

accomplished owing to everyday cooperation among the Government of the Republic of Serbia, Mayors of the Municipalities, Heads of Districts and the Representatives of International Organizations.

Mr. Jurij Bajec (team leader for the development of poverty reduction strategy), from Economic Institute, talked about the crucial points of the strategy:

- Strong cooperation and link to the Government,
- How to prevent the increase of poverty (created due to the obstacles in the II phase of transition/privatization of our country);
- How to select the aim of the group and provide suitable solutions to that matter to the relevant institutions.

Mr. Slobodan Brkic, from the Economies Institute, in his presentation wanted to clarify the most appropriate way of being a part of the process in the development of poverty reduction strategy. Furthermore, "the development of poverty reduction strategy will be accompanied by Advisory Boards:

- Advisory Board of Organs of Local self government (Mayors of Municipalities),
- Board of NGO-s (Civil Society)
- Already formed Advisory Board of International partners.

In this context, Mr. Draskovic, Mayor of Medvedja said that the formation of Boards would help resolution of big problems in Medvedja Municipality (unemployment, collapse of big state firms, ...) and poverty reduction. He also pointed out that the Municipalities of Bujanovac, Presevo and Medvedja had faced conflict situation in GSZ, which caused many people to leave their households.

Mr. Stojcic, Mayor of Vranje, also commented on the Poverty Reduction Strategy saying that it was very complex, important and needed and that it should be prepared in the shortest period of time.

Mr. Ruzdi Junuzi commented that the Municipality of Presevo was specific in regards to the problems it faced and added that there should be a great segment in the poverty reduction strategy, which would tackle social problems and the people who were unemployed, who had lost their jobs etc...

Mr. Gojko Velickovic, newly elected Mayor of Leskovac, talked about the problems of his Municipality stressing the fact that REP programme was not implemented in Leskovac and yet there were 22.000 people registered in the Employment Centre waiting for any kind of job opportunity.

Mr. Nagip Afirir, Mayor of Bujanovac, joined the discussion saying that the proposed strategy programme should be given more stress in the Municipalities like Bujanovac, Presevo, Medvedja because those Municipalities were the least developed due to many reasons like: conflicts, a great number of IDP-s from Kosovo (around 3000), unprofitable firms ...

Mr. Milicevic stated that there were a number of reasons why priority should be given to the municipalities in South Serbia and among those were non-developed industry and many unemployed people.

Mr. Ivkovic added that south Serbia was evidently in much more difficult situation than the rest of the country and stressed that the poverty reduction strategy should be prepared as soon as possible because it would improve economic development of the region, and

the problems were again related to industry and the fact that the privatization had not started yet, agriculture being in a very difficult condition

Mr. Ljotic, head of Pcinjski District said that the Government of the Republic of Serbia, with the development of that programme and a wish to help its appliance in the economic development, had shown a great interest for South Serbia. He went on to say that the first step in the economic recovery should be the process of privatization starting from Small and Medium Enterprises.

Mr. Draskovic concluded that mayors of Municipalities, heads of districts and representatives of Government of the Republic of Serbia should start working on the programme for poverty reduction as it was agreed by all the participants in the discussion that this programme was very important.

4. Programme Presentation-Brian Holtt-Country Director-CHF

Mr. Holst greeted all the attendants and shortly presented CHF saying that the organization was strongly supported by USAID which would invest 5.000.000 US \$ in this region. Mr. Bratislav Stamenkovic (CHF office Leskovac) went on to talk about CHF's past accomplishments and future plans. Namely, he presented the mechanism according to which CHF functioned explaining that it was directed to local economy and focused on transparency, communication and information share.

In view of this Mr. Draskovic said that the problem in Medvedja was the selection of community representatives and their prioritizing projects.

Mr. Arifi also commented that there were 27 MZ-s in Bujanovac with only 8 groups development committees (CDC) and two projects implemented. He thanked CHF for the construction of schools in MZ Levosojce, Nesalce, Samoljica and asked whether CHF would cover the other MZs in Bujanovac Municipality. Mr. Holst explained that CHF had limited means and that they worked with 80 MZ-s in Serbia and they received further means they would expand the programme.

