

Project 00091381 Vietnam POPs and Sound Harmful Chemicals Management

Empowered lives. Resilient nations.

Report No.4. Report on results of capacity-building program

Contract C. 2018-02-02

STRENGTHEN CAPACITY ON POP/PTS MONITORING OF LABS UNDER MONREs/DONRES

Hanoi, April – 2019

TABLE OF CONTENT

| | | | Page |
|----|--------|--|------|
| 1. | INTR | ODUCTION | 1 |
| 2. | BASIC | C TRAINING COURSE | 3 |
| | 2.1. | Title and objectives | 3 |
| | 2.2. | Organizing Committee of the training course | 3 |
| | 2.3. | Institutions participating in the basic training course | 4 |
| | 2.3.1. | Basic training course in Hanoi | 4 |
| | 2.3.2. | Basic training course in Hochiminh City | 5 |
| | 2.3.3. | Basic training course in Da Nang | 6 |
| | 2.4. | Training program of the basic course | 7 |
| | 2.5. | Implementing progress and results of the training course | 8 |
| | 2.5.1. | Products – documents distributed to the trainees | 8 |
| | 2.5.2. | Products – documents submitted to the PMU | 9 |
| | 2.5.3. | Summarization of the training progress | 9 |
| | 2.5.4. | Discussion | 18 |
| | 2.6. | Evaluation results of basic training courses from trainees | 19 |
| | 2.7. | Conclusions of basic traning course | 21 |
| 3. | TECH | NICAL TRAINING COURSES | 22 |
| | 3.1. | Technical training course 1 on PAH | 22 |
| | 3.1.1. | Objectives | 22 |
| | 3.1.2. | Program of technical training course 1 | 22 |
| | 3.1.3. | Implementing progress and results of the technical training course 1 | 23 |
| | 3.1.4. | Evaluation results of basic training courses from trainees | 26 |
| | 3.1.5. | Conclusion of technical training course 1 | 31 |
| | 3.2. | Technical training course 2 on mercury | 31 |
| | 3.2.1. | Objectives | 31 |
| | 3.2.2. | Program of technical training course 2 | 32 |
| | 3.2.3. | Implementing progress and results of the technical training course 2 | 33 |
| | 3.2.4. | Evaluation results of basic training courses from trainees | 35 |

| | 3.2.5. | Conclusion of technical training course 2 | 38 |
|----|--------|--|----|
| | 3.3. | Technical training course 3 on PBDE | 38 |
| | 3.3.1. | Objectives | 38 |
| | 3.3.2. | Program of technical training course 3 | 39 |
| | 3.3.3. | Implementing progress and results of the technical training course 3 | 40 |
| | 3.3.4. | Evaluation results of basic training courses from trainees | 43 |
| | 3.3.5. | Conclusion of technical training course 3 | 46 |
| 4. | INTE | R-LABORATORY CROSSCHECK PROGRAM ON POP/PTS | 46 |
| | 4.1. | Objectives | 46 |
| | 4.2. | Method of evaluation | 47 |
| | 4.3. | Inter-laboratory crosscheck program on PAH | 47 |
| | 4.3.1. | Time, participating institution and content of the program | 47 |
| | 4.3.2. | Time, participating institution and content of the program | 50 |
| | 4.3.3. | Analysis result of inter-laboratory crosscheck program on PAH | 56 |
| | 4.3.4. | Evaluation result of inter-laboratory crosscheck program on PAH | 59 |
| | 4.4. | Inter-laboratory crosscheck program on mercury | 62 |
| | 4.4.1. | Time, participating institution and content of the program | 62 |
| | 4.4.2. | Time, participating institution and content of the program | 63 |
| | 4.4.3. | Analysis result of inter-laboratory crosscheck program on mercury | 66 |
| | 4.4.4. | Evaluation result of inter-lab crosscheck program on mercury | 68 |
| | 4.5. | Capacity assessment of laboratories in analyzing POP/PTS | 69 |
| 5. | CONC | CLUSIONS | 71 |
| | ANNE | X | 74 |
| | 1. | List of trainees participating the training courses | 74 |
| | 2. | Evaluation form of the training courses | 88 |
| | 3. | Form of the inter-laboratory crosscheck program | 92 |

