

## PIMS 3786 Coping with Drought in Mozambique. Rating Table

Rating explanations provided in page 2

Rating Project Performance	
Criteria	Rating
<b>Monitoring and evaluation</b>	
Overall quality of M&E	6 pt. scale: HS/S/MS/MU/U/HU
M&E design	6 pt. scale: HS/S/MS/MU/U/HU
M&E implementation	6 pt. scale: HS/S/MS/MU/U/HU
<b>IA (UNDP) and EA (MICOA) execution</b>	
Overall quality of project implementation	6 pt. scale: HS/S/MS/MU/U/HU
Implementing agency execution	6 pt. scale: HS/S/MS/MU/U/HU
Executing agency execution	6 pt. scale: HS/S/MS/MU/U/HU
<b>Outcomes</b>	
Overall quality of project outcomes	6 pt. scale: HS/S/MS/MU/U/HU
Relevance	2 pt. scale: Relevant/ Not relevant
Effectiveness	6 pt. scale: HS/S/MS/MU/U/HU
Efficiency	6 pt. scale: HS/S/MS/MU/U/HU
<b>Sustainability</b>	
Overall likelihood of risks to sustainability	4 pt. scale: L/ML/MU/U
Financial risks	4 pt. scale: L/ML/MU/U
Socio-economic risks	4 pt. scale: L/ML/MU/U
Institutional framework and governance	4 pt. scale: L/ML/MU/U
Environmental risks	4 pt. scale: L/ML/MU/U
<b>Impact</b>	
Reduced vulnerability	3 pt. scale: S/M/N
Increased adaptive capacity	3 pt. scale: S/M/N
Promoted transfer and adoption of adaptation technology	3 pt. scale: S/M/N
<b>Overall project results</b>	6 pt. scale: HS/S/MS/MU/U/HU

## Rating explanations:

### 1. M&E system, IA and EA execution, Outcomes (overall quality, effectiveness, efficiency)

**(HS) Highly Satisfactory:** The project/outcome/M&E system had no shortcomings in the achievements of its objectives in terms of relevance, effectiveness, or efficiency

**(S) Satisfactory:** The project/outcome/M&E system had minor shortcomings in the achievements of its objectives in terms of relevance, effectiveness, or efficiency

**(MS) Moderately Satisfactory:** The project/outcome/M&E system had moderate shortcomings in the achievements of its objectives in terms of relevance, effectiveness, or efficiency

**(MU) Moderately Unsatisfactory:** The project/outcome/M&E system had significant shortcomings in the achievements of its objectives in terms of relevance, effectiveness, or efficiency

**(U) Unsatisfactory:** The project/outcome/M&E system had major shortcomings in the achievements of its objectives in terms of relevance, effectiveness, or efficiency

**(HU) Highly Unsatisfactory:** The project/outcome/M&E system had severe shortcomings in the achievements of its objectives in terms of relevance, effectiveness, or efficiency

### 2. Outcomes (relevance)

**Relevant:** The outcome supports national and subnational development objectives, as well as GEF/ SCCF/ UNFCCC programming framework and has taken local capacities into account

**Not relevant:** The outcome does not support national/ subnational priorities and/ or does not respond to the GEF/ SCCF/ UNFCCC programming framework and/ or has not taken local capacities into account

### 3. Sustainability

**(L) Likely:** There are no or negligible risks that affect this dimension of sustainability

**(ML) Moderately likely:** There are moderate risks that affect this dimension of sustainability

**(MU) Moderately unlikely:** There are significant risks that affect this dimension of sustainability

**(U) Unlikely:** There are severe risks that affect this dimension of sustainability

#### 4. Impact

**(S) Significant:** There has been significant reduction/ increase/ transfer of vulnerability/ adaptive capacity/ adaptation technology

**(M) Minimal:** There has been minimal reduction/ increase/ transfer of vulnerability/ adaptive capacity/ adaptation technology

**(N) Negligible:** There has been negligible reduction/ increase/ transfer of vulnerability/ adaptive capacity/ adaptation technology