UNDP-GEF TE Management Response

Management response to the Terminal Evaluation of Transforming Effectiveness of Biodiversity Conservation in Priority Sumatran Landscapes

Project Title: Transforming Effectiveness of Biodiversity Conservation in Priority Sumatran

Landscapes

UNDP Project ID (PIMS) #: 5363 GEF Project ID (PMIS) #: 4892

Terminal Evaluation Mission Completion Date:

Final TE Report **23 January 2022**Date of Issue of Management Response:

Draft #1: 18 January 2022 Draft #2: 8 February 2022 Draft #3: 16 February 2022

Prepared by: Project Management Unit

Contributors: UNDP-GEF RTA, the TE team, the Project Board, and QARE

Unit-UNDP Indonesia

Cleared by: The Commissioning Unit, UNDP-GEF RTA, Project Board

Context, Background and Finding

Context

Duration of the Terminal Evaluation:

the process, where possible.

☐ The TE officially started on 13 August 2021 (Inception and Planning Phase) and
concluded by the end of November 2021 (Reporting Phase). Completion was
initially slated for the end of October 2021 but the kick-off deck noted that this date
would have to be revisited due to late on boarding of National Consultant;
☐ The TE was conducted by a team of two consultants; a Team Leader
(International Consultant) and Technical Expert (National Consultant) who were
jointly responsible for the execution of activities to fulfil the scope of the TE. The
team also enlisted in-kind evaluation support from a seasoned expert to expedite

Summary of Achievements and Findings - Outcome 1

• Overall likelihood of achieving this Outcome is rated as "High" since the remaining three sub-indicators "Improved Institutional Capacity as Indicated by the Capacity Development Scorecard", "Increase in Law Enforcement Efforts as shown in monthly SMART-RBM patrol reports" and "Improved Management Effectiveness as indicated by the increase in METT assessment" and can be considered on track in spite of scorecards and METT not scheduled for repeat.

Observations, Concerns and Issues:

- Generally, all outputs under Outcome 1 have been strongly delivered with different progress and success level in each site, as shown in the reported figures. While some indicators are lagging, they remain on the right track. Nonetheless, beyond the indicators themselves, the Project has made strong technical and non-technical investment to progress with increasing effectiveness of PA management through activities under this initiative, as well as institutionalizing best conservation practices.
- Going forward the NP Authorities will need to absorb the activities and cost of support provided by NGOs.

Summary of Achievements and Findings - Outcome 2

• Outcome 2 was comprised of 4 sub-indicators of which all have reached the end-of-project target (PIR 2020) prior to the Project's operational closure.

Observations, Concerns and Issues:

- All outputs under Outcome 2 have been achieved. The progress is varied between sites and over time. There needs to be a concerted effort on sustainability and a transition plan as this will certainly be one of the legacies of the Project.
- The establishment of an information system at two landscapes, namely: SIBELANG (Berbak Sembilang NP) and UDIK (Gunung Leuser NP) provides a robust and effective monitoring and evaluation system to support a better conservation effort. However the system sustainability should be up-scaled become the information management system standard for conservation areas.
- SMART patrols have been exemplary and can become a model within the region.

Summary of Achievements and Findings - Outcome 3

• Outcome 3 was comprised of 3 sub-indicators of which 2 of them (indicators 3.1 and 3.3) achieved the end-of-project target.

Observations, Concerns and Issues:

- Financial sustainability and innovative strategies such as the Human Wildlife Conflict Fund should be mainstreamed into Component 3.
- There is little evidence of the Project piloting the mechanisms and their potential for reducing funding gaps is based on aspirational estimates rather than true calculations.
- With government policy on INGOs, there is a risk that diversification will be reduced.

Recommendations and Management Response

General Recommendations

Category 1: Current project

Terminal Evaluation recommendation 1.

Reconvene the Sumatran Tiger expert group prior to the Project's operational closure to facilitate discussions on establishing and operationalizing a standard approach to monitoring tigers using multivariable methods and techniques discussed in mid-2021, going forward.

Robust assessments of the spatial distribution and population dynamics of threatened species, including tigers, are crucial for designing effective conservation policies. This is often impeded by methodological differences employed by researchers to collect and analyze data. The continuing development of improved capture—recapture modeling techniques used to measure and monitor apex predators has also limited robust temporal and cross-site analyses due to different methods employed.