CHF representatives also said that they were satisfied with their work in Lebane Municipality and that in their further work they would like to focus more on economic recovery because the country needed privatization process to start as soon as possible. Mr. Stojicic, Mayor of Vranje expressed hope for the Municipality of Vranje to be included in CHF programme as the work of Vranje Municipality is important for the work of other Municipalities too.

It was then concluded that the Regional Steering Committee for the development of South Serbia supported the suggestion for Vranje Municipality to be included in CHF programme. Mr. Holtt promised that this suggestion/request would be considered starting from July.

5. Implementation of UNDP projects in municipalities-problems and lessons learnt

Further on in the meeting it was decided that the fifth and the seventh item in the agenda would be joined into one.

Mr. Alvarez, SSMIRP Team leader said that the difficulty SSMIRP was facing was that the MTU staff had not spent too much time talking and helping proponents with

development of project ideas. He also said that Municipal Development Committees did not truly represent communities stressing that MDF mostly relied on the work of MTU staff and MDC-s.

A discussion started on the role of the MDSs. Mr Alvarez informed that following art. 40 of the law on Self-Local Government, Municipalities could officially appoint representative the municipal from assembly to the MDC.

Mr. Draskovic exemplified this by saying that in the municipality of Medvedja the municipal Assembly has nominated representative to the MDC to assess and prioritize projects. He also informed that they would receiving a per diem to attend the meetings. The chairman of the meeting, Mr Draskovic, said that all Municipalities and Mayors should support the revision of the members of the MDCs.

Mr.Khan, REP Programme Manager said that he was satisfied with REP programme because it gave temporary jobs to 800 people who worked for 9.000 hours in total. Furthermore, Mr.Khan said that there would be a project related to training of the contractors (Small and Medium Enterprises), which would include IOM because of the main problems REP was facing was that the contractors, which were engaged in project implementation did not do their job properly.

Mr.Simkin, Area Coordinator emphasized that the future cooperation would depend on the support of European Agency for Reconstruction to fund a project that would include eleven Municipalities. He also stated that UNDP had thought a lot about MDC-s and the functioning of MDF because of the fact that all uncertainties regarding project implementation need to be cleared away.

Mr.Simkin also said that there was no definite decision on the distribution of money per municipality and that there should be another meeting on this issue; and about the project implementation he said that there were three very important elements in the process and they were the following:

- Implementation team
- Implementation unit, which would be in charge of the fund
- Method according to which the development priorities would be singled out

Mr.Zivkovic, ex Mayor of Leskovac Municipality talked about problems in project implementation. He reported that there were 200 project proposals in Leskovac Municipality and out of that number 60 were implemented and stressed that MDF Steering Committee should participate more in deciding on the priorities and in the decision making process.

Ms. Ann Foley, SSMIRP Programme Officer commented that for the sake of better insight into the problems and coordinated decision making process it was very important to have MDC consisting of Municipal assembly representatives and community members.

Vladimir Kostic, MDF Manager said that it was impossible to designate new people since we already had people designated on democratic elections so the best solution would be that MDF, MDC and UNDP be involved in the decision making process.

At the end of this discussion Mr. Draskovic suggested that MDC members should be chosen according to the MZ representatives and Municipal Representatives. When the

choice of people was in question, he suggested that the Municipal Assembly should propose people who would sit in MDC and who would manage MDF.

6. Agricultural Fair-FAO

Tom Thorogood, Team Leader of FAO announced that there would be an agricultural fair in Bujanovac on the eight of February 2003 in cooperation with the municipality and that there were two main aims of it:

- To enable local agriculturalists to get in touch with appropriate organizations, which are indispensable in their work
- To enable Belgrade to realize the potential of South Serbia

Mr. Thorogood explained that there would be 30 or 40 expositors, people from MF Bank, IMT organization and lots of Humanitarian Organizations and he appealed to the mayors and the heads of the districts present to come to the fair and give support to people present. Milan Subotic also addressed the people present with the invitation to come to the fair because they would have a chance to hear new ideas and new technology promoted.

7. Suggestions and initiative

- *Formation of mobile teams for the Municipalities of Bujanovac, Presevo and Medvedja –(after UNHCR office closed)*
- *Distribution of money received from the Norwegian Government in the amount of 560.000 US \$*

Mr. Peter Simkin said that there would be mobile teams in the area because after the closing of UNHCR office there was a need for these mobile teams who would monitor the follow up of the programme related to IDP-s. He added that Norwegian government provided funds for these teams.

