



Independent  
Evaluation  
Office

United Nations Development Programme

# INDEPENDENT COUNTRY PROGRAMME EVALUATION CENTRAL AFRICAN REPUBLIC

## ANNEXES

## Contents

<b>ANNEX 1. TERMS OF REFERENCE .....</b>	<b>2</b>
<b>ANNEX 2. EVALUATION FRAMEWORK .....</b>	<b>15</b>
<b>ANNEX 3. PEOPLE CONSULTED .....</b>	<b>19</b>
<b>ANNEX 4. DOCUMENTS CONSULTED .....</b>	<b>25</b>
<b>ANNEX 5. STATUS OF COUNTRY PROGRAMME OUTCOME INDICATORS .....</b>	<b>30</b>
<b>ANNEX 6. PROJECT LIST .....</b>	<b>32</b>
<b>ANNEX 7. SECURITY ROAD CLASSIFICATION MAP .....</b>	<b>33</b>
<b>ANNEX 8. COUNTRY PROGRAMME PERFORMANCE RATING..</b>	<b>34</b>

# ANNEX 1. TERMS OF REFERENCE

## INTRODUCTION

The Independent Evaluation Office (IEO) of the United Nations Development Programme (UNDP) conducts independent country programme evaluations (ICPEs) to capture and demonstrate evaluative evidence of UNDP's contributions to national development priorities, as well as the effectiveness of UNDP's strategy in facilitating and leveraging national efforts for achieving development results. The purpose of an ICPE is to:

- Support the development of the next UNDP Country Programme Document
- Strengthen accountability of UNDP to national stakeholders
- Strengthen accountability of UNDP to the Executive Board
- Contribute to organizational learning and decision-making

ICPEs are independent evaluations carried out within the overall provisions contained in the UNDP Evaluation Policy.<sup>1</sup> The IEO is independent of UNDP management and is headed by a Director who reports to the UNDP Executive Board. The responsibility of IEO is two-fold: (i) provide the Executive Board with valid and credible information from evaluations for corporate accountability, decision-making and improvement; and (ii) enhance the independence, credibility and utility of the evaluation function and its coherence, harmonization and alignment in support of United Nations reform and national ownership. Based on the principle of national ownership, IEO seeks to conduct ICPEs in collaboration with the national authorities and key stakeholders where the country programme is implemented.

This is the first ICPE for the Central African Republic (CAR); no ICPE or "Assessment of Development Results" (ADRs) was previously conducted. The current ICPE will be conducted in 2021 towards the end of the current UNDP programme cycle of 2018-2021 (extended to 2022), with a view to contributing to the preparation of UNDP's new programme starting from 2023.

## NATIONAL CONTEXT

The Central African Republic (CAR), a landlocked country with 4.75 million inhabitants, is among the poorest countries in the world. Some 2.6 million people, or more than half the population, require humanitarian aid and protection. Over two in three Central Africans live in poverty.<sup>2</sup> Rooted in longstanding resentment, a civil war erupted in 2013 when the Séléka group seized power and the anti-balaka group formed in response. The ensuing years of ethno-political conflict ruptured the productive and social fabric of the nation and led to the displacement of more than 25% of CAR's population.<sup>3</sup> The country has since embarked on a political and economic recovery process which is however marred by continuous violence between rebel groups and the national army. Large parts of the country remain outside the control of the government and peace agreements are yet to be fully implemented. Most recently, following the presidential election of 27 December 2020 which led to the re-election of President Faustin-Archange Touadéra (participation rate: 35,25 %), post-electoral violence erupted and is ongoing at the time of writing. An additional 200,000 inhabitants have been displaced, and humanitarian access is limited.<sup>4</sup>

---

<sup>1</sup> <http://web.undp.org/evaluation/documents/evaluation-policy.pdf>

<sup>2</sup> [World Bank, Central African Republic overview, 2021](#): Projections suggest that roughly 71% of the population was living below the international poverty line (\$1.90 per day, in terms of PPP) in 2018.

<sup>3</sup> [World Bank, Central African Republic overview, 2021](#)

<sup>4</sup> UNICEF, Central African Republic, Flash Update, February 2021

CAR is one of the least developed countries globally, ranking 188<sup>th</sup> out of 189 countries on the Human Development Index (HDI).<sup>5</sup> GDP per capita stands at US\$ 467.90 and the average economic growth rate was 3.41% between 2014 and 2019<sup>6</sup>, as regained violence hampered economic activity in the agricultural, forest and mining sectors, and delayed investment projects.<sup>7</sup> The Coronavirus (COVID-19) pandemic exacerbated economic volatility<sup>8</sup> as well as food insecurity: Given CAR's high dependence on food imports, 51% of the population were in acute food insecurity and 35% in stressed food insecurity during the lean period between May and August 2020.<sup>9</sup> CAR also ranked second-highest in gender inequality globally.<sup>10</sup> Women are greatly affected by the COVID-induced economic downturn, while also at increased risk of gender-based violence.<sup>11</sup> Female-led households, persons living with disabilities and rural areas in general appear to be most affected by the COVID-19 pandemic.<sup>12</sup>

CAR has a hot and humid equatorial climate and is endowed with rich agricultural lands and vast natural resources such as wood, gold and diamonds, the exploitation of which remains rudimentary and artisanal.<sup>13</sup> Climate change adds to the existing barriers to development, leading to variation in the seasons and increased frequency and magnitude of flood events.<sup>14</sup> Recent floods have destroyed shelters and road infrastructure and increased cholera and malaria incidence.<sup>15</sup> Internal and cross-border natural resources-based disputes between herders and farmers are frequent due to limited water resources and pastures induced by increased desertification.<sup>17</sup>

## UNDP PROGRAMME IN THE CENTRAL AFRICAN REPUBLIC

UNDP has been present in the Central African Republic (CAR) since 1976, with the mission to support the government's development efforts through (a) technical assistance for the formulation of policies, (b) implementation of development programmes and projects, (c) strengthening the capacities of national institutions. The 2018-2022 UNDP country programme document (CPD) is aligned with the Government's "*Plan National de Relèvement et de Consolidation de la Paix 2017-2021*" (RCPA)<sup>18</sup> and contributes to the United Nations Development Assistance Framework 2018-2021 (UNDAF+)<sup>19</sup> signed jointly by the United

---

<sup>5</sup> [UNDP, The Next Frontier: Human Development and the Anthropocene, 2021](#). The score of 0.397 based on 2019 data.

<sup>6</sup> [World-Bank Data, 2021](#)

<sup>7</sup> [World Bank, Central African Republic overview, 2021](#)

<sup>8</sup> [UNDP, Socio-economic impact of COVID-19 in Central African Republic, 2020](#). The growth rate in 2020 is projected to lie between 0.8 and -1.2%, mostly due to slow performance in the service sector given travel restrictions.

<sup>9</sup> According to the Integrated Food Security Phase Classification (IPC).

<sup>10</sup> According to [UNDP's 2019 Gender Inequality Index \(GIN\)](#). The [UNDP Gender Development Index 2021](#) stood at 0.8 (using 2019 data), i.e. 80% of potential human development in CAR is lost due to gender inequality.

<sup>11</sup> Since April, GBV has increased by an estimated 10 percent, while reported injuries to women and children have increased 69 percent, rape by 27 percent, and other assaults by 45 percent, according to MINUSCA. Women represent 80% of the labour force in CAR's informal sector and are particularly vulnerable also in economic terms.

<sup>12</sup> UNICEF, Central African Republic, Flash Update, February 2021

<sup>13</sup> Central African Republic, intended national determined contribution (INDC), 2015

<sup>14</sup> [UNDP, Central African Republic, 2021](#)

<sup>15</sup> [ReliefWeb, Central African Republic Flood Susceptibility & Risk, 2020](#). Future scenarios indicate an increase in temperature between 1.4 and 2.7°C depending on estimates as per intended national determined contribution (INDC), 2015.

<sup>16</sup> [ReliefWeb, Central African Republic Flood Susceptibility & Risk, 2020](#)

<sup>17</sup> UN, United Nations Development Assistance Framework +, Central African Republic, 2018

<sup>18</sup> The RCPA is a five-year plan over the 2017-2021 period which articulates national recovery and peacebuilding priorities based on three pillars: (i) support peace, security and reconciliation, (ii) renew the social contract between the state and the population, and (iii) promote economic recovery and boost productive sectors. Cf. UNDP Central African Republic Country Programme Document 2018-2021.

<sup>19</sup> The "+" in "UNDAF+" denotes that the peacekeeping mission MINUSCA is also a party to the UNDAF.

Nations system in CAR and the peacekeeping mission "*Mission multidimensionnelle intégrée des Nations unies pour la stabilisation en Centrafrique*" (MINUSCA).

The previous UNDP country programme (2012-2016<sup>20</sup>) focused on the political transition in CAR and on providing pathways to recovery for the civilian population. In coordination with national and international partners, UNDP contributed to several peacebuilding processes, most notably to designing and implementing the national recovery and peacebuilding plan (RCPA) in partnership with other United Nations organizations, the European Union and World Bank. Between 2012 and 2017 the programme and partners supported efforts to restore state authority and fight against impunity by attempting to revitalize the judicial system, address challenges related to the national administration's redeployment, and revitalize socio-economic activities in rural communities in particular. UNDP was unable to scale up economic recovery activities due to resource mobilization challenges and challenges linked to the ongoing peacekeeping and humanitarian response context.<sup>21</sup>

UNDP's country programme 2018-2022 builds on its previous programme and operates within the humanitarian-development-peace nexus framework outlined in the UNDAF+. The country programme positions UNDP to support the implementation of CAR's national recovery and peacebuilding plan, RCPA, focusing on two priority areas, i.e. "*Governance, Peacebuilding and the Rule of Law*" and "*Stabilisation, recovery and resilience-building*". Through the first priority area, UNDP strengthens national institutions to promote peace, security, good governance, democracy, the rule of law and reconciliation through advocacy, capacity building and supporting institutional reform.<sup>22</sup> Through the second priority area, UNDP promotes resilience and recovery by strengthening the humanitarian-development nexus, promoting transparent and sustainable management of natural resources, and green job creation. Additionally, UNDP supports the government in increasing revenue from natural resources and energy to support the provision of essential social services and livelihoods opportunities for the socio-economic reintegration of refugees, internally displaced populations, ex-combatants and youth.<sup>23</sup> UNDP is also an essential player of the United Nations' COVID-19 response in CAR, notably through investments in digitalization, socioeconomic impact assessment and awareness-raising activities.<sup>24</sup> Figure 1 (below) shows how change is expected to come about through the interventions of the country programme.

