RBAP Internal Checklist for Quality Assurance of Decentralized Evaluations
Workflow and Checklist
Mid-Term Evaluation of UNDP's Portfolio on SDG 16- Peace, Justice and Strong Institutions

Evaluation Report Checklist (based on the Evaluation Outline detailed in the guidelines)

Area	Yes	No	If no, please explain why[1]
Does the draft evaluation report follow the UNDP standard report outline?	Yes		
Methodology			
1. Well-balanced structure, clearly defined evaluation objectives	Yes		
2. Clearly outlined methodological approach, adequate stakeholders/partners involvement	Yes		
3. Clearly defined and adequate data collection approach and scope	Yes		
4. Evaluation of relevance, effectiveness, efficiency, sustainability	Yes		
5. Linkages with national strategies, CPD, UNDAF/ UNSDCF	Yes		
6. Assessment of programme funding and utilization (not essential)	Yes		
7. Assessment of M&E design, implementation	Yes		Indicator update is not captured yet covered under outcome and output level objectives and narratives.
Cross-cutting issues			
8. Adequately addresses cross-cutting areas including gender and human rights throughout, including methodology and data analysis, findings/conclusion/recommendations.	Yes		
Report finding/ recommendations/ conclusions			
9. Findings and conclusions are logical, well-articulated, linked and supported by evidence.	Yes		
10. Recommendations are clear and actionable linked to country office outcomes, strategies	Yes		

Certified by – _Shyara Bastiansz_ Shyara Bastiansz (Programme Analyst RRMT, UNDP Sri Lanka)

---

[1] Add a row under the question to elaborate on your answer
[1] Add a row under the question to elaborate on your answer