Mr.Simkin added that there should be a meeting on the distribution of money for economic recovery.

Also,Mr. Tom Milan, representative of GOAL Organization said that they had prepared a programme, which would at the beginning be concentrated on giving instructions to agriculturalists followed by regular reports to the Mayors on the results.The municipalities of Bujanovac, Medvedja and Presevo would be included in the project at the beginning.Mr. Thorogood added that apart from financial means for economic recovery and development of agriculture, there would be credits, which would be given to people who wanted to organize themselves in groups. He suggested that the next meeting should be held after the fair in Bujanovac, on the eight of February 2003.

Conclusions:

- Mayors of Municipalities, heads of districts and representatives of Government of the Republic of Serbia will start working on the programme for poverty reduction

as it was agreed by all the participants in the discussion that this programme was very important;

- Regional Steering Committee for the development of South Serbia supported the suggestion for Vranje Municipality to be included in CHF programme.
- MDC should consist of Municipal Assembly Members and civil society representatives
- There would be another meeting on the distribution of money for economic recovery

At the end of the fifth Regional Steering Committee Meeting for the development of South Serbia, Mr. Simkin thanked the attendants of the meeting and said he hoped that the cooperation would continue in the future.

Regional Steering Committee Meetings

1st Steering Committee Meeting was held in Bujanovac on 19 September 2001

Topics discussed:

- Summary of CHF, OTI and SDC activities
- Summary of SSMIRP and REP programme activities
- Future activities of UNDP in South Serbia

2nd Steering Committee Meeting was held in Vranje on 19 March 2002

Topics discussed:

- Approval of REP and SSMIRP and fund allocations
- Proposal of creation of Coordination Body for Southern Serbia
- Development of Small and Medium enterprises in Medvedja region

3rd Steering Committee Meeting held in Leskovac on 11 June 2002

Topics discussed:

- Reports on SSMIRP and REP performance
- Other UN Agencies performance reports
- MTU handover to Municipalities, agreements were approved

4th Steering Committee Meeting held in Bujanovac on 29 October 2002

Topics discussed:

- Future MIR program discussion
- MDF problems and achievements discussion
- Poverty Reduction Strategy (PRS) discussion
- Municipal staff training done by SSMIRP discussion
- Reports on SSMIRP and REP performance

5th Steering Committee Meeting held in Sijarinska Banja on 29 January 2003

Topics discussed:

- PRS discussion and comments
- Future MIR program discussion (Local Self Government, Mayors role, etc)
- CHF performance presentation
- UNDP projects implementation - problems and lessons learned
- Creation of Mobile teams for all municipalities since UNHCR office is closed in Southern Serbia

6th Steering Committee Meeting held in Vlasina on 8 April 2003

Topics discussed:

- Economic Development for both districts of Southern Serbia
- DAI performance presentation
- MDF expenses and facilities distribution

REP and REP-like projects	Infrastructure	Economic development	Capacity building	Human development
Contribution to peace	Description	Reduced tendency to blame other groups for poverty	Reduced resentment of government dominated by other ethnic groups	Less recrimination between ethnic groups
	Indicator	Attitude description (descriptive, opinion survey)	(descriptive, opinion survey)	(descriptive, opinion survey)
Impact	Description	Higher quality of life for affected citizens	Enhanced confidence of citizens in various levels of government	Reduction in fear.
	Indicator	Qualities and improvements (descriptive, opinion survey)	Citizen's perceptions (descriptive, opinion survey)	Worker's and families' perceptions (descriptive, opinion survey)
Outcomes	Description	Various material improvements to lives of affected citizens	Greater responsiveness of municipality to citizens' needs	Familiarity with other ethnic groups enhanced
	Indicator	Types of services provided. No. of persons affected (descriptive/numerative, measurement by staff)	Citizen's perceptions (descriptive, opinion survey)	Worker's perceptions (descriptive, opinion survey)
Outputs	Description	154 infrastructure installations completed of types water supply, sewerage, etc.	Bottom-up development priorities accepted by municipalities as a way of working	Communication between ethnic groups initiated
	Indicator	No. and type of infrastructure installations completed (numerative and descriptive, measurement by project staff)	Change of attitude in officials (descriptive/numerative, opinion survey) Examples of application of REP method to other situations (descriptive, staff reporting)	No. of workers in mixed groups (numerative, staff measurement)
Activities	Description	122 projects completed 32 projects ongoing 1 tendering training programme completed	Project concepts solicited from MZs Proposal developed with MZ Approval routed through municipal assembly	Labour intensive projects
	Indicator	No. of projects of various types ongoing or completed. Sum of funds disbursed. (numerative, measurement by project staff)	No. of projects handled as above. Size of matching funds (numerative, staff measurement)	No. of projects ongoing or completed