---

<sup>20</sup> In 2016, the country programme was suspended and replaced by an interim programme titled "*Cadre Stratégique Intérimaire 2016-2017 du Système des Nations Unies en RCA*", which focused on political transition.


<sup>21</sup> UNDP Central African Republic Country Programme Document 2018-2021

<sup>22</sup> UNDP Central African Republic Country Programme Document 2018-2021

<sup>23</sup> UNDP Central African Republic Country Programme Document 2018-2021

<sup>24</sup> [UNDP, La lutte du PNUD RCA contre le COVID-19, 2021](#)

Figure 1: Theory of Change for UNDP's CAR Country Programme, 2018-2021/2 (Source: [UNDP Intranet](#))



Relevant UNDAF+ outcomes<sup>25</sup>, UNDP country programme outputs and indicative resources are summarized in table 1.

<sup>25</sup> Since the UNDP CPD was approved prior to signature of the UNDAF+, wording between the two is not fully aligned.

**Table 1: United Nations Development Assistance Framework outcomes, UNDP Country Programme Outputs and Indicative Resources (2018-2022)**

UNDAF+ Outcomes	CPD Outputs	Programme finance in US\$ million (2018-2021*)		
		Planned resources	Budget	Expenditure
<b>Outcome 1:</b> By 2021, political and administrative institutions and civil society organisations promote and contribute to peace, security, national reconciliation and human rights.	<b>Outputs 1.1:</b> Justice and security services are delivered to the population and criminal cases are adjudicated including by the Special Criminal Court  <b>Outputs 1.2:</b> Increased women's participation in decision making processes at national and local level  <b>Outputs 1.3:</b> National policy on decentralisation is implemented taking into account the principles of gender equality	<b>Regular resources:</b> 10.87 <b>Other resources:</b> 91.6	<b>95.46</b>	<b>75.97</b>

**Table 1: United Nations Development Assistance Framework outcomes, UNDP Country Programme Outputs and Indicative Resources (2018-2022)**

UNDAF+ Outcomes	CPD Outputs	Programme finance in US\$ million (2018-2021*)		
		Planned resources	Budget	Expenditure
	<b>Output 1.4:</b> Electoral cycle completed as per constitutional timeline  <b>Output 1.5:</b> Public administration has an anti-corruption policy elaborated and enforced  <b>Output 1.6:</b> The capacity of the Ministry of Planning and the Permanent Secretariat in development aid coordination and management is strengthened			
<b>Total outcome 1</b>		<b>102.47</b>	<b>95.46</b>	<b>75.97</b>
<b>Outcome 2:</b> By 2021, political and administrative institutions, civil society organisations and the private sector implement policies, programmes and reforms aimed at inclusive economic growth (good governance, recovery and job creation) and ethical transparent and sustainable management of natural resources.	<b>Output 2.1:</b> Green growth objectives are integrated into sector specific policies at national level  <b>Output 2.2:</b> Increased transparency in the forestry and mining sectors  <b>Output 2.3:</b> Vulnerable populations including returning refugees, displaced persons, ex-combatants, women and youth have access to livelihoods opportunities and sustainable employment	<b>Regular resources:</b> 10.87 <b>Other resources:</b> 71.2	<b>84.04</b>	<b>73.95</b>
<b>Total outcome 2</b>		<b>82.07</b>	<b>84.04</b>	<b>73.95</b>
<b>Grand total</b>		<b>184.54</b>	<b>179.49</b>	<b>149.92</b>



**Source:** UNDP Central African Republic Country Programme Document 2018-2021 and ATLAS extraction (15 January 2021)

*\*Financial figures include funds related to OCHA/CHF's projects for which UNDP was only fund managing agent.*

The total estimated budget in support of the two priority areas of UNDP's 2018-2022 programme is about US\$ 184.54m (see table 1 above), 55% of which was allocated to the area of "Governance, Peacebuilding and the Rule of Law" (Outcome 1) and 45% to "Stabilization, recovery and resilience-building" (outcome 2). The available budget to date represents 97% of the expected resources and delivery is 83.5% of the total available budget (see Table 1 above). Programme expenditure to date shows that UNDP has the highest concentration of activities in supporting livelihoods and sustainable employment initiatives for vulnerable populations (output 2.3), representing 49% of the overall programme expenditure over the past three years. Rule of law and access to justice (output 1.1) is the second major area of intervention, with 27% of programme expenditure. Support to the electoral process (output 1.4) is the third-largest area of work and represents 18% of overall programme expenditure. Given the volatile context, UNDP implements the entire programme directly. Resources to implement UNDP's country programme in CAR are provided by the Multiple Partner Trust Fund Office, UNDP (core resources), the European Commission, the Peacebuilding Fund and MINUSCA, among others.

The volatile humanitarian context coupled with the global COVID-19 pandemic has presented UNDP with considerable challenges in implementing its ongoing programme of work in line with the CPD. Even more so than usual, UNDP has been required to be adaptable, refocusing and restructuring its development work to meet the challenges of the pandemic and CAR's need to effectively prepare, respond and recover from those twin crises, including socio-economic consequences.

## SCOPE OF THE EVALUATION

The ICPE will focus on the present programme cycle (2018-2022) while taking into account interventions which may have started in the previous cycle (2012-2017) but continued or concluded in the current programme cycle. The scope of the ICPE will include the entirety of UNDP's activities in the country, covering all outcome areas, and funded by all sources. The coverage will include a sample, as relevant, of both successful projects and projects reporting difficulties where lessons can be learned, both larger and smaller pilot projects, as well as both completed and active projects. Efforts will also be made to capture the role and contribution of UNV through undertaking joint work with UNDP.<sup>26</sup>

As a country-level evaluation of UNDP, the ICPE will focus on the formal UNDP country programme approved by the Executive Board. Given the volatile humanitarian context in CAR, and ongoing global COVID-19 pandemic, the evaluation will also assess UNDP's adaptive management, considering the appropriateness of any changes made to the initial CPD during the period under review as well as the effectiveness of results achieved.

## KEY EVALUATION QUESTIONS AND GUIDING PRINCIPLES

The evaluation methodology will adhere to the United Nations Evaluation Group (UNEG) Norms & Standards<sup>27</sup> and Ethical Guidelines<sup>28</sup>. The ICPE will address the following four main evaluation questions. These questions will also guide the presentation of the evaluation findings in the report.

---

<sup>26</sup> The work of UNCDF will not be assessed as they have had no activity in CAR since 2012.

<sup>27</sup> <http://www.unevaluation.org/document/detail/1914>

<sup>28</sup> <http://www.unevaluation.org/document/detail/2866>



1. What did the UNDP country programme intend to achieve during the period under review?
2. To that extent has UNDP been able to adapt to (a) the shifting ethno-political crisis in the country; and (b) the COVID-19 pandemic?
3. To what extent has the programme achieved (or is likely to achieve) its intended objectives? How well has UNDP supported preparedness, response and recovery processes?
4. What factors contributed to or hindered UNDP's performance and eventually, the sustainability of results?

ICPEs are conducted at the outcome level. To address questions 1 and 2, a Theory of Change (ToC) approach will be used to better understand how and under what conditions UNDP's interventions were expected to lead to good governance, peacebuilding and rule of law (outcome 1) as well as to stabilization and resilience building in the country (outcome 2). In consultation with stakeholders, as appropriate, and based on figure 1 (above), discussions will focus on mapping the assumptions behind the programme theory and the causal linkages between the intervention(s) and the intended country programme outcomes. As part of this analysis, the geographical coverage of the programme and its progression over the review period will be examined. This will include an assessment of UNDP's capacity to adapt to the changing context in CAR, to reach target populations, respond to the volatile humanitarian situation and COVID-19 pandemic, stay attuned to the evolving national development needs and priorities, and to add unique value as UNDP.

The effectiveness of UNDP's country programme will be analyzed in response to evaluation question 3. This will include an assessment of results achieved at project and output levels and the extent to which these results have contributed to achieving the intended CPD outcomes. In this process, both positive and negative, direct and indirect as well as unintended results will be identified. The examination of programme effectiveness will also consider UNDP's adaptive capacity to the volatile context of CAR. Specifically, the ICPE will assess the level to which UNDP was able to meet the new development challenges that the ongoing political, health and socio-economic crises have highlighted and the level to which it was able to support CAR's preparedness, response and ability to recovery. To better understand UNDP's performance, the specific factors that may have – positively or negatively – influenced it will be examined, along with the anticipated sustainability of results (evaluation question 4). Influencing factors will be examined in alignment with the engagement principles, drivers of development and alignment parameters of the Strategic Plan.<sup>29</sup> The utilization of resources to deliver results and how managerial practices impacted achievement of programmatic goals will also be considered. Special attention will be given to the integration of gender equality and women's empowerment in the design and implementation of the CPD.

Among the two key CPD outcomes which will be reviewed as planned, to the extent possible, the evaluation team will assess UNDP efforts towards reaching those most in need (including geographic coverage) and towards coherence vis-à-vis the work of other humanitarian and developments actors in the country, including MINUSCA.

## APPROACH AND METHODOLOGY

**Assessment of existing data and of data collection constraints:** The existing programme framework seems solid overall and evaluable in principle. Some potential gaps in the results chain or inconsistencies between

---

<sup>29</sup> These principles include national ownership and capacity; human rights-based approach; sustainable human development; gender equality and women's empowerment; voice and participation; South-South and triangular cooperation; active role as global citizens; and universality.

outcome indicators and projects meant to contribute to the outcome will be investigated during the desk review phase. Evaluability in practice is more compromised: Availability of project evaluations, monitoring data and field access are all somewhat limited.

