written and/or oral presentation of study imitations, findings and recommendations.
- 7. Should reflect sound accounting procedures and be prudent in using the resources of the evaluation.

Evaluation Consultant Agreement Form <sup>20</sup>
Agreement to abide by the Code of Conduct for Evaluation in the UN System
Name of Consultant:
Name of Consultancy Organization (where relevant):
I confirm that I have received and understood and will abide by the United Nations Code of Conduct for Evaluation.
Signed at <i>place</i> on <i>date</i>
Signature:

38

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>20</sup>www.unevaluation.org/unegcodeofconduct

### ANNEX F: EVALUATION REPORT OUTLINE<sup>21</sup>

- i. Opening page:
  - Title of UNDP supported GEF financed project
  - UNDP and GEF project ID#s.
  - Evaluation time frame and date of evaluation report
  - Region and countries included in the project
  - GEF Operational Program/Strategic Program
  - Implementing Partner and other project partners
  - Evaluation team members
  - Acknowledgements
- ii. Executive Summary
  - Project Summary Table
  - Project Description (brief)
  - Evaluation Rating Table
  - Summary of conclusions, recommendations and lessons
- iii. Acronyms and Abbreviations

(See: UNDP Editorial Manual<sup>22</sup>)

- **1.** Introduction
  - Purpose of the evaluation
  - Scope & Methodology
  - Structure of the evaluation report
- **2.** Project description and development context
  - Project start and duration
  - Problems that the project sought to address
  - Immediate and development objectives of the project
  - Baseline Indicators established
  - Main stakeholders
  - Expected Results
- **3.** Findings

(In addition to a descriptive assessment, all criteria marked with (\*) must be rated<sup>23</sup>)

- **3.1** Project Design / Formulation
  - Analysis of LFA/Results Framework (Project logic /strategy; Indicators)
  - Assumptions and Risks
  - Lessons from other relevant projects (e.g., same focal area) incorporated into project design
  - Planned stakeholder participation
  - Replication approach
  - UNDP comparative advantage
  - Linkages between project and other interventions within the sector
  - Management arrangements
- **3.2** Project Implementation
  - Adaptive management (changes to the project design and project outputs during implementation)
  - Partnership arrangements (with relevant stakeholders involved in the country/region)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>21</sup>The Report length should not exceed 40 pages in total (not including annexes).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>22</sup> UNDP Style Manual, Office of Communications, Partnerships Bureau, updated November 2008

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>23</sup> Using a six-point rating scale: 6: Highly Satisfactory, 5: Satisfactory, 4: Marginally Satisfactory, 3: Marginally Unsatisfactory, 2: Unsatisfactory and 1: Highly Unsatisfactory, see section 3.5, page 37 for ratings explanations.

- Feedback from M&E activities used for adaptive management
- Project Finance:
- Monitoring and evaluation: design at entry and implementation (\*)
- UNDP and Implementing Partner implementation / execution (\*) coordination, and operational issues

## **3.3** Project Results

- Overall results (attainment of objectives) (\*)
- Relevance(\*)
- Effectiveness & Efficiency (\*)
- Country ownership
- Mainstreaming
- Sustainability (\*)
- Impact

### 4. Conclusions, Recommendations & Lessons

- Corrective actions for the design, implementation, monitoring and evaluation of the project
- Actions to follow up or reinforce initial benefits from the project
- Proposals for future directions underlining main objectives
- Best and worst practices in addressing issues relating to relevance, performance and success

#### **5.** Annexes

- ToR
- Itinerary
- List of persons interviewed
- Summary of field visits
- List of documents reviewed
- Evaluation Question Matrix
- Questionnaire used and summary of results
- Evaluation Consultant Agreement Form
- Annexed in a separate file: TE Audit Trail

•

# ANNEX G: EVALUATION REPORT CLEARANCE FORM

(to be completed by CO and UNDP GEF Technical Adviser based in the region and included in the final document)

Evaluation Report Reviewed and Cleared by						
UNDP Country Office						
Name:						
Signature:	Date:					
UNDP GEF RTA						
Name:						
Signature:	Date:					

### **ANNEX H: TE REPORT AUDIT TRAIL**

The following is a template for the evaluator to show how the received comments on the draft TE report have (or have not) been incorporated into the final TE report. This audit trail should be included as an annex in the final TE report.

To the comments received on (*date*) from the Terminal Evaluation of The Fifth Operational Phase of the GEF – Small Grants Programme in the Philippines (UNDP *PIMS #*)

The following comments were provided in track changes to the draft Terminal Evaluation report; they are referenced by institution ("Author" column) and by comment number ("#" column):

Author	#	Para No./ comment location	Comment/Feedback on the draft TE report	Evaluator response and actions taken
		_		·
		_		