Results table for REP project – examples of types of qualities and indicators and their measurement

EXAMPLES OF OUTCOME INDICATORS ASSOCIATED TO SSMIRP TYPES OF SUBPROGRAMMES

Capacity building	Results
Outcomes	<p>Output 1)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> % of clients satisfied with the efficiency in MDCs processing of sub-projects and with the transparency in following of the appraisal guidelines <p>Output 2)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Funds released within maximum x days after approval by MDCs <p>Output 3) MTU processes on average x projects per month applying guidelines, % of clients satisfied with the cooperation</p> <p>Output 4)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> % of trainees can respond meaningfully to a questionnaire related to the training delivered % of trained staff use newly gained knowledge in daily work Productivity of staff Satisfaction by superiors <p>Output 5)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> % of trainees can respond meaningfully to a questionnaire related to the training delivered % of contractors meet tendering requirements no of contractors participating in tendering <p>Output 6)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> % of trainees can respond meaningfully to a questionnaire related to the training delivered
Outputs	<p>1) 6 restructured MDC in place by</p> <p>2) 6 MDFs in place by</p> <p>3) 6 MTU in place by</p> <p>4) x, y and z municipal staff trained in public finance, public utility management and physical planning respectively by</p> <p>5) x contractors trained in tendering procedures</p> <p>6) x and y programme staff trained in different subjects by</p>
Activities	<p>Output 1)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> mayor nominate members and assembly approve by <p>Output 2)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> mayors approved 6 MDFs by <p>Output 3)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> mayors nominated 6 MTUs by <p>Output 4)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> training within specific training modules took place over a 5 day period including x, y and z numbers of staff <p>Output 5)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> training involving z contractors took place over x days from <p>Output 6)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> training x, y and z staff in different subjects took place over y days from
Input	<p>Output 1)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> as a general rule MDC are as follows: 2 from the municipal staff, 2 MZ members and 2 representatives form civil society <p>Output 2)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 1-x staff strength made available <p>Output 3)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> staff strength 1-2 persons <p>Output 4)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> % of trainees satisfied with training delivery <p>Output 5)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> % of contractors satisfied with training delivery <p>Output 6)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> % of staff satisfied with training delivery

Human development	Results
Outcomes	Output 1) % of public satisfied with services (e.g. by local x-ray investigation) by Output 2) % of children pass test by Output 3) frequency of inter-ethnic contacts before and after Output 4) % of persons satisfied with the service provided Output 5) environmental improvement sustainable
Outputs	1) specified and fragile service delivery for x persons living in y area in place by 2) x children in secondary school ages living in y area trained in IT for 1 week from 3) inter-ethnic cultural activities involving y persons living in x area implemented from...to 4) legal rights facilitation system in place with a capacity to serve x persons in y area in place by 5) a specific environmental improvement in z area benefiting x persons established by

Economic development	Results
Outcomes	Output 1) % of business plans implemented partly or fully by... / time required for doubling of turnover / time required for achieving profitability Output 2) no and nature of lasting regional/national/international business partnerships established by Output 3) no of profitable agricultural cooperatives in place by Output 4) no of profitable vegetable producers operate by Output 5) % of loans repaid by Output 6) no of persons undergoing vocational upgrading in longer term jobs 2 and 6 month after training ended
Outputs	1) no of business plans prepared 2) no of business contacts established 3) no of agricultural cooperatives formed 4) no of young agriculturists trained 5) no of micro-credits delivered 6) no of persons received upgrading of vocational training

UNITED NATIONS DEVELOPMENT PROGRAMME
South Serbia Rapid Employment Programme

Worker's Social Data

NB: Applies only to labour-intensive projects, data for contractor projects not available