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

- CETASD Research Centre for Environmental Technology and Sustainable Development
- CVAAS Cold vapor atomic absorption spectroscopy
- CVAFS Cold vapor atomic fluorescence spectrometry
- DONRE Department of Natural Resources and Environment
- GC Gas chromatography
- GC-MS Gas chromatography mass spectrometry
- GEF Global Environment Facility
- GLC Gas-liquid chromatography
- GSC Gas-solid chromatography
- HPLC High-performance liquid chromatography
- ICP-MS Inductively coupled plasma mass spectrometry
- LC Liquid chromatography
- MeHg Methylmercury
- MONRE Ministry of Environment and Natural Resources
- OCPs Organochlorine pesticides
- PAH Polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbon
- PBDE Polybrominated diphenyl ethers
- PCBs Polychrorinated biphenyls
- PMU Project management unit
- POP Persistent organic pollutants
- PTS Persistent toxic Substance
- SOP Standard operating procedures
- UNDP United Nations Development Programme

TABLE OF CONTENT

| Table | Page |
|---|------|
| Table 2.1. List of institutions participating in the training course in Hanoi | 4 |
| Table 2.2. List of institutions participating in the training course in Hochiminh | 5 |
| Table 2.3. List of institutions participating in the training course in Da Nang | 6 |
| Table 3.1. Report on the results of the practical session on the equipment | 35 |
| Table 4.1. Institutions participating in the Inter-laboratory crosscheck program onPAH | 48 |
| Table 4.2. List of original standard | 50 |
| Table 4.3. Standard preparation procedure | 50 |
| Table 4.4. Cochran results in homogenous test of standards | 52 |
| Table 4.5. Cochran results in homogenous test of surrogates (SR-PAH) | 52 |
| Table 4.6. Cochran results in homogenous test of internal standard (IS-PAH) | 53 |
| Table 4.7. Cochran results in homogenous test of sediment sample | 53 |
| Table 4.8. Analytical variance San and Sampling variance Ssam of standard solutions | 54 |
| Table 4.9. Analytical variance San and Sampling variance Ssam of surrogates | 54 |
| Table 4.10. Analytical variance San and Sampling variance Ssam of IS | 54 |
| Table 4.11. Analytical variance San and Sampling variance Ssam of real sample | 55 |
| Table 4.12. Assigned values and standard deviation used to determine inter-laboratories crosscheck performance on analyzing 16 PAH in sediment samples | 56 |
| Table 4.13. Result of Inter-laboratory crosscheck program on PAH | 57 |
| Table 4.14. Analytical method | 58 |
| Table 4.15. Evaluation result of inter-laboratory crosscheck program on PAH | 60 |
| Table 4.16. Classification of the Z-score according to each substance and concentration range | 61 |
| Table 4.17. Evaluation and ranking of laboratories participating in inter-laboratorytesting of PAH | 62 |
| Table 4.18. Institutions participating in the Inter-laboratory crosscheck program 3 | 63 |

| Table 4.19. List of mercury original standard | 64 |
|--|----|
| Table 4.20. Cochran results in homogenous test of standard | 65 |
| Table 4.21. Analytical variance San and sampling variance Ssam of standard solution | 66 |
| Table 4.22. Assigned values and standard deviation used to determine inter-laboratories crosscheck performance on analyzing mercury in standard | 66 |
| Table 4.23. Result of Inter-laboratory crosscheck program on mercury | 67 |
| Table 4.24. Used analytical methods in the inter-laboratory crosscheck program on mercury | 67 |
| Table 4.25. Evaluation result of inter-laboratory crosscheck program on PAHaccording to Z score | 69 |
| Table 4.26. Classification of the Z-score according to each substance andconcentration range | 69 |
| Table 4.27. Evaluation and ranking of laboratories participating in inter-laboratorycrosscheck program on mercury | 70 |
| Table 4.28. Capacity assessment of laboratories in analyzing POP/PTS | 71 |

