UNDP Management Response Sixth Operational Phase of the GEF SGP in Thailand Mid Term Review Date: 28 October 2021

UNDP PIMS ID: 5530 MTR Completion Date: 22 July 2021

Prepared by: Suwimol Sereepaowong	Position: Project Manager
	Unit/Bureau: Country Office Thailand, RBAP
Cleared by: Kesrat Sukasem	Position: Integrated Team Leader
	Unit/Bureau: Country Office Thailand, RBAP
Input into and update in ERC: Nittaya Mek-Aroonreung	Position: Programme Analyst
	Unit/Bureau: Country Office Thailand, RBAP

Evaluation Recommendation 1: Accelerate Delivery: Based on the discussion from the Progress Towards Results and Adaptive management sections above, the mid-term review recommends that UNOPS, UNDP, the CPMU (Country Programme Management Unit-SGP project team) and the NSC (National Steering Committee) continue to make efforts to accelerate delivery. To that end, the CPMU will take necessary actions as described in the following key actions plan.

Management Response¹:

Accept. To accelerate the delivery. The Country Project Management Unit (CPMU) has already provided first grant payments to 51 communities in June 2021. The implementations of 51 grant projects have started. The four landscape strategies have been conditionally approved by the NSC and the NSC authorized the CPMU to work with each NGO grantee in further modification. The development and approval of the strategic projects will be reviewed by NSC during October – November 2021 as a priority action in response to the recommendation.

Key Action(s)	Completion date	Responsible	Tracking [*]	
		Unit(s)	Comments	Status (initiated, completed or no due date)
1.1 The CPMU will provide support to grantees on the implementation of grants in order to make as much progress as possible on achieving Outcome 2 targets. The effort has been resulted in the disbursement of the first grant payments to 51 grant projects.	May-June 2021	CPMU	-	Completed
1.2 In the connection with the above, the second grant payments were planned to disburse in October 2021 by receiving the completion of grantee's progress reports.	October 2021	CPMU	Budgeted and in the workplan	Completed

^{*} Status of implementation is tracked electronically in the ERC database.

1.3 The CPMU will expedite the development and the NSC should expedite the approval of the four strategic projects. In this regard, the ToR of the four strategic projects will be submitted to the NSC for approval.	October 2021	CPMU, NSC	ToR of the strategic project is being developed.	Initiated
1.4 In following, the identification and selection of the four	November –	CPMU, NSC	-	Initiated
strategic projects will be completed as per the set ToR.	December 2021			

Evaluation Recommendation 2: Monitor delivery: based on the discussion from the Progress Towards Results and Adaptive management sections above, the mid-term review recommends that UNOPS, UNDP, the CPMU and the NSC continue to monitor delivery and assess in six months the need to request a project extension. As an extension will likely be needed, UNDP and CPMU should start identifying from now co-financing sources to support project implementation, even if some of this could be covered through outcome level funding. UNOPS and UNDP should explore the possibility of mobilizing a UN Volunteer, including how quick this could be.

Management Response:

Accept The project duration is quite important to achieve the outcome of the intended activities. The CPMU will maintain the standard approach of project cycle of 18 months. Given the continues occurrence of new variants of COVID, limitation of mobility, COVID related curfew, project delays are anticipated. The project is going to end in September-2022. an extension most likely be requested in Q1-2022 CPMU will explore the possibility to reprogramme the project budget in view of recruiting UNVs at community level to support the monitoring of the field level.

Completion date		Tracking		
	Unit(s)	Comments	Status (initiated, completed or no due date)	
February - March 2022	CPMU	The NSC meeting will be organized in March. This action will be initiated in Q1-2022	No due date	
March 2022	CPMU, NSC	Will be initiated in Q1- 2022	No due date	
March 2022	CPMU, NSC, UNDP CO, SGP UCP	Will be initiated in Q1- 2022	No due date	
November- December 2021	CPMU , UNDP, UCP	Will be initiated in Q4 2021	Initiated	
	February - March 2022 March 2022 March 2022 November-	Unit(s)February - March 2022CPMUMarch 2022CPMU, NSCMarch 2022CPMU, NSC, UNDP CO, SGP UCPNovember-CPMU, N	Unit(s)CommentsFebruary - March 2022CPMUThe NSC meeting will be organized in March. This action will be initiated in Q1-2022March 2022CPMU, NSC UNDP CO, SGP UCPWill be initiated in Q1- 2022November-CPMU ,Will be initiated in Q1- 2022	

Ensure long term funding for landscape work:

Based on the discussion from the Project design and Progress Towards Results sections, the mid-term review recommends that UNDP, the CPMU and the NSC continue to strengthen the advocacy process to make sure that GEF OP8 resources are allocated to SGP/UPC in Thailand. They also should continue advocacy efforts to ensure the same landscapes are prioritized, to ensure at least two OPs. To that end, they should conduct meetings with key government officials, including the GEF focal point, and develop and disseminate knowledge products on the results of the project. Moreover, the CPMU should enhance communication with policy-making organizations where relevant at landscape level for policy advocacy and strong support at the pilot sites. Furthermore, UNDP should share knowledge on UCP's results in countries where they have been supported for several OPs. When developing the PIF and then the prodoc, UNDP should ensure that at least four years are considered.