Questions	No. B-02	No. B-03	No. B-06	No. B-07	No. B-08	No. B-09	No. B-10	No. B-11	No. B-12	No. B-13	No. B-14	No. B-15	No. B-16	No. B-17	No. B-19
age	1		3			5	10	7	8	17	17	14	11	2	19
age			15	10	7	16	30	13	9	21	10	14	9	5	10
age	11	13	21	24	22	13	38	21	30	26	20	35	8	10	21
age	6	3	5		3	7	22	19	23	6	8	7	2	3	10
Legal Status										2		33			
Legal Status					4					63		4	3		
Legal Status				8			18								
Legal Status							2								
Legal Status				14	1					5	11	19			
Legal Status	18	16	44	12	27	41	80	60	70		44	14	27	20	60
Working status	3					31	22	1	21	10					2
Working status	15	16	44	34	32	10	72	50	31		47	68	30	20	35
Working status							6	9	18	60	8	2			23
Ethnic pertinence	6			3	3	40	71	60	70				15	20	60
Ethnic pertinence	10	16	44	34	13					70	55	70			
Ethnic pertinence					16		29						15		
Ethnic pertinence	2					1									
Marital Status	2	2	13		5	23	34	21	21	33	23	24	12	7	26
Marital Status	16	14	31	34	27	18	66	39	49	37	32	46	18	13	34
Marital Status															
Gender					1	4	7	21	7						13
Gender	18	16	44	34	31	37	93	39	63	70	55	70	30	20	47
Main problems	16	16	44	34	32	3	62		34	70			30	20	56
Main problems							10					70			
Main problems															
Main problems	2					38	28	60	36		55				4
Income Source	3						3		21						2
Income Source	15	16		34	32	15	90			70		68	30	20	21
Income Source			44			17	7		48		55	2			37
Income Source															
Income Source									1						
Income Source						9		60							
Income Source								1	6						
Use of income from REP					18										
Use of income from REP	17	16	44	34	14	24	95	59	46	70	55	70	30	20	57
Use of income from REP	1					17	5		18						3

No. B-21	No. B-22	No. B-24	No. B-25	No. B-27	No. B-28	No. B-30	No. B-31	No. B-33	No. B-35a	No. B-35b	No. B-37	No. B-38	No. B-47	Bujanovac	No. M-05	No. M-06	No. M-07	No. M-08	No. M-09B	No. M-09C
1	4	3	18	4	3	2				3		1	3	164	1	10	7	8	1	
	9	10	7	19	8	8	2	8	1	12	3	6	4	266	2	14	11	6	6	2
10	5	16	14	20	4	17	6	24	6	14	6	19	1	475	18	24	44	17	12	4
5	2	1	1	3	5	1		1		1	6	16		166	1	12	16	10	5	
		30		4						9				78		2			1	
			10	26	2									112	2	23				
						4								30		9		10		
				1										3						
16	20		3	15										68						
			27		18	24	8	40	8	21	15	42	8	780	20	26	80	31	23	6
3						4	5							102			3			
13	20	30		43	20	23	3	40	4	30	15	16	8	769	21	60	77	33	24	6
				3		1			4			26		160	1			8		
4					20	4	8		8		15	42		446	22	46	80	14	22	5
9	20	30	40	46		20		40		30				547		12		21	1	
						4							8	72		2		6	1	1
3														6						
1	13	4	22	20	10	4	2	9	3	12	6	8	3	363	1	32	29	17	9	1
15	7	26	18	26	10	24	6	31	5	18	9	34	5	708	21	28	51	24	14	5
														0						
16	20	30	40	46	20	28	8	40	8	30	15	32	8	968	22	45	69	35	24	6
14	20	17	40		20	24		40	7	6	15	42		656	22	60	80	41	24	
		13		46						11				145						
2						4	8		1	13			8	259						6
3							5		2		15			54						
13							3							481	13		41	31	24	
	20		40	43	11	5			6			42		330	9		39	7		
				2	7									2						
					2	2							8	13		58		3		
		30		1		21		40		30				191						
										8	15			33	7		27	8	6	6
														0	6					
16	20	30	40	45	20	28	8	40	6	22	42		8	983	9	60	53	33	18	6
				1					2					55						

