|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **N°** | **Recommandations** | **Management Réponses** | **Key Actions**  **Actions clés** | **Statut**  **(to choose between : Not iniiated**  **Initiated**  **Completed**  **No longer applicable)** | **Responsibles** | **Due Date**  **Month and year** |
| **Concerning the relevance and coherence of the project** | | | | | | |
| 1 | 1. Establish a scientific committee for the national SNGIE and make CROCSAD responsible for quality control of the SNGIE at the regional level: the environmental indicators of the NESIS must be critically reviewed by external experts to ensure their consistency, quality and adequacy with the needs and realities of the various actors. | The recommendation is relevant, and the Project partners agree with it since the quality of the indicators is a sure guarantee of the use of the SNGIE. | This recommendation will be considered in future development and sustainability initiatives of the SNGIE by the Ministry of Environment, Sanitation and Sustainable Development (MEADD), the UNDP and other development partners. | **Not initiated** | AEDD | Eventually January 2021. |
| 2. Strengthen the linkage between the national and regional SNGIE to improve the harmonization of data and information before their integration into the database. | Linking initiatives have been carried out by the project with the organization of joint training and data and information integration workshops for national and regional actors. | RAS | **Initiated** | UGP |  |
| 3. Strengthen the synergy between the three Rio conventions by promoting integrative activities. | The project has revised the PDESCs of the rural communes in the area of intervention, which now include some integrative activities. | RAS | **Initiated** | UGP |  |
| **Concerning the effectiveness of the project** | | | | | | |
| 2. | 1. Accelerate the process of mobilizing the project implementation team and limit the delay in starting future projects. If necessary, it will also be necessary in the implementation of any future project that takes over from the present one, to expand the project team in order to ensure close monitoring of the implementation of activities. | The recommendation is relevant since the delay in recruiting staff affects the effectiveness of the project. However, national execution projects do not allow for massive recruitment of implementation staff. | UNDP and AEDD have learned from the delay in staff recruitment and are considering concrete measures to reduce the delay. | **Initiated** | PNUD/AEDD | Décember 2020. |
| **2. Establish the unifying tool of integrating the objectives of the three Rio conventions as the only tool for taking environmental issues into account in PDESC.** | The recommendation is relevant but implies a process of popularization and adoption of the tool as well as advocacy and lobbying activities around its enhancement. | This recommendation will be considered in a possible new phase of the project | **Not initiated** | PNUD/AEDD | Possibly 1st quarter 2021. |
| **Concerning the efficiency of the project** | | | | | | |
| 3 | 1.Involve the project coordinators in the budgetary arbitration for co-financing. | The recommendation is relevant, but BSI financial resources were limited during the project implementation period. | MEADD is supportive of this recommendation for future BSI adjudications. | **Initiated** | MEADD | May-June 2021. |
| 2. Strengthen AEDD's computer programming capacities for the operationalization of the SNGIE by recruiting a permanent information programming specialist. | The recommendation is relevant, but the financial resources of the project do not allow for its implementation. | AEDD has initiated negotiations with other projects and programs for implementation of this recommendation. | **Initiated** | AEDD | December 2020. |
| **Concerning the perceptible impacts or changes of the project** | | | | | | |
| 4 | 1. Designate the heads of divisions or heads of statistical/monitoring sections of national and regional structures as NESIS focal points to ensure stability of human resources. | The recommendation is not relevant since the staff assignments also affect the division heads and heads of the statistics/monitoring/evaluation sections of the national and regional structures. | The Minister of Environment, Sanitation and sustainable Development has sent correspondence to other ministries and regional governors to designate national and regional SNGIE focal points. | **No longer applicable** | - | - |
| **Concerning the sustainability of project results** | | | | | | |
| 5 | 1. To exploit the full potential of statistical production by integrating data and information from NGOs and the private sector in the operationalization of the NESIS and the financing of the integration of the objectives of the three Rio conventions into national and local planning. | The recommendation is relevant since it is necessary to have a NESIS with complete data and complementary funding sources. | This recommendation will be considered in a possible new phase of the project | **Not initiated** | PNUD/AEDD | Possibly 1er quarter  2021. |
| 2. To initiate a second phase of the project focused on the development and implementation of a strategy for the development and sustainability of the NESIS, the consolidation and replication of the achievements in the integration of the three Rio conventions into national and local planning and the development of advocacy at the strategic level focused on influencing practices, policies and investments in the field of the environment | The need for the project to continue is expressed by all the stakeholders in the implementation of the project. | UNDP, MEADD, and AEDD have held preliminary exchanges to continue their collaboration on generating global environmental benefits. | **Initiated** | PNUD/MEADD/AEDD | December 2020. |
| **In the implementation and management of the project** | | | | | | |
| 6 | 1. Involve the administrative authorities of the circles in the monitoring and evaluation of the implementation of the PDESCs to ensure that the objectives of the three Rio conventions are taken into account in the annual budgets. | The recommendation is not relevant since the project has involved regional and local administrative authorities in all its field activities. Also, the monitoring of the implementation of the PDESC is a routine activity of the administrative authorities. | The regional and local workshops of the project have always been chaired by the regional governors and the prefects of the circles. Also, the roles and responsibilities of the administrative authorities in the management of the project were clearly specified by the PMU during field missions and periodic meetings. | **No longer applicable** | - | - |
|  | 2. Strengthen communication and advocacy around the two major achievements of the project, namely the operationalization of the SNGIE and the development of an approach for integrating the objectives of the three Rio conventions into national and regional planning. | The recommendation is relevant for the technical, institutional, and financial support of the SNGIE and the integration of the objectives of the three Rio conventions into national and regional planning. | This recommendation will be considered in a possible new phase of the project | **Not initiated** | PNUD/AEDD | Eventually 1er quarter 2021. |