Management Response:

Partially Accept. The project has all potential to expand and continue implementation as per the OP6 – Project. It is recommended to expand the project target areas in more diverse landscape rather supplementing the same communities with grants. There is clear scope of expansion. The CPMU could advocate to allocate GEF OP8 resources to SGP/UCP in Thailand. However, this seems beyond the OP6 Project's reach. The project approval process rests with the GEF Sec. However, the OP6 will seek support from SGP-UCP and UNDP CO to assist in pitching the project proposal to GEF Sec.

Key Action(s)	Completion date	Responsible	Tracking	
		Unit(s)	Comments	Status (initiated, completed or no due date)
3.1 The CPMU is to prepare concept note for GEF OP8 resource.	June-July 2022	CPMU, UNDP CO, SGP UCP	This action will be carried out in mid-2022,	No due date
3.2 CPMU will enhance communication with policy-making organizations where relevant at landscape level for policy advocacy and strong support at the pilot sites through policy advocacy platforms as planned under Outcome 3's activity.	June-July 2022	CPMU	The policy-making organizations will be invited to the community-based policy dialogues. The policy suggestion and advocacy derived from the community dialogues will be shared and discussed thru the onsite-online forums.	No due date
3.3 Knowledge is shared on UCP's results in other countries through variety of communication materials and tools produced for visibility enhancement such as SGP Thailand's website.	Continuous process	CPMU	KM and communication consultant is working in line with the workplan. Website	No due date

	development is on	
	progress to be	
	launched in October	
	2021.	

Evaluation Recommendation 4: Strengthen M&E and reporting:

Based on the discussion from the Project design and Adaptive management sections, the mid-term review recommends that UNDP, the CPMU and the NSC revise the PRF urgently, adding SMART indicators, baselines, targets and means and sources of verification to monitor and evaluate the impacts of the project on the health of ecosystems², socio-economic conditions³ and resilience to climate change⁴. In this sense, the project should go beyond the GEF core indicators and ensure the PRF is robust, monitoring and evaluating impacts along the whole impact chain. This should be based on the baseline assessments, which should be strengthened, and ideally developed before interventions start on the ground. The revision of the results framework should also consider outcome level indicators, addressing the comments provided in table 5 of the MTR. More specifically, the revision should strengthen the specificity and consistency of indicators 1.1 and 1.3. In addition, the revision should further include a gender perspective, disaggregating by gender in several indicators. A reference to gender could be easily added on indicator 3.2 on number of multi-stakeholder participants engaged in multi-sectoral policy dialogue platforms (e.g., "where 40% of participants or 400 participants are women"). References to gender could also be added guite easily to indicator 1.1 on multi-stakeholder landscape groups, adding for example "where women represent at least 40% of the group members". The same approach could be used for indicator 3.1 on multi-stakeholder policy platforms, although in this case this seems to be already included in indicator 3.2 (the difference between group member and participant would need to be clarified). Potentially, references to gender could also be integrated on indicators A, 2.1, and 2.4, distinguishing between areas owned by women or where women lead the management of natural resources, although if management is collaborative this would not be robust (2.2. and 2.3 have a clear community-approach). Finally, references to gender could be added on indicators 3.3 and 4.1, requesting that a percentage of the practices included in the case studies and the strategic projects are led by women, respectively. In addition, the CPMU should follow the PRF more closely in reporting, responding to indicators more succinctly and directly.

Management Response:

Accept . CPMU, UNDP SGP UCP and NSC will revisit the reporting templates and monitoring tools to assess the feasibility to revise the existing tools vis a vis the smart indicators.

Key Action(s)	Completion date	Responsible	Tracking	
		Unit(s)	Comments	Status (initiated, completed or no due date)
4.1 The reporting system including report templates were developed in line with the PRF to monitor the implementation and collect data in line with the PRF	May 2020	CPMU, strategic projects and grantees	The knowledge on log- frame indicators, targets and required data collection were informed to grantees as mandatory and	Completed

² For example, indicators regarding the density of trees and their health, the quality of water, the quality of soil and soil erosion.

³ For example, annual income, income stability and sources of income.