No. M-11	No. M-12	No. M-13	No. M-15	No. M-17	Bujanovac	Medvedja	Presevo	Vranje	All
14	14	1			15%	14%	21%	14%	17%
6	8	9			25%	16%	27%	29%	25%
14	19	48			44%	50%	44%	47%	46%
6	9	32			15%	23%	9%	9%	14%
		1			7%	1%	2%	9%	5%
5	5				10%	9%	4%	6%	8%
11					3%	7%	6%	0%	4%
					0%	0%	1%	1%	0%
					6%	0%	9%	0%	5%
24	45	79			73%	83%	79%	82%	77%
		2			10%	1%	4%	5%	6%
40	50	62			72%	93%	63%	66%	72%
		16			15%	6%	32%	16%	19%
8	42	77			42%	78%	13%	78%	44%
25	1				51%	15%	87%	0%	49%
7	7	2			7%	6%	1%	22%	7%
		1			1%	0%	0%	0%	0%
10	22	5			34%	31%	38%	31%	34%
30	38	75			66%	71%	63%	69%	66%
					0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
	10	80			10%	30%	2%	16%	11%
40	40				90%	70%	100%	84%	89%
40	46	70			61%	95%	77%	90%	75%
					14%	0%	4%	0%	7%
					1%	0%	0%	0%	0%
	4	10			24%	5%	20%	16%	19%
		2			5%	0%	4%	5%	4%
	48	66			45%	55%	32%	64%	46%
		12			31%	17%	37%	15%	28%
					0%	0%	5%	1%	2%
	2				1%	16%	13%	4%	7%
40					18%	11%	11%	12%	14%
		10			3%	14%	4%	15%	7%
		3			0%	2%	2%	2%	1%
40	50	67			92%	77%	92%	85%	89%
					5%	6%	3%	10%	5%

SSMIRP Projects - Work in Progress

No.	Location	Sub-Project title	Working Group	Staff member in charge	Date registered	Total Amount in \$US	Total amount in YUD	Participation in MDF (municipality-UNDP)	Other input	Beneficiaries	Duration (months)	MDC score	Date approved by UNDP	Starting date: Contract signed	Briefing given	2 week visit	Mid-way audit (time)	Mid term reports received and completed	25% complete	50% complete	75% complete	Project Complete
1	BUJ-010	BUJANOVAC	Education	TSN	20.5.02	2,539.50	157,500.00	50%-50%	78,000.00YUD	4000 pupils and teachers (Albanian and Serbian) Vranje and Bujanovac Municipalities, UNDP/SSMRP, REP, other international org.	one year		14.11.02	26.11.02	29.11.02	17.12.02	14.01.03					
2	BUJ-011	BUJANOVAC	Media	TSN	25.04.02	2,587.00	180,650.00	50%-50%	274,000.00 YUD	Premises, beehives etc.	6 months		20.11.02	17.10.02	17.10.02	17.12.02	11.12.2000	10.12.2002				
3	BUJ-005	BUJANOVAC	Education	TSN	13.05.02	8,515.81	579,074.97	UNDP only		20	9 months		04.06.02	06.06.2002	18.10.02	25.06.02	2					
4	BUJ-015	BUJANOVAC	Media	DS	09.07.02	2,615.88	162,225.00	50%-50%	79,503.00 YUD	30,000 listeners	3 months		9.9.2002	21.10.02	18.10.02	5.11.2002	17.12.02					
5	BUJ-016	BUJANOVAC	Agriculture	TSN	08.05.02	9,905.97	602,282.70	50%-50%	149800 YUD	30 to start with (plus 30 per next 4 years)	5 months + 4 years		19.12.02	23.12.02	16.12.02	12.01.03	17.04.03					