In addition to project documentation, progress reports, annual reports and self-reported assessments, available project evaluations will serve as key inputs into the ICPE. UNDP CAR has undertaken nine decentralised project evaluations over the 2018-2020 period and two more will be published early in 2021. The nine published evaluations represent nearly 10% of programme expenditure to date and cover mostly the areas of access to justice, decentralisation and social cohesion, stabilisation and socio-economic recovery targeting youth. There is no decentralised evaluation for interventions supporting the livelihoods and sustainable employment initiatives for vulnerable populations (output 2.3), the CO's largest areas of delivery, which may pose an evaluability challenge. Moreover, some of the vulnerable persons targeted by this output (including returning refugees, displaced persons and ex-combatants) are located outside the capital Bangui, in areas which are not controlled by the government and hard, if not impossible, to access<sup>30</sup> – even in case COVID-related restrictions ease. The proposed mitigation strategy includes use of geographic information systems (GIS) and remote interviews with key informants at local level (beyond implementing partners), as described below. Further, strategic hiring choices will be made to boost the team's analytical capacity relating to livelihoods and employment promotion in crisis contexts, to allow for a very substantive review of secondary sources in this thematic area which is not (yet) covered by project evaluations.

The two CPD outcomes are supported by nine outcome indicators. The nine underlying outputs are also supported by nine output indicators, most of them accompanied by baselines and targets. To the extent possible, the ICPE will seek to use these indicators to better understand the intention of the UNDP programme and to assess progress towards the outcomes. In cases where data sources (means of verification) for an indicator are not clearly identified, further clarification will be sought from the CO. In many cases, the ability of the evaluation to measure progress against indicators will depend on the availability of national statistics and other kinds of monitoring data. This appears to be limited or of mixed quality.

It is also important to note that UNDP projects that contribute to different outcomes are at different stages of implementation. Therefore, it may not always be possible to determine the projects' contribution to results. In cases where the projects/initiatives are still in their initial stages, the evaluation will document observable progress and seek to ascertain the possibility of achieving the outcome given the programme design and measures already put in place.

**Data collection methods:** The evaluation will use data from primary and secondary sources.

Secondary data and information will be the main sources, given the current travel restrictions linked to the ongoing COVID-19 pandemic and humanitarian crisis in CAR. These will feed into an extensive review of documents. The IEO and the CAR country office will identify an initial list of background and programme-related documents which will be posted on an ICPE SharePoint website. The document review will include, among others: background documents on the national context, documents prepared by international partners during the period under review and documents prepared by UN system agencies and MINUSCA such as programme plans and frameworks, progress reports, monitoring self-assessments e.g. the yearly UNDP Results Oriented Analysis Reports, and evaluations conducted by the country office and partners.

---


<sup>30</sup> Those areas are at UNDSS security level "4" out of 5 (substantial security risk). <https://dss.un.org/traveladvisory.aspx>

The document review will be supplemented by an advance questionnaire administered to the country office and remote interviews with key informants. In addition to interviewing UNDP staff at country and regional levels, the evaluation will reach out widely employing a multi-stakeholder approach: interviews will include government representatives, civil-society organizations, private-sector representatives, UN agencies, MINUSCA, multilateral organizations, bilateral donors, rights-holders and implementing partners of the programme. Focus group discussions may be organized to consult specific groups if deemed safe and appropriate.

Given the health and security situation in CAR and globally, the international evaluation team members will not be able to travel to the country. National team members are however expected to visit project sites at least in Bangui and to observe projects first-hand. In addition, UNDP's field-based national project coordinators may be asked to take photos of project locations to be uploaded into UNDP's GIS server. Using the coordinates, images from other time periods may be generated for comparison and assessment purposes. Other innovative methods to collect data under COVID- and conflict-conditions will be considered during the inception phase.

In line with UNDP's gender mainstreaming strategy, the ICPE will examine the level of gender mainstreaming across all of UNDP CAR's programmes and operations. Gender disaggregated data will be collected, where available, and assessed against programme outcomes. Special attention will be given to integrate a gender-responsive evaluation approach to data collection methods. To assess gender, the evaluation will consider the gender marker<sup>31</sup> in the portfolio analyses by outcome area and the gender results effectiveness scale (GRES) when assessing results. The GRES classifies gender results into five categories: gender negative, gender blind, gender targeted, gender responsive, gender transformative (see figure below). In addition, gender-related questions will be incorporated in the data collection methods and tools, such as the CO questionnaire and interview questions, and reporting.

**Figure 2: Gender Results Effectiveness Scale**



**Validation:** The evaluation will use triangulation of information collected from different sources and/or by different methods to enhance the validity of findings.

<sup>31</sup> A corporate tool to sensitize programme managers in advancing GEWE by assigning ratings to projects during their design phase to indicate the level of expected contribution to GEWE. It can also be used to track planned programme expenditures on GEWE (not actual expenditures).

**Stakeholder involvement:** A participatory and transparent process will be followed to engage with multiple stakeholders at all stages of the evaluation process. During the initial phase a stakeholder analysis will be conducted to identify all relevant UNDP partners, including those that may have not worked with UNDP but play a key role in the outcomes to which UNDP contributes. This stakeholder analysis will serve to identify key informants for interviews during the main data collection phase of the evaluation, and to examine any potential partnerships that could further improve UNDP's contribution to country priorities.

**ICPE rating system:** Based on the rating system piloted by the IEO under its Independent Country Programme Review (ICPR) model and the lessons learned from its application, the IEO is currently developing a rating system for ICPEs which will be applied on a pilot basis to ICPEs in 2021. Ratings will be given for performance at the output and outcome levels. Outputs will be rated against UNDP country programme progress/ achievement towards each of the planned outputs. Outcomes will be rated against UNDPs contribution to CPD Outcome/ UNDAF+ outcome goals.

## MANAGEMENT ARRANGEMENTS

**Independent Evaluation Office of UNDP:** The UNDP IEO will conduct the ICPE in consultation with the UNDP CAR Country Office, the Regional Bureau for Africa (RBA), the Government of the Central African Republic and other national stakeholders as appropriate. The IEO Lead Evaluator is responsible for the design and conduct of the evaluation and coordinates the evaluation team. The IEO will meet all costs directly related to the conduct of the ICPE.

**UNDP Country Office in CAR:** The country office will support the evaluation team in liaising with key partners and other stakeholders and will ensure that all necessary information regarding UNDP's programmes, projects and activities in the country is available to the team. The country office will provide the evaluation team in-kind organizational support (e.g. arranging meetings with project staff, stakeholders and beneficiaries, and assistance for project site visits). If travel is not possible due to the volatile security situation or COVID-19 pandemic, the CO will support the IEO to coordinate these virtually. To ensure the independence of the views expressed, country office staff will not participate in interviews and meetings with stakeholders held for data collection purposes. The office will also set up an evaluation reference group, provide factual verifications of a first and second draft report on a timely basis, and jointly organize the final stakeholder meeting – ensuring participation of key counterparts – where findings and results of the evaluation will be presented. Additionally, the country office will support the use and dissemination of the final outputs of the ICPE process.

**UNDP Regional Bureau for Africa (RBA):** RBA will support the evaluation through information sharing and will also participate in discussions on emerging conclusions and recommendations. Further, RBA will be responsible for supporting and overseeing the development of the management response and its implementation.

**Evaluation Team:** The IEO will constitute an evaluation team to undertake the ICPE. The IEO will ensure gender balance in the team which will include the following members:

- **Lead Evaluator (LE):** IEO staff member with overall responsibility for managing the ICPE, including preparing for and designing the evaluation as well as selecting the evaluation team and providing methodological guidance. The LE will be responsible for the synthesis process and the preparation of the draft and final evaluation reports. The LE will be backstopped by an Assistant Lead Evaluator from the IEO.

- Consultants: One individual consultant as well as a national/regional institute will be recruited to work across the two outcome areas alongside the internal IEO team. Under the guidance of the LE, they will conduct preliminary research and carry out data collection activities, prepare outcome analysis papers, and contribute to the preparation of the final ICPE report.
- Research Analyst: An IEO research analyst will provide background research and will support the portfolio analysis.

## EVALUATION PROCESS

The evaluation will be conducted according to the approved IEO process. The following represents a summary of the five key phases of the process, which constitute the framework for conducting the evaluation.

**Phase 1: Preparatory work.** The IEO prepares the ToR and the evaluation design, including an overall evaluation matrix (see Annex 1). Once the ToR are approved, additional evaluation team members, comprising international, regional and/or national development professionals will be recruited. Given travel restrictions, the possibility of engaging with a national research institution/ think tank the support the ICPE will be considered. Meanwhile, the IEO starts collecting data and documentation internally first and will then fill data gaps with help from the UNDP country office. This will include administering an advance questionnaire.

**Phase 2: Desk analysis.** Evaluation team members will conduct a desk review of reference materials, identifying gaps and key issues for further scrutiny and/or validation. Initial virtual meetings will be held with key stakeholders, chiefly country office staff, to fully understand the CPD and its main implementation challenges and to request follow-up documentation.

**Phase 3: Data collection.** During this phase, the evaluation team will conduct key informant interviews with CO staff and management, key government stakeholders, other partners and rights-holders themselves. Given the current travel limitations due to COVID-19, most data collection will be undertaken virtually. In the event that movement is possible in some parts of the national territory, national (or regional) consultants will carry out some stakeholder interviews face-to-face and visit some programme sites. UNDP staff may be asked to submit photographs of implementation sites for GIS-based analysis. At the end of the data collection phase, the evaluation team may hold a debrief presentation on key emerging findings.

**Phase 4: Analysis, report writing, quality review and debrief.** Based on the analysis of data collected and triangulated, the evaluation team will undertake a synthesis process and write the ICPE report. A zero draft will be subject to peer review by the IEO and its panel of external reviewers. Once the draft is quality cleared, it will be circulated to the country office and the UNDP Regional Bureau for Africa for factual corrections. The second draft, which takes into account any factual corrections, will be shared with national stakeholders for further comments. Any necessary additional corrections will be made, and the UNDP CAR country office will prepare the management response to the ICPE, under the overall oversight of the regional bureau.

The report will then be shared at a final debriefing where the results of the evaluation are presented to key national stakeholders. The way forward will be discussed with a view to creating greater ownership by national stakeholders with respect to the recommendations as well as to strengthening accountability of

UNDP to national stakeholders. Taking into account the discussion at the stakeholder event, the evaluation report will be finalized and published.

