**Annex B: Project Results framework** **(MUST include sex- and age disaggregated data)**

| **Outcomes** | **Outputs** | **Indicators** | **Means of Verification/ frequency of collection** | **Indicator milestones** |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Outcome 1: Stability and trust in the region, and especially in BiH, are enhanced.** |  | *Outcome indicator 1 a:* Rank of Bosnia and Herzegovina in the [2018 Global Peace Index](http://visionofhumanity.org/app/uploads/2018/06/Global-Peace-Index-2018-2.pdf).  *Baseline (2018):* 89 out of 163 countries.  *Target (2021):* Improved ranking. | [Global Peace Index of the Institute for Economics and Peace](http://visionofhumanity.org/app/uploads/2018/06/Global-Peace-Index-2018-2.pdf). | The rankings are published annually. |
| *Outcome Indicator 1 b:* Percentage of youth indicating higher levels of trust towards other ethnic groups in the region.  *Baseline (2017):* low overall level of trust between youth of different ethnicities[[1]](#footnote-1).  *Target (2021):* 50% of surveyed youth, particularly in BIH (including youth who are direct project beneficiaries) report increased trust toward members of other ethnicities. | Findings of the perception survey commissioned by the programme.  uReport data (UNICEF-led).  Entry- and exit surveys for youth grantees and dialogue platform participants.    Final Programme Evaluation Report. | Baseline perception survey with representative sample from all participating countries conducted by the 5th month of the programme implementation.  Entry- and exit surveys conducted with grant beneficiaries (upon signing and closing of grant contracts, 9th and 16th months of the programme implementation respectively).  Entry- and exit surveys conducted with all dialogue platform participants (political leaders and stakeholder groups) at the first and last gatherings respectively.  End-line perception survey conducted in the last 2 months of the programme implementation.  Final Evaluation of the programme will be conducted in the last 2 months of the programme implementation. |
| *Outcome Indicator 1 c:* Level of collaboration to address mistrust and social divides between citizens from different groups in the participating countries, with their peers in Bosnia and Herzegovina.  *Baseline (2017):* low overall levels of cross-country collaboration addressing mistrust and social divides (BiH vis-a-vis neighboring countries).  *Target (2021):* Increased level of cross-country collaboration to address mistrust and social divides between citizens, manifested through at least 20 sustainable social cohesion partnerships generated as a result of the programme. | Perception study commissioned by the programme.  Entry- and exit surveys for grantees and dialogue platform participants.    Final Programme Evaluation Report. |
|  |
|  |
|  | *Outcome Indicator 1 d:* Level of media literacy of participating countries in the Media Literacy Index.  *Baseline (2018):* Bosnia and Herzegovina ranking 25th; Croatia ranking 44th place, Montenegro ranking 28th place and Serbia ranking 31st place[[2]](#footnote-2).  *Target (2021):* Increased ranking of participating countries. | [Media Literacy Index, Open Society Institute – Sofia.](http://osi.bg/downloads/File/2018/MediaLiteracyIndex2018_publishENG.pdf) | The Media Literacy Index is conducted annually. |
|  | *Outcome Indicator 1 e:* % of young people who believe that reconciliation in the region is enhanced and the region is a safe and peaceful place.  *Baseline (2018):* to be defined upon project commencement  *Target (2021):* 10 % increase by the end of project | Perception Study commission by the programme.  RYCO Monitoring and Evaluation Tool.  Entry and exit surveys for grantees and dialogue platform participants. |  |
| **Output 1.1:**  **Different groups in the countries of the region, and youth in particular, acquire and practice skills to help break stereotypes and constructively interact across divides.**  List of activities under this Output:  1.1.1 Establish methodological framework to enhance capacity of each stakeholder group (adolescents, youth, women, teachers, media).  1.1.2 Enhance peacebuilding capacities of youth and adolescents.  1.1.3 Enhance peacebuilding capacities for women’s groups.  1.1.4 Enhance capacities of teachers/trainers for promotion of cultural diversity, inter-cultural dialogue and tolerance.  1.1.5. Enhance capacities of media to promote media literacy and amplify positive story-telling. | *Output indicator 1.1 a:* Number of people (teachers, youth, women, journalists and editors, sex- and gender-disaggregated) from participating 3 countries with increased knowledge and skills to bridge social divides.  *Baseline (2018):* Insufficient number of people (particularly teachers, youth and women) capacitated to support social cohesion in the region.  *Target (2021):* At least 1600, as follows: (i) 600 adolescents (10 – 18 years old); (ii) 600 young people (18 – 30 years old) among whom 50% women; (iii) 200 teachers, among whom 50% women and (iv) 120 journalists and editors.  *Output indicator 1.1 b:*  Number of stakeholders who apply the acquired skills and knowledge in their follow-up work as a result of the programme support.  *Baseline (2018):* n/a.  *Target (2021):* At least 50% of all stakeholders (in various target groups) apply the skills and knowledge acquired through the programme in their follow-up work. | Entry- and exit capacity development surveys filled in by trainees.  Lists of participants in training activities.  Programme progress/final report. | Capacity development support for all programme target groups is completed by the 7th month of the programme implementation. |
| **Output 1.2:**  **Citizens from different groups jointly identify and implement actions that promote social cohesion in the region, especially in Bosnia and Herzegovina.**  List of activities under this Output:  1.2.1. Organize in-country youth dialogues on social cohesion.  1.2.2. Organize in-country dialogue platforms on regional social divides and priorities.  1.2.3 Organize first regional dialogue platform on common social cohesion priorities.  1.2.4 Enable joint action on identified social cohesion common priorities.  1.2.5 Organize regional thematic dialogues. | *Output Indicator 2.1 a:* Total number of people (particularly youth) from participating countries who meaningfully engage in and contribute to identification of social cohesion barriers and priorities for the 3 countries.  *Baseline (2016):* 600 people in the national platform events in Bosnia and Herzegovina.  *Target: (2021):* At least 1,300 people (among whom at least 800 youth and women) from participating countries engage in and contribute to identification of regional social cohesion barriers and priorities.  *Output Indicator 2.1 b:* Total number of dialogue platforms (gender balanced) bringing together political leaders and various stakeholders from the 4 participating countries in joint discussions on how to strengthen social cohesion in the region.  *Baseline (2016):* 0.  *Target: (2021):* At least 19 broad-based social cohesion dialogue platform events (gender balanced) bringing together political leaders and various stakeholders from the 3 participating countries.  *Output Indicator 2.1 c:* Total number of people (particularly youth) from participating countries who benefited directly from social cohesion actions identified through the dialogue platforms and implemented with the programme support.  *Baseline (2017):* n/a.  *Target: (2021):* At least 7,000 people (whereby 50% are female, and among whom at least 3,500 adolescents and youth) from participating countries directly benefit from social cohesion actions.  *Output Indicator 2.1 d:* % of the dialogue platforms’ recommendations for social cohesion in the region implemented with the joint programme’s support.  *Baseline (2017):* n/a.  *Target: (2021):* At least 20% of the dialogue platforms recommendations for social cohesion in the region implemented with the programme support. | Lists of participants from the dialogue events.  Programme reports.  Media monitoring/press clipping.  Recommendations from the dialogue platforms.  Calls for Proposals and Reports from implementation of the grants.  Feedback from grant facility beneficiaries (indirect and direct).  Photos and videos from the implementation of social cohesion initiatives in the region. | In terms of dialogue platform sequencing, the approach is as follows: in-country youth dialogues precede the national level dialogue platforms, which feed into the regional dialogue platform, while thematic (target-group-based) dialogues take place continuously throughout the entire programme. |
| **Output 1.3:**  **Policy recommendations to improve social cohesion in the region are effectively advocated for, and endorsed by, authorities and relevant stakeholders.**  List of activities under this Output:  1.3.1. Meetings with decision-makers on policy recommendations.  1.3.2. Support to policy advocacy campaigns.  1.3.3. Organize final regional dialogue platform. | *Output indicator 1.3 a:* Number of effective advocacy channels (engaging equal numbers of both sexes) leveraging political and public support within participating countries for endorsement of social cohesion policy recommendations.  *Baseline (2018):* UN agencies in the participating countries have deployed successful advocacy efforts to promote social cohesion.  *Target (2021):* At least 3 inter-connected and mutually-reinforcing advocacy channels help leverage political and public support for endorsement of social cohesion policy recommendations, including: (i) UN-led discussions with political leaders and policy-makers; (ii) regional dialogue platform; (iii) civil society/youth networks campaigns inspired through the programme; (iv) media.  *Output indicator 1.3 b:* Number of social cohesion policy recommendations voiced through the regional dialogue platform that are endorsed by authorities and international community and contribute to their effective follow-up implementation.  *Baseline (2018): n/a*.  *Target (2021):* At least 3 policy recommendations formally endorsed by authorities and the international community. | Media coverage, photos, videos capturing advocacy and formal endorsement of social cohesion recommendations.  Programme reports.  Materials from advocacy events.  Formal decisions and documents of authorities or the international community evidencing endorsement of the social cohesion recommendations. | Emerging social cohesion recommendations from the dialogue platforms are in place by the 12th month of the programme implementation, so there is sufficient time for advocacy efforts.  Social cohesion recommendations are formally endorsed by relevant authorities / international community by the last month of the programme implementation, with strong indications for these during the second regional dialogue platform. |

