INDEPENDENT COUNTRY PROGRAMME EVALUATION

South Sudan

EVALUATION PERIOD: 2016-2021

COUNTRY PROFILE

Population
11.19 million people (2020)

HDI value
0.433 (2019)

Poverty
76% Percent of population living below $1.90 (2016)

GNI per capita
$2,003 (2019)

People in need
8.3 million people (2021)

Poverty
76% Percent of population living below $1.90 (2016)

Climate change
56% Population vulnerable to natural hazards

PROGRAMME OUTCOME AREAS

2016-2021

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Outcome Area</th>
<th>Expenditure</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Peace and governance</td>
<td>$89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Local economy</td>
<td>$26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Resilient communities</td>
<td>$114</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Total budget: 284 M
CONCLUSIONS

1. UNDP’s contribution to keeping the momentum of recovery, peace and development processes in South Sudan is important.
2. UNDP’s contribution to community peace and reconciliation was weakened by ad hoc and inconsistent engagement.
3. Support to value chain and entrepreneurship development is in the preliminary stages. Comprehensive programme options for employment generation are not yet demonstrable.
4. The impact of youth initiatives was limited by to their isolation, and the limited cohesion and consolidation of programmes.
5. Programmatic collaboration between UNDP and the United Nations Mission in South Sudan was not geared towards a united response to strengthening governance processes.
6. Reduced field presence constrained UNDP’s ability to provide local peace and development programme efforts and to galvanize partnerships for a coordinated response.

RECOMMENDATIONS FOR UNDP

1. UNDP’s programme strategy should be more oriented to demonstrating sustainable programme models suitable for the country context, to accelerate development and peace processes.
2. Strengthen linkages between community-level expectations and national peace processes for an inclusive implementation of the Peace Agreement.
3. Public administration support should focus on strengthening the capacities of key institutions and related reform processes.
4. UNDP should review its livelihood and employment programme approaches, to bring them up to scale.
5. UNDP should consider programmatic partnerships in select areas such as access to justice and addressing violence against women.
6. UNDP should develop practical ways to engage the private sector in employment generation and social services.
7. Expanding field offices should be prioritized to work towards conflict-sensitive sustainable programme options. The field offices should establish stronger partnerships with local government and other actors to promote local development solutions.
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