**Phase 5: Publication and dissemination.** The ICPE report will be written in English and professionally translated into French for improved accessibility at the national level. It will follow the standard IEO publication guidelines. The ICPE report will be widely distributed in both hard and electronic versions. The evaluation report will be made available to the UNDP Executive Board prior to approving a new Country Programme Document. It will be widely distributed by the IEO within UNDP as well as to the evaluation units of other international organizations, evaluation societies/networks and research institutions in the region. The CAR country office and the Government of CAR will disseminate to stakeholders in the country. The report and the management response will be published on the UNDP website<sup>32</sup> as well as in the Evaluation Resource Centre. The Regional Bureau for Africa will be responsible for monitoring and overseeing the implementation of follow-up actions in the Evaluation Resource Centre.<sup>33</sup>

## TIMEFRAME FOR THE ICPE PROCESS

The timeframe and responsibilities for the evaluation process are tentatively<sup>34</sup> as follows in Table 2:

Table 2: Tentative timeframe for the ICPE process		
Activity	Responsible party	Proposed timeframe
<b>Phase 1: Preparatory work</b>		
TOR completed and approved by IEO Deputy Director	LE	March 2021
Selection of consultant team members	LE/ALE	March/April 2021
<b>Phase 2: Desk analysis</b>		
Advance questionnaire to the CO	LE/CO	March 2021
Preliminary desk review of reference material	LE/ALE/Consultants	April 2021
Country analysis paper	LE/ALE/Consultants	Apr/May 2021
<b>Phase 3: Data collection</b>		
Key informant interviews	LE/ALE/Consultants	May-July 2021
Remote site visits and photo shoots	Consultants/CO	May-July 2021
Stocktake and moment of reflection (planning for in person validation visits, if feasible); CO preliminary de-brief	LE/ALE/Consultants	July 2021
<b>Phase 4: Analysis, report writing, quality review and debrief</b>		
Analysis of data and filling data gaps (iterative process)	LE/ALE/Consultants	July/Aug 2021
Submission of final Outcome Analysis Papers	ALE/Consultants	Early Sept 2021
Synthesis and report writing	LE	Sept 2021
Zero draft for internal/external peer review	LE/ALE	Oct 2021

<sup>32</sup> [web.undp.org/evaluation](http://web.undp.org/evaluation)

<sup>33</sup> [erc.undp.org](http://erc.undp.org)

<sup>34</sup> The timeframe, indicative of process and deadlines, does not imply full-time engagement of evaluation team during the period.

First draft to CO/RBA for comments	LE/CO/RBA	Oct/Nov 2021
Second draft shared with national stakeholders	LE/CO/GOV	Dec 2021
Draft management response	CO	Jan 2022
Stakeholder debriefing via videoconference	IEO/CO/RBA	Jan 2022
<b>Phase 5: Publication and dissemination</b>		
Editing and formatting	IEO	Feb 2022
Final report and evaluation brief	IEO	Feb/March 2022
Dissemination of the final report	IEO	March 2022



## ANNEX 2. EVALUATION FRAMEWORK

TOR Questions	Relevance sub-questions	Indicator (performance rating)	Sources
EQ 1. What did the UNDP country programme intend to achieve during the period under review?	1. To what extent does the country program [outcome area, portfolio or issue assessed] address the main development priorities of the country, the needs of the most vulnerable populations in the most strategic locations?	Country programme addresses major development priorities in the country as defined in the country's development plan, SDGs, or sector policies (level of programme alignment)	Interviews UNDP Interviews Gov Document Review
		Programme is responsive to LNOB <sup>35</sup> concerns, promoting inclusive development/peace	
		Programme has identified and addressed gaps in external support	
EQ 2. To that extent has UNDP been able to adapt to (a) the shifting ethno-political crisis in the country; and (b) the COVID-19 pandemic?	2. To what extent is the country program [outcome area, portfolio or issue assessed] aligned with the overall strategies and flagship solutions of UNDP, the UN System and SDGs?	Country programme addresses UN's priority areas for the country, guided by UNDP's Signature Solutions	Interviews UNDP Interviews UN Document Review
	3. How were gender issue integrated into the very design of the country program [outcome area, portfolio or issue assessed]?	UNDP programme is responsive to gender-specific development concerns	Interviews UNDP Document Review
	4. To what extent has the country program adapted to COVID-19 to remain relevant?	Programme is responsive to the changing development needs/ priorities/ challenges, demonstrating flexibility and adaptability	Interviews UNDP Interviews Gov Interviews Experts Document Review
	5. To what extent has the country program adapted to the ethno-political crisis to remain relevant?		
TOR Questions	Coherence sub-questions	Indicator (RS)	Sources
	6. To what extent has the country program been conceptualized and implemented in a logical manner to promote coordination and synergies among the different components of the country program (internal coherence)?	Linkages exist between projects implemented, outputs produced, and outcomes contributed	Interviews UNDP Document Review
		An integrated, issue-based programming approach adapted to enhance development results (e.g. poverty and environment; climate change adaptation and sustainable livelihood)	
		Mechanisms in place to facilitate various initiatives and programme efforts progress	

<sup>35</sup> In Leave no one behind categories (UNDP Corporate Planning System): People living in peri-urban areas; People living in rural areas; People living in slums; People living in urban areas; Internally displaced persons; Migrants; Persons directly affected by natural disasters; Persons negatively affected by armed conflict or violence; Refugees; People living in multi-dimensional poverty; People living under the national poverty line; Unemployed persons; Key populations for HIV, tuberculosis and malaria; Minorities (e.g. race, ethnicity, linguistic, religion, etc.); Persons with disabilities (PWD); Sexual and gender orientation; Women; Youth.

		coherently, demonstrating synergies among them	
	7. To what extent has the country program been conceptualized and implemented in a way that fosters coordination and synergies with other Humanitarian Country Team (HCT) actors and TFPs in alignment with the New Way of Working (NWOW) and the principles of Delivering as One?	UNDP established strategic partnerships with the <b>government</b> UNDP established strategic partnerships with <b>UN agencies</b> UNDP established strategic partnerships with <b>bilateral actors/IFIs</b> UNDP established strategic partnerships with <b>non-state actors</b> (e.g. the media, CSOs, academia, think tanks) UNDP established partnerships with the <b>private sector</b> , identifying key areas for private sector development and engagement, and/or for facilitating SDG financing UNDP demonstrated it effectively played it 'integrator role' in the 'post delink' era	Interviews UNDP Interviews Gov Interviews Donors Interviews UN Document Review
TOR Questions	Effectiveness sub-questions	Indicator (RS)	Sources
EQ 3. To what extent has the programme achieved (or is likely to achieve) its intended objectives?	8. To what extent have outputs and outcomes been achieved?	<b>Programme outputs</b> were achieved	Interviews UNDP Interviews Gov Interviews Donors Interviews UN Interviews external partenrs Document Review
	9. What are the <i>most significant changes</i> (intended or not) <sup>36</sup> that resulted from the implementation of the country program and how were they achieved, in terms of a. Changes in the enabling environment: legislative changes, public policies, etc.)? b. Changes at institutional level? c. Changes at individual and community level?	UNDP has <b>influenced (or is likely to influence) outcome level results</b>	Interviews UNDP Interviews Gov Interviews Donors Interviews UN Interviews external partenrs Document Review
	10. To what extent have UNDP's interventions had a different impact on women and girls? On other vulnerable groups or traditionally excluded groups?	Results have been <b>beneficial for those at risk of being left behind</b> Results have <b>contributed to enhancing the processes for gender equality and women's empowerment</b>	Interviews UNDP Interviews Donors Interviews UN Interviews external partenrs Document Review

<sup>36</sup> Types de changements possibles: connaissances, pratiques, comportements, attitudes, environnement favorable (lois, politiques...), qualité et quantité de services, sentiment de sécurité, etc.

EQ 3. How well has UNDP supported preparedness, response and recovery processes?	11. To what extent has UNDP contributed to the post-conflict/disaster preparedness, response and recovery process?		Interviews UNDP Interviews Donors Interviews UN Interviews external partners Document Review
EQ 4. What factors contributed to or hindered UNDP's performance and eventually, the sustainability of results?	12. What factors external to UNDP contributed to or hindered the achievement of these results (political, economic, social, cultural, environmental/natural, technological, etc.)?		Interviews UNDP Interviews Donors Interviews UN Interviews external partners Document Review
	13. What factors internal to UNDP related to the design and implementation of the programme contributed to or hindered the achievement of these results?	Measures are taken to reduce the likelihood of negative consequences for social justice/economic performance/political stability/gender equality, promoting adaptation Measures are taken to reduce the likelihood of negative consequences on the environment emerging over time	Interviews UNDP Interviews Donors Interviews UN Interviews external partners Document Review
<b>TOR Questions</b>	<b>Sustainability sub-questions</b>	<b>Indicator (RS)</b>	<b>Sources</b>
EQ 4. What factors contributed to or hindered UNDP's performance and eventually, the sustainability of results?	15. To what extent have the target population and institutions taken ownership of the processes and impacts promoted by UNDP interventions?	Target institutions and/ or beneficiary groups are equipped with knowledge, skills, partnerships to continue with programme/ project related efforts after their completion	Interviews UNDP Interviews Donors Interviews UN Interviews external partners Document Review
		Measures were taken to facilitate national ownership of programme results by ensuring programme linkages with national policies and efforts and ensuring the participation of non-state actors (CSOs and other non-state actors)	
		Measures are taken to promote scaling up	
		Financial and human resource needs for sustaining/scaling results achieved are addressed	
	16. What are the main factors that have affected the sustainability of the intended impacts of the country program?		Interviews UNDP Interviews Donors Interviews UN Interviews external partners Document Review
<b>TOR Questions</b>	<b>Efficiency sub-questions</b>	<b>Indicator (RS)</b>	<b>Sources</b>

EQ 4. What factors contributed to or hindered UNDP's performance and eventually, the sustainability of results?	17. To what extent have the resources (human and financial) of the country office been sufficient and adequately used to achieve the expected results in a timely manner?	Projects have a timely start and activities are implemented and completed according to established plans.	Interviews UNDP Interviews Donors Document Review
		Country programme has necessary technical capacities and adequate staffing at senior management level to achieve programme results	
		Programme resources were strategically allocated, and the project budget was realistically estimated given the donor landscape.	
		Estimated resources were mobilized pursuing an appropriate resource mobilization strategy comprising diverse and sustainable funding streams.	
	18. To what extent has the planning, monitoring and evaluation functions contributed to the achievement of expected results?		Interviews UNDP Interviews Donors Document Review
	14. To what extent have the operations of the office been based on and promoted human rights, equity, and gender equality?		Interviews UNDP Interviews Donors Document Review