1. INTRODUCTION

Viet Nam POPs and Sound Harmful Chemicals Management Project is hosted by Ministry of Environment and Natural Resources (MONRE) and funded by the Global Environment Facility (GEF) and United Nations Development Programme (UNDP). The project objective is the continued reduction of environmental and health risks through POPs and harmful chemicals release reduction achieved by provision of an integrated institutional and regulatory framework covering management and reporting of POPs and harmful chemicals within a national sound chemicals management framework and targeted development of POPs contaminated sites management capacity that builds on experience from GEF-4 projects and specifically built a management plan at provincial level to assess risk and implement release reduction measures at all the persistent organic pollutants (POP) contaminated sites in two provinces. Funded by the Global Environment Facility, the project has been implemented from 2016 to 2018 including four components: (1) Policy framework for sound chemicals management, including POP/PTS developed and implemented; (2) Monitoring and reporting of POP and PTS; (3) Management of POPs contaminated sites and (4) National mercury baseline inventory and release reduction.

In the framework of the project, the project management unit (PMU) and Vietnam Environment Administration in collaboration with the Research Centre for Environmental Technology and Sustainable Development (CETASD) organized the program to strengthen the capacity on monitoring and analysis of POP/PTS for monitoring laboratories/centers under the Ministry of Natural Resources and Environment (MONRE)/Department of Natural Resources and Environment (DONRE). The program is structured into 01 basic training course (basic theory, discussion on need of laboratories), 03 technical training courses (intensive theory and mostly practical) along with inter-laboratory crosscheck program (this activity was practiced at their laboratories to assess the ability to analyze accurately before and after the training). The specific title of the above activities is as follows:

- 1. Basic training course on monitoring and analysis of POP/PTS
- 2. Technical training course on "Analysis of Polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbon (PAH) in sludge samples by means of GC-MS"
- 3. Technical training course on "Sampling and analysis of mercury in stack gas"
- 4. Technical training course on "Determination of Polybrominated diphenyl ethers (PBDE) in plastic and wastewater samples by means of GC-MS"

5. The inter-laboratory crosscheck program: the first time: *determination of organochlorine pesticides (OCPs) in a standard sample*, the second time: *determination of PAH in slugde sample*, the third time: *determination of mercury in water*.

These activities were implemented in 2018 to strengthen capacity on monitoring and analysis of POP/PTS for monitoring laboratories/centers under the MONRE/DONRE. Thereby the project will establish a network of laboratories working together, sharing experiences and towards providing reliable POP/PTS monitoring data to the Government and the Secretariat of Stockholm Convention. Also from the results of these capacity building activities, project will invest for some potential laboratories in strengthening the capacity on management following the international standards ISO17025 on laboratory operation management and they will be certified to analyze some POP/PTS.

The following report will present detailed information about the capacity building program that has been implemented.

2. BASIC TRAINING COURSES

2.1. Title and objectives

Title: Basic training course on monitoring and analysis of POP/PTS

The basic training course was organized in the Northern region (on May 31, 2018 in Hanoi), the Southern region (on June 6, 2018 in Hochiminh City) and the Central region (on June 8, 2018 in Da Nang).

Objectives of training course:

- + To provide information on POP/PTS as the environmental pollutants and basic knowledge of analytical technique for determination of POP/PTS such as screening analytical methods, gas chromatography, liquid chromatography,...for managers and technical staffs of POP/PTS laboratories.
- + To discuss on the needs and plans of the laboratories in strengthening analytical capacity of POP/PTS (needs for facilities, human resource training, networking activities,...).
- + To enhance cooperation among the laboratories participating in the network of laboratories in order to improve the ability in analyzing POP/PTS

2.2. Organizing Committee of the training course:

A. Project Management Unit:

- 1. Mr. Le Hoai Nam, Director General of Pollution Control Department, Vietnam Environment Administration, Vice Director of Project Management Unit;
- 2. Mrs. Pham Thi Bich Ngoc, Project Manager;
- 3. Mrs. Dam Hai Van;
- 4. Mrs. Le Thi Thanh.

B. Lecturers:

- 1. Prof.Dr. Pham Hung Viet, Director of CETASD;
- 2. Assoc.Prof.Dr. Tu Binh Minh, VNU University of Science Hanoi;
- 3. Assoc.Prof.Dr. Nguyen Van Dong, VNU University of Science Hochiminh;
- 4. Assoc.Prof.Dr. Vu Duc Loi, Institute of Chemistry, VAST;
- 5. Assoc.Prof.Dr. Duong Hong Anh, Head of organic group, CETASD;
- 6. Dr. Nguyen Hung Minh, Center for Environmental Monitoring, MONRE.