⁴ For example, losses of lives and property due to climate change-related extreme events.

			incorporated into the template of grantee's progress report to submit with the CPMU and whenever needed.	
4.2 The project cycle management guideline, data collection and reporting system have been provided to grant projects where are monitored closely by the CPMU. The strategic projects will assist in strengthening the M&E system too.	Continuous process	CPMU, strategic projects and grantees	The project cycle management guideline including M&E system were provided to grantees.	Completed
4.3 The monitoring mechanism has been strengthened by developing the on-site and online tools to be applied by grantees and the CPMU under the COVID-19 context.	Continuous process	CPMU, strategic projects and grantees	The knowledge on M&E mechanism and tools were provided to the grantees.	No due date
4.4 The revision of log frame will be performed.	November- December 2021	CPMU, strategic projects and grantees	The consultative discussion between the RTA and the CPMU will be held.	Initiated

Evaluation Recommendation 5: Strengthen the human capacity for project management related activities:

Based on the discussion from the Adaptive management section, the mid-term review recommends that UNOPS and UNDP hire additional human resources to support the CPMU, with specific qualifications in M&E and knowledge management, to support the project coordinator in addressing recommendation 4, and strengthening reporting, which should respond to indicators more succinctly and directly. Even if the management of SGP OP5 concludes, the CPMU staff is stretched to deal with SGP OP6 and UNDP CO-related workload. This is urgent, as the workload is expected to increase when delivery accelerates. To that end, UNOPS and UNDP should consider consultancies, UN Volunteer (UNV) and the use of strategic projects to be covered at the outcome level. Exploring the possibility of mobilizing a UNV should consider how long this could take and what the lifetime of the project is, acknowledging that a project extension is likely.

Management Response:

Partially Accept . KM consultant was recent hired by the CPMU. The strategic projects will be designed with a strong component on information management and coordination to back up by the M&E activities under the project. CPMU will explore the opportunity to hire UNVs for the project monitoring, please refer to recommendation 2. Action 2.4.

Key Action(s)	Completion date	Responsible	Tracking	
		Unit(s)	Comments	Status
				(initiated,
				completed
				or no due
				date)

to support in the production of knowledge products and improvement of the project's visibility.	August- September 2021	CPMU	KM and communication consultant is on board. The website will be launched soon.	Completed
5.2 The four-landscape strategic projects will be assisting the CPMU to strengthen the reporting system and M&E exercise. The M&E plan will be developed in consultation with the NSC.	November 2021	CPMU, strategic projects	-	No due date
5.3 The CPMU will discuss with UNOPS and reprogram the budget to hire community UNVs to support the M&E at the four landscapes.	November- December 2021	CPMU, UNDP, UCP	The CPMU has reached out to the UNV Office for information and the recruitment ToR has been drafted accordingly.	Initiated
consider hiring landscape facilitators to follow up the implement These facilitators would monitor and support field level activities	s (outcome 2), provid			
thinking and knowledge management (outcomes 3 and 4). Fund Management Response: Accept . The strategic project in each landscape will hire the La The M&E plan developed in connection with the Recommendat mentioned in the Recommendation 1., Action 1.3 and 1.4.	andscape Facilitator ion 5. Engaging the s	to follow up the ir strategic projects	nplementation of the grant (landscape facilitators) is	putcomes 2, 3 and/or 4.
Management Response: Accept . The strategic project in each landscape will hire the La The M&E plan developed in connection with the Recommendat	andscape Facilitator	to follow up the ir strategic projects	entially be mobilized from on nplementation of the grant (landscape facilitators) is	putcomes 2, 3 and/or 4. projects (sub-projects) . on priority action as
Management Response: Accept . The strategic project in each landscape will hire the La The M&E plan developed in connection with the Recommendat mentioned in the Recommendation 1., Action 1.3 and 1.4.	andscape Facilitator ion 5. Engaging the s	to follow up the ir strategic projects	nplementation of the grant (landscape facilitators) is	putcomes 2, 3 and/or 4.
Management Response: Accept . The strategic project in each landscape will hire the La The M&E plan developed in connection with the Recommendat mentioned in the Recommendation 1., Action 1.3 and 1.4.	andscape Facilitator ion 5. Engaging the s	to follow up the ir strategic projects	entially be mobilized from on nplementation of the grant (landscape facilitators) is	Status (initiated, completed or no due