## ANNEX 3. PEOPLE CONSULTED

### Government and institutional partners (49)

AMISSO MAHAMADOU	2ième Conseiller, Mairie de Bambari
BANGALIKET Anicet	Directeur Régional à Berberati, Ministère de l'Economie et du Plan
BANGUI Michel Apollinaire	Directeur, ministère de l'Administration du Territoire et de la Décentralisation
BILLY, Laurent	Conseiller du Ministre de l'Administration du Territoire et de la Décentralisation (MATD), Ministère de décentralisation
BISSEKOUIN Victor	Préfet de Bambari, Préfecture de la Ouaka
BITHO, Mr.	Commissaire de police, Bambari
BIZON Emile, Me	Bâtonnier national, Barreau
DENAMGUERE Pierre	Maire, Bossangoa
DEPOT Landry Ulrich	Directeur général de la gendarmerie, Ministère de l'intérieur en charge de la sécurité publique
DEROM Pamela	Présidente du CNJ, Conseil National de la Jeunesse
EPAYE Emilie Béatrice	Ancienne Présidente, Forum des Femmes Parlementaires de Centrafrique
FILAKOTA Richard	Directeur général, ENAM (Ecole nationale d'administration et de magistrature)
GANZOUI, Nina	Logistics Officer, ANE Bossangoa
GBOKPO Nicolas	1er vice-Maire, Mairie de Bambari
GOANA Stéphane	Directeur de cabinet, Ministère de la Justice et des Droits Humains
KOGADOU Gildas Tola	Coordonnateur de la Cellule de Coordination Climat, Ministère de l'Environnement et du Développement Durable
KOINAM Théodore	Directeur général, Direction générale de la promotion du genre
KOUGOU, Hugette	Head, Data Treatment Centre, ANE
KPENGA Aristide	Directeur de Cabinet, Ministère des petites et moyennes entreprises, de l'artisanat et du secteur informel
LENGBELA René Audy	Chargé de mission en matière d'entrepreneuriat, Ministère des petites et moyennes entreprises, de l'artisanat et du secteur informel
LOUANGHA Michel	Président CPS, Cour Pénale Spéciale
MALOGOLO Auguste	Directeur des Affaires humanitaires, Ministère des Affaires Humanitaires
MANDE Djapou Fernand	Coordonnateur, Coalition pour la CPS
MAPOUKA Freddy	Ambassadeur, Directeur Général du protocole d'Etat,
MOROUBA Barthélemy	Président, Autorité Nationale des Élections (ANE)
MUNTAZINI Toussaint	Procureur Spécial, Cour Pénale Spéciale
NAMBOZOUNA, Keven Marius	Président local, Autorité Nationale des Élections (ANE)
NAMDENGANA Thierry Befio	Coordonnateur, Cellule de Coordination du Programme d'Electrification Rurale
NDONGO SINDO Saint Paul	Directeur des Affaires Pénales et de Grace, Ministère de la Justice et des Droits Humains
NGANAKPAMO, Philippe	Formateur, Autorité Nationale des Élections (ANE)
NGOYON Oscar	Commissaire, Bossangoa
NZALA Célestin	Chef du corps spécial d'avocats, CPS
OUABIRO Jean-Joseph	Directeur Régional du Plan

OUAKARA Jacques	Chargé de mission, Ministère de la Justice et des Droits Humains
OUILIKON Barthélémy	Préfet, Préfecture de l'Ouham
PANA Gisèle	Ancienne Ministre de la Promotion du Genre
POUKANDJA Paul	Chargé des missions Organisations Internationales et Mobilisation des ressources, Ministère de la Justice et des Droits Humains
RAMADAN Margueritte	Ministre de la Promotion de la Femme
SENDE Arsène	1er Conseiller Cour de Cassation, Cour Pénale Spéciale
SENEGO Didier	Greffier en chef CPS, Cour Pénale Spéciale
SENGA Maxime	Directeur, Ecole de Police
SOGBO-ANGO David	Chargé de Mission au cabinet du Ministre, Ministère de l'Administration du Territoire et de la Décentralisation
SOPHIL Claude-Jean	Directeur de cabinet par intérim, Chargé de mission de la Police, Ministère de l'intérieur en charge de la sécurité publique
TEMON Parfait	Directeur, Ecole de gendarmerie
WALIWA Nestor	Directeur de la Faune et des Aires Protégées
YABADA Jeannot	Chef de Bureau, Ministère des Affaires Sociales et de la Réconciliation Nationale
YERE Saint Clair	Commandant adjoint, Brigade Territoriale de la gendarmerie
ZANGA Ambroise	Coordinateur de la NDA, Ministère de l'Environnement et du Développement Durable
ZOUKOUE Bienvenu	Directeur général de la police, Ministère de l'intérieur en charge de la sécurité publique

### Civil Society (25)

MALACKETHE, Kevin Aymad	Directeur Exécutif, Association APC
BANGARA Rodrigue Voltaire	Consultant National
BOBAL, André	Président, Observatoire National des Élections
EKOMO, Lina	Présidente, Réseaux leadership Femmes en Centrafrique
EPAYE, Émilie	Présidente, Forum des Femmes Parlementaires de Centrafrique
GONIWA, Samuel	Coordinateur National, APSUD
GUENEKEAN, Elvis	Chef de Mission, AFEB
KONGATOUA, Augustin	Doyen de la Faculté des Sciences Juridiques et Politiques, Université de Bangui
KONGO, Laurent Patrick	Coordonnateur, ONG APADE
KOUALET KPINGO, Antoine	Président, Conseil Inter Organisations Non Gouvernementales de Centrafrique (CIONGCA)
KOUANINI, Denis	Directeur du programme, ONG AFEB
KUBAYA, Thomas	Finn Church (FCA)
LEMBE, Christian	Coordonnateur national, ONG Amour du Prochain
LWANGO, Zaire	Directeur pays, Finn Church (FCA)
MONGOMNOU, Dieferson	Président, Association Nationale la Main des Sourds out le Travail et le Développement de Centrafrique (ANMSTDC)
Nguerepayo, Ezechias	Secrétaire Général, Association Nationale la Main des Sourds out le Travail et le Développement de Centrafrique (ANMSTDC)

Haladou Yoral, Jonathan	Secrétaire Général Adjoint, Association Nationale la Main des Sourds out le Travail et le Développement de Centrafrique (ANMSTDC)
NGATOUA, Paulin Calixte	Consultant National
PAREFA, Joel	Consultant National
SAKANGA, Vincent	Président, Secours Psychologique en Santé, Education, Développement en Centrafrique (SPSED)
TCHENGA, Didier Stanislas	Coordonnateur, Secours Psychologique en Santé, Education, Développement en Centrafrique (SPSED)
TOUABENA, Chantal	Coordonnatrice, Réseaux leadership Femmes en Centrafrique
WIWELLI, Lidie	Présidente Commission droit de l'enfant, ONG AFJC
YIKOUMA, Yolande	Coordinatrice Nationale, ONG AFPE

### Community members and beneficiary groups (focus group discussions) (44)

	Membre, groupement NOUS VOULON LA PAIX
	Membre, groupement FAKI-SAHIR
	Membre, groupement VISION DE L'AVENIR
	Président, groupement NOUVELLE VISION
	Membre, groupement SARA SI MO WARA
	Président, Groupement « MANGO YANGA »
	Membre, groupement SARA SI MO WARA
	Membre, groupement VISION DE L'AVENIR
	Président, Groupement APAO
	Présidente, groupement AFDTA
	Membre, groupement VISION DE L'AVENIR
	Président, groupement Espoir de la Paix
	Présidente, groupement FAKI-SAHIR
	Membre, Groupement « BE OKO TI KOUSALA
	Présidente, groupement WALI KPEGBA NA KOUA
	Membre, groupement Union des femmes
	Membre, groupement BALAWA
	Président, groupement NOUS VOULONS LA PAIX
	Président, groupement ZO KOUE ZO
	Présidente, groupement ZEÏ MASSE ENE HE
	Présidente, Groupement « BE OKO TI KOUSALA
	Président, groupement SIRIRI-ADJI
	Membre, groupement NOUS VOULON LA PAIX
	Secrétaire Générale, groupement Espoir de la Paix
	Président, groupement SARA MBI GA ZO
	Président, groupement SARA SI MO WARA
	Membre, groupement EGTB
	Groupe Linga-Terré
	Membre, Groupement APAO
	Président, groupement SARA SI MO WARA



	Président, groupement PARTISANTS DE LA PAIX
	Membre, groupement WALI KPEGBA NA KOUA
	Présidente, Groupement A.F.D.E.C
	Membre, groupement NOUVELLE VISION
	Membre, Groupement « MANGO YANGA »
	Trésorière, groupement SARA MBI GA ZO
	Membre, Groupement A.F.D.E.C
	Présidente, groupement PLATE FORME
	Membre, groupement SARA SI MO WARA
	Membre, groupement SIRIRI-ADJI
	Présidente, groupement BALAWA
	Membre, groupement PLATE FORME
	Membre, groupement ZEI MASSE ENE HE

### Donors (9)

ABAITUA ZARZA Carlos	Chargé de programme, Section Gouvernance, Secteurs sociaux et Société civile, Délégation de l'Union Européenne en RCA
CLARK, Daisy	Secrétaire politique, Ambassade du Royaume-Uni en RCA
TAMALYN, Lucy	Ambassadeur des É-U en RCA, Ambassade des États-Unis en RCA
NIFASHA, Adrian	Conseiller aux affaires judiciaires, Ambassade des États-Unis en RCA
LEBARAMO Pierre Alfred	Chargé de Mission, Agence Française de Développement (AFD)
LECLERC Mathieu	Attaché de coopération, Gouvernance, service de coopération et d'action culturelle, Ambassade de France
NIFASHA Adrien	Chargé de Programme Justice, Ambassade des Etats Unis
SEMRAU Jakub	Chargé de gouvernance, Délégation de l'Union Européenne en RCA
ZAMBA Ambroise	Fonds Vert pour le Climat