Responsible Units: PMU, MoEF, HarimauKita

Management response: Partially accept

Sumatran tiger standard monitoring protocol (tiger population monitoring guidebook) has been established by the project, however there are several different methods to measure the final tiger density data from project's landscapes. The project has reconvened project's partners' technical staff to recalculate tiger density data using the same covariates in every landscape to make sure that the results of final tiger density analysis are comparable. After the event, project also asked tiger experts to reanalyze final tiger density numbers produced by the technical staff and came into conclusion of tiger density method reported by the project in the final Project Implementation Report 2021.

The project has reconvened project's partners' technical expert group to recalculate tiger density data using the same covariates in every landscape to make sure that the results of final tiger density analysis are comparable. The standardized approach for tiger monitoring has already established by the expert group in the form of the "Guidance Book on Sumatran Tiger Population Monitoring"

Key action(s)	Time frame	Responsible	Tracking	
		unit(s)	Comments	Status
The project will reconvene	22 February	PMU, MoEF,	The	comple
project's partners' technical	2022	Project's	technical	ted
expert group to generate the		partners	expert	
lessons learned from the		expert group	group	
implementation of tiger			meeting	
population monitoring			was held of	
guidebook, and compile the			the 10 th of	
recommendation for the			February	
future similar projects.			2022	

Terminal Evaluation recommendation 2.

Convene a workshop in parallel to the finalization of the UNDP-GEF Tiger project Exit Strategy Report 2021.

It is important to keep pace with the design of the exit strategy. A stakeholder workshop is recommended once the document is close to completion in order to finalize the strategy within the scheduled timeframe. In this workshop, concrete commitments to the monitoring and sustainability of the investments made must be defined.

Note: This recommendation made early on in the TE's fact-finding stage was integrated into the plan and actioned. The workshop was held on 18 November 2021 and minutes / commitments are captured in Annex 2 of the Exit Strategy Report 2021.

Responsible Units: PMU, UNDP Exit Strategy Consultant

Management response: Reject

Project has hired an individual consultant to compile and analyze project's exit strategies developed by Project Implementation Units and National Park Authorities. The final exit strategy analysis has been presented and discussed in front of all project board members and project's stakeholders.

Project has hired individual consultant to compile and analyze project's exit strategies developed by Project Implementation Units and National Park Authorities. The final exit strategy analysis has already presented and consulted in front of project board members and all stakeholders.

Key action(s)	Time	Responsible	Tracking	
	frame	unit(s)	Comments Status	

Terminal Evaluation recommendation 3.

The Project's exit strategy (Exit Strategy Report 2021) should be augmented to articulate a knowledge management transition plan - at minimum in an Annex - to be developed in consultation with the PMU's Communications Officer.

Projects must explain their Knowledge Management tools and plans to learn, process and capture knowledge, as well as disseminate it in an insightful and useful way.

Responsible Units: PMU, UNDP Exit Strategy Consultant

Management response: Partially accept

Project's exit strategy has been discussed between project's communication officer and exit strategy consultant. Following the end of project's communication officer's working period, all project's knowledge management information and communication accesses have been transferred to Directorate of Biodiversity Conservation and UNDP to be managed by Ministry of Environment and Forestry communication staff. One of key actions by the project is to integrate project's website and social media channels into Situation Room that will be managed by the Directorate.

Key action(s)	Time frame	Responsib	Tracking	
		le unit(s)	Comments	Status
To integrate project's	30 March	PMU,	PMU and	On-
website and social media	2022	MoEF	Directorate	going
channels into Situation			KKHSG-MoEF	
Room			have conducted	
(http://sitroomkkh.ksdae.m			several meetings	
enlhk.go.id/) that will be			on the	
managed by the Directorate			integration of	
of Biodiversity			projects	
Conservation on Species			knowledges into	
and Genetic.			the Situation	
			Room.	

Terminal Evaluation recommendation 4.

In the time remaining the Project ought to take stock of the collective recommendations which emerged from the most recent METT assessment and develop a harmonized framework tailored to the Indonesian context.

A few recommendations emerged in the latest METT assessment, including suggestions related to coordination, communication, cooperation, intensification, prioritization, capacity building and education as the main conduits for increasing management effectiveness. While each landscape experienced specific

challenges, the commonalities and shared experiences should underpin a harmonized framework going forward to accelerate the tool's further integration and institutionalization.

Responsible Units: PMU, PIUs and NP authorities

Management response: Reject

Recommendation from METT assessment in every national park is one of key components used by national park authorities to create exit strategy in every landscape. And the harmonized framework between METT recommendations is compiled and analyzed in final exit strategy document.