6	BUJ-017	BUJANOVAC	Education	TSN	1.5.2002	3,524.66	220,678.94	50%-50%	55,011.00 YUD	25 Roma children	6 months		14.11.02	29.11.02	29.11.02	12.12.02	17.02.03	15.04.03				
7	BUJ-018	BUJANOVAC	Health and Education	TSN	3.9.2002	8,271.37	512,990.36	50%-50%	31,620.00 YUD	15 children	6 months		14.11.02	29.11.02	29.11.02	26.02.03	14.05.03	19.05.03				
8	BUJ	BUJANOVAC	Education	TSN	10.11.2000	4,798.70	279,908.37	50%-50%	324,000.00	30 unemployed	3x 3months		21.03.03	24.03.03	19.03.03	17.04.03						
9	BUJ	BUJANOVAC	Education	MZ		3,346.59	195,206.74	50%-50%	45,000.00	100 women	6 months	63%	31.03.03	03.04.03								
10	BUJ-001	BUJANOVAC (Veliki Trnava)	Educational and Gender	NAT/TSN	08.04.02	6,698.00	438,183.16	UNDP only	Contribution from Beneficiaries	At least 45 (90)	6 months		04.06.02	18.06.02	18.10.02							
11	BUJANOVAC	"Rehabilitation of Municipality building"	Infrastructure	TSN	07.02.03	9,800.00	583,261.40	50%-50%		whole municipality	2 months		02.04.03									
12	BUJ-030	BUJANOVAC	Education	TSN	25.11.02	14,570.22	900,784.66	50%-50%	50,804.00	300	6 months	76.25%	20/03/03	21.03.03	17.03.03	17.04.03						
13	BUJ-073	BUJANOVAC	Education	TSN	06.06.02	4,788.70	279,908.37	50%-50%	22,500.00	30	six months	70%	31.03.03	07/04/03	21/03/03	01.03.03						
14	BUJ-100	BUJANOVAC	Culture	TSN	28.01.03	14,922.75	882,364.26	50%-50%	45,752.00	Bujanovac and Vranje Municipalities	8 weeks	63%	09.06.03	14.06.03	01.06.03							
15	BUJ-101	BUJANOVAC	Education	MZ	01.04.03	2,151.61	121,200.00	50%-50%	230,660.00	60	8 months	87%	06.06.03	09.06.03	04.06.03							
16	BUJ-108	BUJANOVAC	Education	TSN	21.04.03	22,882.58	1,287,848.98	50%-50%		406 students and 25 teachers	2 months	91%	11.06.03									
17	BUJ-104	BUJANOVAC	Education	TSN	11.04.03	8,228.55	463,514.15	50%-50%		36+20+25	1 month	89%	11.06.03									
18	BUJ-107	BUJANOVAC	Infrastructure	TSN	04.04.03	59,500.00	3,349,060.00	50%-50%		whole municipality	2 month											
19	BUJ-105	BUJANOVAC	Education	MZ	16.04.03	12,370.00	697,000.00	50%-50%	30,000 YUD	Health Centre employees	3 month											
20	LEB 001	LEBANE	Infrastructure	aw	06.03.02	9,521.00	647,406.00	UNDP only	Contribution from Beneficiaries	Local community	3 weeks		17.05.02	27/05/02		*	*					
21	LEB 002	LEBANE	Infrastructure	aw	08.03.02	5,112.00	347,642.50	UNDP only	Contribution from Beneficiaries	1500 children	3 weeks		17.05.02	17/06/02		*	*					