### United Nations (16)

DAKO Fortuné	Chef, Unité d'appui aux juridictions et aux poursuites, MINUSCA
DOVONOU Olivier	Chef, Police des Nations Unies (UNPOL), Pilier Développement, MINUSCA
GROGA-Bada, Malika	Coordinateur, Fonds pour la Consolidation de la Paix (PBF)
KATEPA-KALALA Perpetua	Représentante Résidente, FAO
MOKOYOUKO Beranger	Chargé de programme, Programme UNV
MUHIRE, Camille	Section Affaires civiles, MINUSCA
SOMSE Patrick	Économiste, BIT/ILO
NOVAK, Fadela	Représentante résidente adjointe, UNHCR
MANDAKOMBO, Noella	Consultant, FAO
SCHWENDINGER, Kay	Chef de Bureau, Bureau du Coordinateur Résident
NKURUNZIZA, Salvator	Gestionnaire du programme pays, ONU Femmes
KRECOUM, Marius	Directeur principal des élections et chef des opérations, Division Électorale, MINUSCA

GBAGUIDI, Arsene	Directeur, Division Électorale, MINUSCA
ROUSSY, Pascale	Former Desk Officer for Central African Republic (2020-2021), Electoral Assistance Division, Department of Political and Peacebuilding Affairs
KAMGANG, Alain Duplex Ngounou	Former Desk Officer for Central African Republic (2019-2020) (M) Electoral Assistance Division, Department of Political and Peacebuilding Affairs
GUIGMA, Hamadou	Formateur, Police des Nations Unies (UNPOL), MINUSCA

#### UNDP (24)

AKOWO, Janvier Ledoux	Consultant TI
ASITAKI LISIKI, Gaston	Chargé de programme, Cour Pénale Spéciale
ASSANI, Edith	Chef, Environnement
BAH, Alpha Amadou	Spécialiste M&E
BINDO, Michel	Chargé de programme, petites centrales hydroélectriques
BOKOTO DE SEMBOLI, Bruno	Chargé de programme, résilience et stabilisation
BOUCLY Natalie	Représentante Résidente
CELEBI, Ozlem	Chargé de programme, Justice
DIALLO, Taifourou	Responsable, Opérations, suivi et évaluation
DIAWARA, Sidi Mohamed	Conseiller principal, Élections
EDDINE, Houssein	Chargé de programme, Sécurité
GUIMONDO, Alexia Alima Sanzey	Chargée des communications
KRAFT Antje	Chef, Portefeuille État de Droit
MAENDA, Bienfait	Chargé de programme, Cour Pénale Spéciale
MARCELLIN GBAKA PANI, Bruce	Spécialiste, Autonomisation économique des jeunes
META MPINDA Christine	Spécialiste Genre et VBG - Point Focal abus & exploitations sexuelles
MALO, Dominique	Chef, Gouvernance
MOKOYOUKO Béranger	Chargé de programme
MUKARUBAYIZA, Dancilla	Représentante Résidente Adjointe, Opérations
ROMERO, Yolanda	Consultant en communications, Élections
SILLA, Youssoufa	Chef, Planification stratégique et appuis institutionnels
SOW, Mamadou	Chargé de programme, Développement local et résilience
TSUCHIYA, Tsunetaka	Coordonnateur, stabilisation et résilience
WISNIEWSKA, Marlgorzata	Chargé de programme, Élections, UNDP-EC Joint Task Force, Bureau for Policy and Programme Support, Governance and Peacebuilding

**Other (6)**

COLE, Mike	Chef de Bureau, Cour Pénale Internationale en RCA
DEL GIUDICE, Roberta	Chef de secteur, Développement Durable
EYISON, Nash Fiifi	Expert en Ressources Naturelles, Banque Mondiale
LEVASSEUR Frank	Chef de projet, Expertise France
NYAMSIN, Virginie	Experte, Expertise France
NGOUMOU, Marc Amougou	Chef du projet Élections, Agence Belge de Développement (ENABEL)

## ANNEX 4. DOCUMENTS CONSULTED

In addition to the documents named below, the evaluation team reviewed project documents, annual project reports, midterm review reports, final evaluation reports and other project documents. The websites of many related organizations were also searched, including those of UN organizations, Central African governmental departments, project management offices and others.

African Union, *Mission d'Observation électorale de l'Union Africaine des Elections Législatives et Présidentielle, République Centre africaine, Rapport Final*, 2016

African Union, *Mission d'Observation Electorale de l'Union Africaine Pour le Premier Tour des Partielles et le Second Tour des Elections Législatives du 14 Mars en République Centrafricaine, Déclaration Préliminaire*, 2021

African Union, *Mission d'Observation Electorale de l'Union Africaine Pour le Premier Tour des Elections Présidentielle Législatives du 27 Décembre 2020 en République Centrafricaine, Déclaration Préliminaire*, 2021

American Bar Association, *Seeking Peaceful Governance through Women in the Central African Republic*, February 2017. Available at [https://www.americanbar.org/advocacy/rule\\_of\\_law/where\\_we\\_work/africa/central-african-republic/news/news-car-seeking-peaceful-governance-0117/](https://www.americanbar.org/advocacy/rule_of_law/where_we_work/africa/central-african-republic/news/news-car-seeking-peaceful-governance-0117/)

Amnesty International, "On trial, these warlords lowered their eyes" The Central African Republic's Challenging Pursuit of Justice, Amnesty International, 2020.

Association pour la Promotion de la Culture, *Evaluation Independent to Programme Pays du PNUD\_OSC\_aPC\_Bangui\_RCA*, 16 August 2021

Constitution Net, *Constitutional court of the Central African Republic upholds election results*, [Constitutional court of the Central African Republic upholds election results | ConstitutionNet](#)

DCAF, *Évaluation finale du projet conjoint d'appui à la lutte contre les violations des droits de l'homme et à la relance de la justice en Centrafrique – Rapport Final*, August 2021

EISA, *Rapport Final D'Observation du Processus Electoral 2020-2021 en République Centrafricaine*, 2021  
EU, *Document d'action concernant le Project d'appui au processus électoral en République Centrafricaine-PAPEC*

Freedom House, *Freedom in the World 2016, 2020 and 2021, Central African Republic*

Harvard Institute, *Peace, Justice and Security Survey in Central African Republic #6*, 2020

Japanese International Cooperation Agency (JICA) [Japan's approach towards improved quality and productivity: Kaizen, the driving force of Japan's rapid growth](#). (2018)

ICTJ, Cordaid, « Une goutte d'eau sur une pierre chaude » Justice pour les victimes en République centrafricaine, Mars 2021

ICTJ, "Why in the Central African Republic, Reparations Should Come First", April 2021. Available at <https://www.ictj.org/news/why-central-african-republic-reparations-should-come-first>

International IDEA, *Women's Political Participation: African Barometer 2021*, [Women's Political Participation: African Barometer 2021 \(idea.int\)](https://www.idea.int/publications/idea-international-women-s-political-participation-african-barometer-2021)

Inter-Parliamentary Union, *Parline, Central African Republic*, [IPU PARLINE database: CENTRAL AFRICAN REPUBLIC \(Assemblée nationale\), Full text](https://www.ipu.org/parline/database/CENTRAL%20AFRICAN%20REPUBLIC)

Mayne, J. (2015). "Useful Theory of Change Models." Canadian Journal of Program Evaluation 30(2): 119-142; Mayne, J. (2016). Working Paper "the COM-B Theory of Change Model."

MINUSCA, La mobilité des forces de sécurité intérieure accrue pour renforcer l'État de Droit, July 2020. Retrieved online : <https://minusca.unmissions.org/la-mobilit%C3%A9-des-forces-de-s%C3%A9curit%C3%A9-int%C3%A9rieure-accrue-pour-renforcer-letat-de-droit>

OECD (2018), *States of Fragility 2018*, OECD Publishing, Paris, 2018

OECD (2020), *States of Fragility 2020*, OECD Publishing, Paris, 2020

République Centrafricaine, Commission Mouvement de Populations (CMP). CAR Dashboard CMP Septembre 2021

République Centrafricaine, Arrêté No. 005/MJDH/DIRCAB/CMRJMR.20 portant sur la création du dispositif institutionnel de coordination et de mise en œuvre de la politique sectorielle du ministère de la justice et des droits de l'Homme, 16 Janvier 2020

République Centrafricaine. National Recovery and Peacebuilding Plan, 2017-2021. Available at <https://documents1.worldbank.org/curated/en/817461516999933538/pdf/122994-WP-PUBLIC-CAR-RPBA.pdf>

République Centrafricaine, Loi n° 15/003 du 3 juin 2015 Portant création, organisation et fonctionnement de la Cour pénale spéciale.

République Centrafricaine, *Document de Politique Energetique Nationale* (2019) (Decret N° 10-092) ;

République Centrafricaine, *Document de Politique Energetique Nationale 2020-2030* (Octobre 2019)

République Centrafricaine, *Rapport Final des travaux d'opérationnalisation du Fonds National de Garantie et d'Investissement* (2019)

République Centrafricaine, *Stratégie Nationale sur la Prévention de la Radicalisation de l'Extrémisme Violent* (2018)

Constitute, *Central African Republic's Constitution of 2016*

Ministry for the Promotion of Women, Family and Child Protection, UNDP, UN Women, UNFPA, and MINUSCA, *Rapport General Atelier sur l'appropriation de la réforme électorale par la femme centrafricaine*, 2018

Toe Zongi Robert, *Évaluation Finale du "Projet Pilote des Nations Unies pour la Cohésion Sociale, la Prévention des Conflits, la Réduction de la Violence et le Renforcement de la Sécurité Humaine en faveur de la Jeunesse Centrafricaine"*, décembre 2019.

UNDP, [Global Toolkit on the 3x6 approach: Building resilience through jobs and livelihoods](#) (2016);

UNDP, [Livelihoods & Economic Recovery in Crisis Situations](#) (2013)

UNDP, [UNDP Guide on Livelihoods and Economic Recovery in crisis and post crisis contexts](#) (2015);

UNDP Strategic Plan (2014-2017)

UNDP, Country Programme Document for 2018-2021 (DP/DCP/CAF/4).