2.3. Institutions participating in the basic training course

2.3.1. Basic training course in Hanoi

The course was successfully organized with the participation of 47 staffs from 18 centers under the Ministry of Natural Resources and Environment/ Department of Natural Resources and Environment in 14 provinces and cities of the Northern region, including Hanoi, Ha Tinh , Lai Chau, Ha Nam, Nam Dinh, Vinh Phuc, Hai Duong, Hai Phong, Bac Giang, Bac Ninh, Bac Kan, Thai Binh, Thai Nguyen and Hung Yen (list of staffs was shown in Annex 1).

| No. | Institutions | No. | Institutions |
|-----|---|-----|---|
| 1 | Center for Meteorology, Hydrology and Environment, Vietnam Meteorological and Hydrological Center | 10 | Center for Environmental Monitoring of Hai Phong |
| 2 | Center for Environmental Research, Vietnam institute of meteorology, hydrology and climate change | 11 | Center for Environmental Monitoring and Analysis of Hai Duong |
| 3 | Environmental Laboratory - Faculty of Environment, Hanoi University of Natural Resources and Environment | 12 | Center for Environmental Monitoring of Bac Giang |
| 4 | Institute of Environmental Sciences, Vietnam Environment administration | 13 | Center for Natural Resources and Environmental Monitoring of Bac Ninh |
| 5 | Center for Natural Resources and Environmental Monitoring of Hanoi | 14 | Center for Environmental Monitoring of Bac Kan |
| 6 | Center for Natural Resources and Environmental Monitoring of Ha Tinh | 15 | Center for Monitoring of Natural Resources and Environment of Thai Binh |

Table 2.1. List of institutions participating in the training course in Hanoi

| 7 | Center for Natural Resources and Environmental Monitoring of Lai Chau | 16 | Center for Natural Resources and Environmental Monitoring of Thai Nguyen |
|---|---|----|--|
| 8 | Center for Environmental Monitoring and Analysis of Ha Nam | 17 | Center for Environmental Monitoring and Analysis of Hung Yen |
| 9 | Center for Environmental Monitoring and Analysis of Nam Dinh | 18 | Center for Resources & Environmental Protection of Vinh Phuc |

2.3.2. Basic training course in Hochiminh City

24 staffs participated in the training course in Hochiminh City from laboratories under the Ministry of Natural Resources and Environment / Department of Natural Resources and Environment in 10 provinces and cities including Hochiminh City, Tay Ninh, Ba Ria - Vung Tau, Hau Giang, Tra Vinh, Soc Trang, Kien Giang, Ca Mau, Binh Duong and Dong Nai (list of staffs participating in the training course was shown in Annex 1).

| No. | Institutions | No. | Institutions |
|-----|---|-----|---|
| 1 | Southern Division of Water Resources Planning and Investigation | 8 | Center for Monitoring and Environmental Engineering of Tra Vinh |
| 2 | Sub-institute of Hydrology and Climate Change, Institute of Meteorology, Hydrology and Environment | 9 | Southern regional Hydro- Meterological center |
| 3 | Southern Natural Resources and Environment Company | 10 | Center for Natural Resources and Environmental Monitoring of Kien Giang |
| 4 | Environmental Laboratory, University of Natural Resources and Environment of Ho Chi Minh City | 11 | Center for Natural Resources and Environmental Monitoring of Ca Mau |

Table 2.2. List of institutions participating in the training course in Hochiminh

| 5 | Center for Environmental Monitoring of Tay Ninh | 12 | Center for Monitoring and Environmental Engineering of Binh Duong |
|---|--|----|--|
| 6 | Center for Environmental Monitoring and Analysis of Ba Ria - Vung Tau | 13 | Center for Monitoring and Environmental Engineering of Dong Nai |
| 7 | Center for Natural Resources and Environmental Monitoring of Hau Giang | 14 | Center for Natural Resources and Environmental Monitoring of Soc Trang |