		Unit(s)	Comments	Status (initiated,
Key Action(s)	Completion date	Responsible	Tracking	
Management Response: Partially Accept. The risks posed by COVID-19 was addressed in CPMU in the NSC meeting, will on a regular basis will dedicated adaptive management will be discussed and developed in the N	an agenda items to			
Evaluation Recommendation 8: Further address the risks p Based on the discussion from the Adaptive management and Su and UNOPS assess in detail how COVID-19 could affect the sus equire thinking outside the box to identify innovative solutions, i	ustainability sections stainability of the pro	ject and identify a		
7.1 Regular calls will be continued. The communication on specific issues will be called amongst all parties and the share of roles and responsibilities between CPMU, UNDP CO, SGP JCP, UNOPS will be enhanced responding to that issue.	Continuous process	PMU, UNDP CO, SGP UCP, UNOPS	-	Initiated
		Unit(s)	Comments	Status (initiated, completed or no due date)
Partially Accept Under new programmatic structure of the UNDF communication among all parties was established regularly.	CO, the regular, flu	id and transparer	t communication will be	enhanced. The
Management Response:				
Based on the discussion from the Adaptive management section communication efforts, continuing the organization of regular ca transparent communication between CPMU and UNDP CO, incl of the CO vis-à-vis the project and the CPMU.	n, the mid-term revie Ils between UNDP, L	JNOPS and the C	PMU, and establishing n	nore regular, fluid and
mplementation and M&E. Evaluation Recommendation 7: Enhance communication b	etween parties			
andscape Facilitator to support the CPMU on the				

⁵ For instance, developing schemes to deliver food boxes to consumer's homes as with COVID-19 these may face restrictions to go to markets.

			completed or no due date)
8.1 The actions that would likely address the COVID-risk was identified in the PIR (July 2021).	July 2021	CPMU	Completed
8.2 The risk will be monitored closely by the CPMU. The risk response will be taken in action by the CPMU and grantees.	Continuous process	CPMU, UNDP , grantees	No due date

Evaluation Recommendation 9: Promote climate change adaptation, whenever relevant and feasible

Based on the discussion from the Project design, Adaptive management and Sustainability sections, the mid-term review recommends that the CPMU, the NSC, UNDP and UNOPS conduct a rapid climate change risks assessment, assess to what extent the practices to be promoted contribute to climate change adaptation, being no-regret measures and avoid maladaptation, and identify and make adjustments whenever relevant and feasible. As recommendation 8, this can require thinking outside the box to identify innovative solutions, in plural, embracing uncertainty.

Management Response:

Accept CPMU will explore the possibility that Strategic project could support climate change adaption where possible in the four target landscape.

Key Action(s)	Completion date	Responsible	Tracking	
		Unit(s)	Comments	Status (initiated, completed or no due date)
9.1 The CPMU with the technical support of strategic projects will conduct a rapid climate change risks assessment, assessing to what extent the Community-based Adaptation (CBA) practices to be promoted and contribute to climate change adaptation, identifying and making adjustments whenever relevant and feasible	June – September 2022	CPMU, strategic projects, grantees	Promotion of CBA practices for climate change adaptation through grant project implementations.	Initiated

Evaluation Recommendation 10: Strengthen knowledge management and communication

Based on the discussion from the Adaptive management and Sustainability sections, the mid-term review recommends that the CPMU convenes the NSC to approve the knowledge management and communication strategies and implement them. This should support the identification of best practices and individual and social champions that can advocate, fund and move them forward (outcome 4) and the identification of policy bottlenecks and policy changes (outcome 3). Regarding the latter, the CPMU, the NSC, UNDP and UNOPS should engage the Department of Community Development and the National Policy Committee. At this point, as mid-term has been reached, the CPMU, the NSC, UNDP and UNOPS should strategically assess the lessons of this project, building on section 5.2 (on lessons learned) of this report. UNDP and UNOPS should ensure that they are used in the design of upcoming UCC projects, for example in Malaysia, as well as for the development of OP8 projects.

Management Response:

Accept. Please refer to the key actions in response to the Recommendation 5, Action 5.1 on the recruitment of the KM and Communication consultant. In responding to the Outcome 3, the results of the knowledge management will support the identification of best practices and inclusively knowledge sharing

at sub-national, national and international level. The community-based policy dialogues will be held with inclusion of multi-stakeholder for resulting to policy suggestion and advocacy in contribution of Outcome 4.

Key Action(s)	Completion date	Responsible Unit(s)	Tracking	
			Comments	Status (initiated, completed or no due date)
10.1 Approval of the knowledge management and communication strategies.	2020	CPMU	In use.	Completed
10.2 The KM and Communication consultant to support in the production of knowledge products and improvement of the project's visibility.	Continuous process	CPMU	KM and communication consultant is on board and working in line with the workplan.	Completed
10.3 The knowledge management and communication workplan will be updated in consultation with the KM and Communication consultant	October 2021	CPMU	-	Completed
10.4 The policy-making organizations will be invited to the community-based policy dialogues. The policy suggestion and advocacy derived from the community dialogues will be shared and discussed thru the onsite-online forums.	May-July 2022	CPMU strategic projects, grantees	-	Initiated