UNDP, Human Development Report 2020, [Central African Republic Briefing Note](#).

UNDP (2021) *Rapport de Consultation pour l'Élaboration de la Politique Nationale de Décentralisation et du Développement Local en République Centrafricaine* (authored by Parefa, J., Bangara, R.V.)

IEO UNDP, *Evaluation of UNDO support to conflict-affected countries, December 2020*, IEO/UNDP

UN EAD, *Needs Assessment Central African Republic 2016*

UN EAD, *Rapport de la Mission d'Evaluation des besoins Electoraux, République Centrafricaine, 2018*

[United Nations Evaluation Group, Norms and Standards for Evaluation \(2016\)](#)

[United Nations Evaluation Group, Ethical Guidelines for Evaluation \(2020\)](#)

United Nations Evaluation Group, Standard Operating Procedures for countries adopting Delivering as One - Guidance note on joint programmes, August 2014. UNEG.

UN Focal Point for Electoral Assistance, *Policy, Principles and Types of Electoral Assistance*, 2021

UNFPA, World Population Dashboard, 2021 data. <https://www.unfpa.org/data/world-population/CF>

UN General Assembly, *Budget performance for the period from 1 July 2018 to 30 June 2019 and proposed budget for the period from 1 July 2020 to 30 June 2021 for the United Nations Multidimensional Integrated Stabilization Mission in Central African Republic, A/74/737.Add10*

UN Human Rights Council, Human rights situation in the Central African Republic – Report of the Independent Expert on the situation of human rights in the Central African Republic, August 2019.

UN Human Rights Committee, Concluding observations on the third periodic report of the Central African Republic, April 2020.

UN Peacekeeping, “The stakes of the next elections in the Central African Republic”, 15 December 2020. Available at <https://peacekeeping.un.org/en/stakes-of-next-elections-central-african-republic>

UN Security Council, Report of the Secretary General, Central African Republic, 16 June 2021 (S/2021/571)

UN Security Council, Report of the Secretary General, Central African Republic, 16 February 2021 (S/2021/146).

UN Security Council Resolution 2149. S/RES/2149 (2014)

UN Security Council Resolution 2552. S/RES/2552 (2020).

UNSDG. Central African Republic, *Linking Investments, Partners and the Sustainable Development Goals in Central African Republic*. Retrieved online: <https://unsdg.un.org/un-in-action/central-african-republic>

UN Team of Experts Rule of Law/Sexual Violence in Conflict, Annual Report 2020.

USAID, Risques climatiques dans le programme régional pour l’environnement en Afrique centrale (CARPE) et dans le bassin du Congo, 2018.

U.S Department of State, 2019 Country Reports on Human Rights Practices: Central African Republic. U.S. Department of State, Bureau of International Narcotics and Law Enforcement Affairs: Central African Republic Summary (undated). Available at <https://www.state.gov/bureau-of-international-narcotics-and-law-enforcement-affairs-work-by-country/central-african-republic-summary/>

Varieties of Democracy, *Country Graph, Central African Republic* [Country Graph | V-Dem](#)

Vinck P, Pham PN, Balthazard M, Magbe AS. Peace, Justice and Security Polls, Report 4. (2019). Harvard Humanitarian Initiative, United Nations Development Program. [http://www.peacebuildingdata.org/sites/m/pdf/CAR\\_Poll4\\_Engl\\_Final.pdf](http://www.peacebuildingdata.org/sites/m/pdf/CAR_Poll4_Engl_Final.pdf)

Vinck P, Balthazard M, Magbe AS, Pham PN. Peace, Justice and Security Polls, Report 5. (2020). Harvard Humanitarian Initiative, United Nations Development Programme.

Vinck P, Balthazard M, Magbe AS, Pham PN. Peace, Justice and Security Polls, Report 6 (2021). Harvard Humanitarian Initiative, United Nations Development Program

WFP Central African Republic Country Brief, August 2021. Available at <https://www.wfp.org/countries/central-african-republic>

World Bank, CAR overview, 2021. <https://www.worldbank.org/en/country/centralafricanrepublic/overview>



World Bank, *Data, Proportion of seats held by women in national parliaments* [Proportion of seats held by women in national parliaments \(%\) - Central African Republic | Data \(worldbank.org\)](#)

UN EAD, *Mission technique en République centrafricaine 10-19 novembre 2020 Rapport*, 2020

UN Focal Point for Elections, *Policy, Principles and Types of UN Electoral Assistance*, 2021

UN MINUSCA, *Compte-rendu de la réunion du Comité Stratégique d'appui au processus électoral du 19 février 2021*

UN MINUSCA, *Focus, Bulletin D'Information de la MINUSCA*, #20, January 2021

UN MINUSCA, *MINUSCA en Action, Bulletin D'Information de la Minusca*, #79 et #80, Février- Mars 2021

UN MINUSCA, United Nations Human Rights Office of the High Commissioner, *Rapport Publique sur les violations de droits de l'homme et du droit international humanitaire en République Centrafricaine Durant la Période Electorale*, July 2020- June 2021

UN MINUSCA, *Compte-rendu de la réunion du Comité Stratégique d'appui au processus électoral du 19 février 2021*

United Nations General Assembly, *Budget performance for the period from 1 July 2019 to 30 June 2020 and proposed budget for the period from 1 July 2021 to 30 June 2022 for the United Nations Multidimensional Integrated Stabilization Mission in the Central African Republic*, A/75/822/Add.7, 5 May 2021

United Nations General Assembly, *Budget performance of the United Nations Multidimensional Integrated Stabilization Mission in the Central African Republic for the period from 1 July 2017 to 30 June 2018, Report of the Secretary General*, A/73/654, 13 December 2018

United Nations Peacekeeping, [Le nouveau mandat de la MINUSCA présenté aux journalistes | Nations Unies Maintien de la paix](#) 5 December 2020

United Nations Security Council, *Letter dated 14 February 2019 from the Secretary-General addressed to the President of the Security Council*, S/2019/145, 15 February 2019

United Nations, Security Council, *Central African Republic, Report of the Secretary-General*, S/2021/146, 16 February 2021

United Nations, Security Council, *Central African Republic, Report of the Secretary General*, S/2021/571, 16 June 2021

United Nations, Security Council, *Central African Republic, Report of the Secretary-General*, S/2020/545, 16 June 2020

United Nations, Security Council, *Central African Republic, Report of the Secretary-General*, S/2019/498, 17 June 2019

United Nations, Security Council, *Letter dated 27 January 2021 from the Panel of Experts on the Central African Republic extended pursuant to resolution 2536 (2020) addressed to the President of the Security Council*, S/2021/87, 27 January 2021

United Nations, Security Council, *Resolution 2448*, S/RES/2448 (2018), 13 December 2018

United Nations, Security Council, *Resolution 2499*, S/RES/2499 (2019), 15 November 2019

## ANNEX 5. STATUS OF COUNTRY PROGRAMME OUTCOME INDICATORS

Table 3. Status of CPD outcome indicators (as of October 2021) <sup>37</sup>								
Outcome / Output Indicator	Baseline	2018 Cible	2018 Actuel	2019 Cible	2019 Actuel	2020 Cible	2020 Actuel	2021 Cible
<b>CPD Outcome 1: By 2021, political and administrative institutions and civil society organizations promote and contribute to peace, security, national reconciliation, and human rights.</b>								
1.1 Pourcentage de personnes qui perçoivent que la sécurité personnelle s'est améliorée	46% (2017)	46%	49%	49%	60%	50%	79% <sup>38</sup>	75%
1.2 Pourcentage de la population qui perçoit une diminution des tensions ethniques/religieuses	83% (2018)	83%	83%	83%	83%	83%	87% <sup>39</sup>	90%
1.3 Pourcentage de la population qui a payé - ou qui a été sollicitée pour payer – un pot-de-vin à un représentant de l'Etat	22% (2018)	22%	22%	22%	22%	22%	9% <sup>40</sup>	15%
1.4 Rang de la République Centrafricaine selon l'Indice de Mo Ibrahim de la Gouvernance en Afrique	51 (2016)	52	50	50	50	50	50 <sup>41</sup>	48

<sup>37</sup> 'Outcome', 'indicator' 'baseline' and 'target' were defined in the CPD 2018-2021 Result and resources framework. Values presented in this table are taken from the UNDP Corporate Planning System. Indicators retained throughout the programme period may differ from the ones presented in the CPD.

<sup>38</sup> En considérant les dernières données disponibles.

<sup>39</sup> Selon les résultats de la dernière enquête disponible.

<sup>40</sup> Selon les résultats de la dernière enquête disponible.

<sup>41</sup> Sur 54 pays. Selon le dernier classement disponible. NB: Le classement de l'année N est établie sur la base de données de celle de N-1.

**Table 3. Status of CPD outcome indicators (as of October 2021) <sup>37</sup>**

**CPD Outcome 2: By 2021, political and administrative institutions, civil society organizations and the private sector implement policies, programmes and reforms aimed at inclusive economic growth (good governance, recovery and job creation) and ethical transparent and sustainable management of natural resources.**

2.1 Indice de pauvreté	62.3% (2008) <sup>42</sup>	62.3%	62.3%	62.3%	71%	62.3%	72.5% <sup>43</sup>	62.3%
2.2 Taux de croissance du Produit Intérieur Brut (PIB)	4.3% (2017)	5%	4.3% <sup>44</sup>	5%	4.5%	5%	0% <sup>45</sup>	6%
2.3 Pourcentage des jeunes hommes ayant un emploi	50.58% (2017)	51.5%	51.4%	51.7%	50.69%	52%	55.31%	52.40%
2.4 Pourcentage de la population ayant un accès aux réseaux d'énergie utilisable et fonctionnel	3.7% (2017)	5%	4.05%	5%	4.2% <sup>46</sup>	5.50%	4.33%	6%

Source: UNDP Corporate Planning System

<sup>42</sup> L'année de référence du Baseline est 2008, date de la dernière enquête ménage.

<sup>43</sup> Selon la dernière valeur disponible

<sup>44</sup> La croissance du PIB a atteint 4,3 % en 2018 contre une prévision de 5%. Ces résultats reposent sur les efforts de paix et de réconciliation qui sont déployés dans le cadre de l'initiative de l'Union africaine, le déploiement progressif des forces de sécurité et des services publics en province et la mise en œuvre des réformes. Cependant, les perspectives sont soumises à des risques baissiers, en particulier l'insécurité.