* 1. Theory of Change

This Theory of Change is informed by the *Reflecting on the Practice of Peace (RPP)* methodology and falls within the “healthy relationships and connections” whereby “peace emerges out of a process of breaking down isolation, polarization, division, prejudice and stereotypes between/among groups. According to People to People peacebuilding approach[[3]](#footnote-3) - there is an assumed progression across a scale of healthy relationships which reasons:

Understand » Appreciate » Collaborate » Prefer to Peacefully Resolve

Moreover, this Programme is designed 25 years following the end of armed conflicts in the region, and our approach is based on addressing the outlined drivers that pertain to diminishing trust among various ethnic groups.

This programme posits the hypothesis that ***if***members from different (ethnic) groups in the region, and especially youth, are sufficiently capacitated to engage in constructive dialogue and provided structured opportunities to identify social cohesion priorities and communicate them to their elected leaders and relevant institutions through dialogue platforms, and address them through joint projects and activities, ***then*** this will ensure broad-based participation and create partnerships across the three countries in pursuit of commonly identified priorities ***because***  skill-building for constructive dialogue, identification of common social cohesion priorities and joint action to address them will help break down barriers among various groups and help build a sense of connectedness and understanding, which are requisite in resilience to conflict.

1. 2017 RCC Balkan Barometer results. [↑](#footnote-ref-1)
2. The index uses standardized score ranging from 100 to 0 score, highest to lowest. [↑](#footnote-ref-2)
3. Designing for Results, Integrating Monitoring and Evaluation in Conflict Transformation Programmes [↑](#footnote-ref-3)