22	LEB-003	LEBANIE	Cleaning and greening public parks	Infrastructure	8v	08.03.02	6.037.00	374.900.00	UNDP only	Contribution from Beneficiaries	Local community	10 days	17.05.02	01/06/02		*	*				
23	LEB-007	LEBANIE	Training for business plan seminar for entrepreneurs	SME/ civil society	NABSS	17.03.02	2.012.00	145.420.00	UNDP only	Contribution from Beneficiaries	70 entrepreneurs	5 days seminar	17.05.02	09/02/02	23/10/02		17/01/03	20.12.03			
24	LEB-008	LEBANIE	Workshops - scores culture performed in painting, recited, acting and performing	Culture/ C society	NABSS	22.03.02	3.470.00	210.617.00	UNDP only	Contribution from Beneficiaries	50- weeks municipality	6 months	17.05.02	08/03/02	23/10/02						
25	LEB-008	LEBANIE	Roma people in educational process specific for Roma parents' concern	Civil society	NA	17.04.02	1.289.00	95.871.00	UNDP only	Contribution from Beneficiaries	30 Roma parents	5 weeks	17.05.02	17.06.02							
26	LEB-009	LEBANIE	IT-Courses (by citizens of Lebanon)	education	AVASCO agency	29.4.02	10.546.88	665.191.80	UNDP only	Contribution from Beneficiaries	304 seminar workers, health workers, private enterprises	6 months	20.10.02	in April	23/10/02						
27	LEB-039	LEBANIE	Raw water treatment plant rehabilitation/reconstruction and additional works	Public Utility Water supply	Diagana	10.12.03	25.882.00	1.500.000.00	50%-50%	1.151.000	15000 residents Lebanon	70 days	12.02.03	04.03.03	7.3.03	18.03.03	17.03.03				
28	LEB-001	LEBANIE	IT Equipment and Education for an Elementary Schoolchildren of	Education	Diagana	14.04.02	7.182.00	427.478.00	50%-50%	88.400	400 pupils per year, 70 teachers, citizens	4 months	31.03.03	03.04.03	02.04.03	16.4.03	05.06.03				
29	LEB-002	LEBANIE	English course (for different communities)	Education	Diagana	28.03.02	5.157.78	304.051.40	50%-50%	144.000 den	180 people	8 months	01.03.03								
30	LEB-028	LEBANIE	Women's Club (free legal, medical, psychological help to women and the school)	Civil society	Diagana	27.03.03	3.239.35	182.472.36	50%-50%	48000 den	around 400 people	6 months	01.03.04								
31	LEB-038	LEBANIE	Free Legal Help (available in the Municipality)	Civil society	Diagana		1.302.00	73.341.96	50%-50%	Contribution from beneficiaries	whole municipality	3 months	01.03.05								
32	LEB-042	LEBANIE	Roma education for adults in writing and reading	Civil society	Diagana		1.539.00	96.691.87	50%-50%	Contribution from beneficiaries	Roma population of the municipality	4 months	03.03.03								
33	LEB-045	LEBANIE	Asarski potok (connecting the sewage canal)	Infrastructure	Diagana		15.879.00	899.811.75	50%-50%	Contribution from beneficiaries	whole municipality	2 months	03.03.04								
34	LEB-046	TOSKOCEVE	Sports Playground	Infrastructure	Diagana		13.779.00	776.171.07	50%-50%	Contribution from beneficiaries	School pupils	3 months	03.03.05								
35	LEB-047	LEBANIE	Green Market reconstruction	Infrastructure	Diagana		9.813.00	552.766.29	50%-50%	Contribution from beneficiaries	whole municipality	4 months	07.07.03								
36	LEB-050	LEBANIE	Children's park	Infrastructure	Diagana		9.074.00	511.139.42	50%-50%	Contribution from beneficiaries	whole municipality	5 months	07.07.04								
37	LEB-060	LEBANIE	Reconstruction of sanitary and heating systems in "B. Kacazovic School"	Infrastructure	Diagana		15.964.00	899.252.12	50%-50%	Contribution from beneficiaries	School pupils	6 months	07.07.05								
38	LEB-001	LESPOVAC	Rehabilitation of the leshkovac Clinic of (medical)	Infrastructure	8v	21.04.02	19.889.85	1.336.400.00	UNDP only	Contribution from Beneficiaries	10.000 children	2 months	01.06.02	01/05/02							
39	LEB-002	LESPOVAC	Creation of children's playground	Infrastructure	8v	21.94.02	4.472.88	379.572.50	UNDP only	Contribution from Beneficiaries	10.000 children	one month	01.06.02	01/05/02							
40	LEB-003	LESPOVAC	Improvement of outdoor urban dwelling areas in Leskovac	Infrastructure	8v	21.03.02	13.363.77	828.553.74	UNDP only	Contribution from Beneficiaries	10.000 children	two months	01.06.02	01/05/02							
41	LEB-007	LESPOVAC	Cultural sunrise in Leskovac concert/movies/ theatre	Culture/ C society	BS	01.04.02	10.937.70	678.137.40	UNDP only	Contribution from Beneficiaries	10.000 children	8 months	01/06.02	10/06/02							
42	LEB-011	LESPOVAC	Revitalization of green areas, creation of children's playgrounds)	Environmental	8v	Viada	9.669.83	599.529.46	UNDP only	Contribution from Beneficiaries	citizens of the town	2 weeks	01.06.02	05/06/02							
43	LEB-012	LESPOVAC	Awareness campaign about urban environment	Ecology	8v	Viada	450.00	27.900.00	UNDP only	Contribution from Beneficiaries	60.000	1 day	01.06.02	08/06/02							
44	LEB-041	LESPOVAC	Guide for new entrepreneurs (CD-how to set up an enterprise)	Economy	BS		5.138.35	336.150.00	UNDP only	Contribution from Beneficiaries	6000	5 weeks	01.06.02	13/05/02							