National Park authorities embedded METT recommendations into National Parks' exit strategies and Exit strategy consultant harmonizes framework between METT recommendations in final exit strategy document.

Key action(s)	Time	Responsible T	Tracking	
	frame	unit(s)	Comments	Status

Terminal Evaluation recommendation 5.

While the UNDP-GEF Tiger project is not a child project under the World Bank's Global Wildlife Program (GWP), there are certainly co-benefits which can be established.

The Project should open channels with the GWP, not only to share experiences, but also seek out advice and guidance on how to address Human Wildlife Conflict, which is likely to become an increasing threat and risk to the Project's success and legacy.

Responsible Units: PMU, UNDP Indonesia Country Office and UNDP RTA

Management response: Reject

The project has shared its experiences in handling human and wildlife conflicts in four landscapes to several international forums in example Asia Coordination Call, and Annual Conference 2021 by Global Wildlife Program, EndPandemic Forum, UNDP Ecosystem and Biodiversity Channel in conjunction of World Wildlife Day 2018.

Key action(s)	Time	Responsible	Tracking	
	frame	unit(s)	Comments	Status

Terminal Evaluation recommendation 6.

The experiences and results of the UNDP-GEF Tiger project have led to numerous best practices that are relevant to and need to be shared with the region through technical and scientific cooperation.

There are strong opportunities for cross pollination with other countries in the region on aspects of the Project that have been exemplary, and which can be a model, such as real time data-driven decision making and the institutionalization of the METT and capacities built for SMART patrols. Conversely, the UNDP-GEF Tiger project can learn from the results of other GEF projects in Malaysia on the implementation and piloting of sustainable financing plans and mechanisms such as National Conservation Trust Funds and performance-based ecological fiscal transfer schemes.

Responsible Units: UNDP Indonesia Country Office and UNDP RTA

Management response: Fully accept

Tiger project will open discussion on lessons learned with other projects in neighboring countries especially in Malaysia through Global Wildlife Program channel.

Key action(s)	Time frame	Responsible	Tracking	
		unit(s)	Comments	Status
To open discussion on				
lessons learned with other	December	UNDP		not
projects in neighboring	2022	Indonesia		started
countries especially in		Country Office,		
Malaysia through Global		IP and UNDP		
Wildlife Program		RTA		
channel				

Terminal Evaluation recommendation 7.

The Project should focus remaining energies on transforming data into insights.

With 2022 being the "Year of the Tiger" and 2nd International Tiger Conservation Forum on the sidelines of the Eastern Economic Forum to be held in Vladivostok in 2022, the Project should package the vast trove of data and communication products into a compelling narrative and lessons for future priorities based on experience.

Responsible Units: PMU Communication Officer and PIUs

Management response: Reject

Project and partners have produced final lessons learned documents from all project landscapes. In example, project produced AUM, Atlas of Indonesian Tigers which tells the story of the fate of three tiger sub-species in Indonesia. Project Management Unit has also been working with national televisions (MNC Group) to capture lessons learned from new individual tiger findings by camera traps in Berbak Sembilang National Park and working with traditional news outlets, in example Kompas, The Jakarta Post and National Geographic Indonesia to disseminate successful project interventions in all project landscapes. Specific to national park areas, all project partners have created final books of lessons learned from project implementation in all project's landscapes. Project has also developed stories for UNDP Ecosystems and Biodiversity Platform that captured project's successful initiatives. All of these lessons learned materials could be used in future campaign on project's communication channels and social media platforms.

Key action(s)	Time frame	Responsible	Tracking	
		unit(s)	Comments	Status

Category 2: Future project management

Terminal Evaluation recommendation 8.

Project should develop business continuity and management plans as part of the inception phase to minimize disruption, ensuring that roles and responsibilities during times of "project crisis" are understood and internalized.

Responsible Units: UNDP Indonesia Country Office, UNDP RTA, GEF

Management response: Fully accept

UNDP and Ministry of Environment and Forestry will develop business continuity and management plans as part of project's inception phase to minimize disruption during project crisis. The document contains the risk management strategy on managing the high level of staff's turn-over and unpredicted changes on the structure of PMU, and IP.

Κe	ey action(s)	Time frame	Responsible	Tracking	
			unit(s)	Comments	Status

Discussion on developing		UNDP		
business continuity and	September	Indonesia	Will be	Not
management plans as part	2022	Country	implemented	started
of project's inception		Office, UNDP	for Conserve	
phase to minimize		RTA, GEF	Project	
disruption during project				
crisis.				

Terminal Evaluation recommendation 9.