<sup>45</sup> La valeur de l'indicateur est -1%. Le 0% a été mis suite au rejet de la valeur -1% par le système.

<sup>46</sup> La dernière estimation remonte à 2008; Les données utilisées proviennent des projections de ILOStat. Il s'agit du pourcentage des jeunes garçons ayant un emploi.

## ANNEX 6. PROJECT LIST

CPD Outcome / Output	Project ID	Project Title	Project start	Project end	Total 2018-2020 expenditures
<b>Outcome 1 - Peacebuilding, security and Rule of Law</b>					
<b>Output 1.1</b> <i>Rule of Law</i>	00085639	Appui au processus de réconciliation nationale en RCA	2014	2020	214,953
	00087828	Joint Project to support fight human rights violations	2015	1905	10,008,927
	00095479	Appui à la Cour Pénale spéciale en RCA	2016	2021	14,049,962
	00103906	Lutte Contre l'impunité des SGBV en RCA	2017	2021	1,456,372
	00105629	Appui au renouvellement des Forces Sécurité Intérieure	2017	2021	3,573,768
	00105634	Mediation et Dialogue pour une Paix Durable	2017	2021	3,531,632
	00121087	Appui aux Victimes & Populations Accès Justice & Vérité	2019	2021	1,496,833
	00125030	Projet appui Etat de Droit	2020	2023	3,256,184
	00125264	PAF-COUR PENALE SPECIALE	2020	2023	5,199,184
<b>Output 1.2</b> <i>GEWE</i>	00103907	Participation et Leadership de la femme	2017	2021	709,004
	00112668	Femmes, arbres de Paix : gouvernance locale en RCA	2019	2021	759,330
<b>Output 1.3</b> <i>Decentralization</i>	00092847	Projet d'Appui au Redéploiement de l'Administration	2015	2020	915,013
	00105632	Appui à la restauration de l'Etat et relèvem. à Bambari	2017	2021	2,266,332
	00110337	Appui au Développement local et à la Résilience	2018	2022	1,731,528
<b>Output 1.4</b> <i>Electoral support</i>	00103970	Assistance Préparatoire aux Elections	2018	2021	246,046
	00124997	Projet d'Appui au Processus Electoral 2019-2022	2019	2022	27,386,692
<b>Outcome 2 - Stabilization, recovery and resilience-building</b>					
<b>Output 2.1</b> <i>Green growth</i>	00096900	Fond Vert Climat FVC	2016	2020	281,699
	00105867	Promotion des mini-réseaux micro hydroélectriques	2018	2022	881,598
<b>Output 2.2</b>	00096755	Global Programme - Rule of Law & Human Rights	2016	2024	94,059
<b>Output 2.3</b> <i>Livelihoods and sustainable employment</i>	00095501	Improving the Economic and Social Recovery for Returnee	2016	2018	60,317
	00095502	Human security Fund Pilot Project for Social Cohesion	2017	2020	1,627,435
	00102665	Programme Conjoint Jeunes RCA	2017	2021	3,551,796
	00102757	Support to stabilisation and recovery	2017	2020	773,719
	00105764	Rapid Assistance for Peace Consolidation and Social Stab	2018	2020	996,999
	00112883	Soutien aux activités génératrices de revenus durables	2018	2021	1,519,879
	00126499	Social stabilisation and prevention of radicalisation	2020	2021	135,343
	00127135	Response Plan Covid-19	2020	2021	467,787
				<b>Total</b>	<b>87,192,389</b>

## ANNEX 7. SECURITY ROAD CLASSIFICATION MAP



## ANNEX 8. COUNTRY PROGRAMME PERFORMANCE RATING

The ICPE rating system is developed by the IEO to quantify programme performance data or contribution data consistently across country programme evaluations. Strengthening performance measurement systems will enhance the quality of evaluations.<sup>47</sup>

The unit of analysis to attribute ratings were the outputs that fell within the scope of this evaluation, that is a) rule of law (output 1.1), b) gender (output 1.2), decentralization (output 1.3), elections (output 1.4), green growth, forestry and mining sector (2.1 and 2.2 together) and resilience and livelihoods (2.3).

To ensure there is inter-rater reliability and of consistency in the across each rating, each evaluator rated the output area under its responsibility while the lead evaluator worked in parallel to also attribute a rating to each of the outputs. Discrepancies and gaps were discussed on a one-on-one basis and final ratings were be attributed on that basis.

A four-point rating system as follows was used to allow clarity in performance scoring.

- 4 = Satisfactory /Achieved. A rating of this level means that outcomes exceed expectations/ All intended programme outputs and outcomes have been delivered, and results have been (or likely to be) achieved time of evaluation.
- 3 = Moderately Satisfactory /Mostly Achieved. A rating of this level is used when there are some limitations in the contribution of UNDP programmes that prevented an 'Excellent' rating, but there were no major shortfalls. Many of the planned programme outputs/outcomes have been delivered and expected results (likely to be) achieved. Overall, the assessment is substantially positive, and problems were small relative to the positive findings.
- 2 = Moderately Unsatisfactory /Partially Achieved. A rating of this level is used when significant shortfalls are identified, but there were also some positive findings. Only some of the intended outputs and outcomes have been completed/achieved. Overall, the assessment is less positive.
- 1 = Unsatisfactory /Not Achieved. A rating of this level means that the contribution of the UNDP programme faced severe constraints and the negative assessment outweighs any positive achievements. There has been limited or no achievement of planned programme outputs/outcomes.

### Disaggregated performance rating by output

Key Parameters	Indicator (RS)	Output 1.1	Output 1.2	Output 1.3	Output 1.4	Output 2.1-2.2	Output 2.3
1. Relevance							
1.A	Country programme addresses major development priorities in the country as defined in the country's development plan, SDGs, or sector policies (level of programme alignment)	4	4	4	4	4	4

<sup>47</sup> See UNDP Evaluation Policy, 2019. <http://web.undp.org/evaluation/policy.shtml>.

1.B	Country programme addresses UN's priority areas for the country, guided by UNDP's Signature Solutions	4	4	4	3	4	4
1.C	Programme is responsive to LNOB concerns, promoting inclusive development/peace	3	4	3	4	3	4
1.C	Programme has identified and addressed gaps in external support	4	4	3	4	2	4
1.C	Programme is responsive to the changing development needs/ priorities/ challenges, demonstrating flexibility and adaptability	3	3	2	4	1	3
1C.	UNDP programme is responsive to gender-specific development concerns	3	4	2	3	1	3
<b>2. Coherence</b>							
2.A	Linkages exist between projects implemented, outputs produced, and outcomes contributed	4	3	2	2	1	2
2.A	An integrated, issue-based programming approach adapted to enhance development results (e.g. poverty and environment; climate change adaptation and sustainable livelihood)	3	3	2	1	2	2
2.A	Mechanisms in place to facilitate various initiatives and programme efforts progress coherently, demonstrating synergies among them	3	3	2	2	1	2
2.B	UNDP established strategic partnerships with the <b>government</b>	3	3	2	3	2	2
2.B	UNDP established strategic partnerships with <b>UN agencies</b>	3	3	2	2	1	2
2.B	UNDP established strategic partnerships with <b>bilateral actors/IFIs</b>	3	3	2	3	1	2
2.B	UNDP established strategic partnerships with <b>non-state actors</b> (e.g. the media, CSOs, academia, think tanks)	3	3	1	3	1	3
2.B	UNDP established partnerships with the <b>private sector</b> , identifying key areas for private sector development and engagement, and/or for facilitating SDG financing	1	1	1	1	1	1



2.B	UNDP demonstrated it effectively played it 'integrator role' in the 'post delink' era	2	2	2	2	1	2
<b>3. Efficiency</b>							
3.A	Projects have a timely start and activities are implemented and completed according to established plans.	2	3	3	2	1	2
3.B	Country programme has necessary technical capacities and adequate staffing at senior management level to achieve programme results	3	3	3	3	2	3
3.B	Programme resources were strategically allocated and the project budget was realistically estimated given the donor landscape.	3	3	1	3	1	2
3.B	Estimated resources were mobilized pursuing an appropriate resource mobilization strategy comprising diverse and sustainable funding streams.	3	2	1	4	1	1
<b>4. Effectiveness</b>							
4.A	<b>Programme outputs</b> were achieved	2	3	2	3	1	3
4.A	UNDP has <b>influenced (or is likely to influence) outcome level results</b>	3	2	2	4	2	2
4.B	Results have been <b>beneficial for those at risk of being left behind</b>	3	3	1	4	1	3
4.C	Results have <b>contributed to enhancing the processes for gender equality and women's empowerment</b>	3	4	1	3	1	3
4.D	Measures are taken to reduce the likelihood of negative consequences for social justice/economic performance/political stability/gender equality, promoting adaptation	2	3	3	4	1	3
4.D	Measures are taken to reduce the likelihood of negative consequences on the environment emerging over time	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
<b>5. Sustainability</b>							
5.A	Target institutions and/ or beneficiary groups are equipped with knowledge, skills, partnerships to continue with	3	3	2	2	2	2

	programme/ project related efforts after their completion						
5.A	Measures were taken to facilitate national ownership of programme results by ensuring programme linkages with national policies and efforts and ensuring the participation of non-state actors (CSOs and other non-state actors)	3	3	2	3	2	1
5.A	Measures are taken to promote scaling up	3	2	2	1	1	1
5.B	Financial and human resource needs for sustaining/scaling results achieved are addressed	2	2	1	2	1	2



Independent  
Evaluation  
Office

United Nations Development Programme

Independent Evaluation Office  
United Nations Development Programme  
One UN Plaza, DC1-20<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, NY 10017, USA  
Tel. +1(646) 781 4200



/ [www.undp.org/evaluation](http://www.undp.org/evaluation)



/ [UNDP\\_Evaluation](https://twitter.com/UNDP_Evaluation)



/ [ieoundp](https://www.facebook.com/ieoundp)



/ [evaluationoffice](https://www.youtube.com/evaluationoffice)

Evaluations for a #strongerUNDP