Projects should strategically plan throughout the project cycle for eventual transition and sustainability of the results (focusing on handover of products and services and the approaches used); this should occur throughout project development, inception, implementation and project close.

Responsible Units: UNDP Indonesia Country Office, PMUs

Management response: Full accept

The future project will create strategic plans to hand over products and services using UNDP and MoEF hand over mechanisms.

Key action(s)	Time frame	Responsible	Tracking	
		unit(s)	Comments	Status
Preparing strategic plan to hand	December 2022		Will be	
over products and services for		UNDP	implemente	On-going
project closing such as BAST		Indonesia	d for	
(Hand-over Notes of products and		Country Office,	Conserve	
services)		PMUs	Project	

Terminal Evaluation recommendation 10.

Projects should work closely with Project Boards during implementation to value-add from Board members' expertise and roles. Project Boards should not function as a formal reporting body but ought to be actively engaged in de-risking and overcoming obstacles through the championing of causes and providing subject-matter expertise.

Responsible Units: UNDP Indonesia Country Office, PMUs and PBs

Management response: Fully accept

Project board members are actively involved in the Project Board Meeting, monitoring visits and also involved in the exit strategy technical meeting. Future projects will work closely with Project Boards during implementation to value-add from Board members' expertise and roles

Key action(s)	Time frame	Responsible	Tracking	
		unit(s)	Comment	Status
			s	
Future projects (Conserve			Project	not
and in-Flores) will work	December	UNDP	Board	started
closely with Project Boards	2022	Indonesia	Meetings	
during implementation to		Country	_	
value-add from Board		Office, PMUs		
members' expertise and		and PBs		
roles				

Terminal Evaluation recommendation 11.

Future projects should put in place processes and control mechanisms to transparently track actual cofinancing contributions during project implementation as part of Annual Work Planning. Post-facto calculations at MTR and TE result in errors (as noted by the TE) and omissions of the true value generated by projects.

Responsible Units: UNDP Indonesia Country Office and PMUs

Management response: Fully accept

Future projects will put in place processes and control mechanisms to transparently track actual cofinancing contributions during project implementation as part of Annual Work Planning.

Key action(s)	Time frame	Responsib	Tracking	
		le unit(s)	Comme	Statu
			nts	S
Putting in place processes and	September	UNDP		
control mechanisms to	2022	Indonesia	Will be	Not
transparently track actual co-		Country	prepared for	starte
financing contributions during		Office and	Conserve	d
project implementation as part of		PMUs	Project	
Annual Work Planning.				
<u> </u>				

Category 3: Future programming

Terminal Evaluation recommendation 12.

Prioritize and focus efforts of future initiatives on the piloting / ground truthing sustainable financing mechanisms before expanding them. The Project has generated a tremendous amount of due diligence on the potential of innovative financing mechanisms but has been short on piloting and integrating these into business planning.

Responsible Units: UNDP Indonesia Country Office, UNDP RTA, MoEF and GEF

Management response: Fully accept

During the latest PBM of the project, MoEF, and UNDP CO recommended piloting / ground truthing sustainable financing mechanisms before expanding them. The project recommendations have been discussed internally in the CO and might have been integrated into the strategies by the future project teams

Key action(s)	Time frame	Responsible	Tracking	
		unit(s)	Comments	Status
Prioritizing and focusing	December 2022	UNDP		
efforts of future initiatives		Indonesia		On-
on the piloting / ground		Country		going
truthing sustainable		Office,		
financing mechanisms		UNDP RTA,		
before expanding them.		MoEF and		
		GEF		

Terminal Evaluation recommendation 13.

From a landscape perspective, future projects should invest in connectivity of landscapes and improving co-management arrangements in buffer areas surrounding the targeted National Parks where enforcement measures and opportunities for illegal activity is porous.

Responsible Units: UNDP Indonesia Country Office, MoEF and BAPPENAS

Management response: Fully accept

UNDP Indonesia CO and MoEF have been discussed the connectivity of landscapes and improving comanagement arrangements in buffer areas surrounding the targeted National Parks several times during the development of Conserve project. It might have been integrated into the strategies by future projects

Key action(s)	Time frame	Responsible	Tracking	
		unit(s)	Comments	Status
UNDP Indonesia CO and	November			Not
MoEF will discuss more to	2022	UNDP	Will be implemented	started
implement the connectivity		Indonesia	for Conserve Project	
of landscapes and improving		Country		
co-management		Office,		
arrangements in buffer areas		MoEF		
/ OECM /KEE (Essential				
Ecosystem Area)				