2.3.3. Basic training course in Da Nang

27 staffs from laboratories under the Ministry of Natural Resources and Environment/ Department of Natural Resources and Environment in 10 provinces and cities including Quang Nam, Dak Lak, Lam Dong, Khanh Hoa, Dak Nong, Da Nang, Hue, Phu Yen, Nghe An and Binh Thuan participated in the basic training course in Da Nang (list of staffs participating in the training was shown in Annex 1).

| Table 2.3. List of institutions | participating in the | training course in | Da Nang |
|---------------------------------|----------------------|--------------------|---------|
| | pur norpung in the | | Duriang |

| No. | Institutions | No. | Institutions |
|-----|--|-----|---|
| 1 | Center for Environmental Monitoring and Analysis of Quang Nam | 7 | Center for Environmental Engineering of Da Nang |
| 2 | Center for Environmental Monitoring and Analysis of Dak Lak | 8 | Center for Natural Resources and Environmental Monitoring of Thua Thien Hue |
| 3 | Center for Natural Resources and Environmental Monitoring of Lam Dong | 9 | Center for Environmental Monitoring of Phu Yen |
| 4 | Center for Natural Resources and Environmental Monitoring of Khanh Hoa | 10 | Trung tâm quan trắc tài nguyên và môi trường Nghệ An |
| 5 | Center for Natural Resources and Environmental Monitoring of Dak Nong | 11 | Center for Environmental Monitoring of Binh Thuan |

| 6 | Mid-central regional Hydro- | |
|---|-----------------------------|--|
| | Meterological center | |
| | | |

2.4. Training program

With the target of improving the technical capacity of the laboratories' staffs under MONRE/DONRE in monitoring and analyzing POP/PTS, the training course program fell into two main parts which were theoretical part and discussion on needs and plan of laboratories in strengthening the analytical ability of POP/PTS.

| Time | Content |
|----------------|---|
| 8:00 - 8:30 | Registration |
| 8:30 - 8:40 | Opening speech of the training course |
| 8:40 - 8:55 | <i>Lecture 1:</i> Introduction of "Viet Nam POPs and Sound Harmful Chemicals Management Project" and contract No. 6 "Strengthen monitoring capacity of labs under MONRE/DONREs" |
| 8:55 – 9:40 | <i>Lecture 2:</i> Overview information of POPs/PTSs: definition and classification of POPs/PTSs, toxicology, emission source, environmental transportation and distribution, actuality of POP/PTS management and pollution in the world in general and in Vietnam in particular |
| 9:40-10:00 | Coffee break |
| 10:00 - 10:40 | <i>Lecture 3:</i> Introduction of Stockholm convention and management of POPs/PTSs in Vietnam |
| 10:40 - 11:10 | <i>Lecture 4:</i> Overview of monitoring capacity of POP/PTS in the environment in Vietnam |
| 11:10 - 11:45 | Discussion on the needs of laboratories in strengthening analysis capacity for POP/PTS analysis |
| 11: 45 – 13:30 | Buffet lunch |
| 13:30 - 14:00 | <i>Lecture 5:</i> Several quick analytical methods for screening POP - Principles and disadvantages |
| 14:00 - 14:45 | <i>Lecture 6:</i> Introduction about principles for means of instrumental analysis |

PROGRAM

Basic traning course on monirtoring and analysis of POP/PTS

| | for mercury/heavy metals in environmental samples |
|---------------|---|
| 14:45 - 15:00 | Coffee break |
| 15:00 - 15:45 | <i>Lecture 7:</i> Introduction about principles for means of gas chromatography (GC) and liquid chromatography (LC) to determine POP/PTS |
| 15:45 - 16:30 | Discussion: the needs and plan of laboratories in strengthening analytical capacity of POP/PTS (needs for facilities, training human resources, participating in network activities) |
| 16:30 - 16:40 | Closing speech of the training course |

2.5. Implementing progress and results of the training course

2.5.1. Products – documents distributed to the trainees

Each trainee was distributed one document set including the following:

- Training program
- 07 theoretical lectures (slide):

Lecture 1: Introduction of "Viet Nam POPs and Sound Harmful Chemicals Management Project" and contract No. 6 "Strengthen monitoring capacity of labs under MONRE/DONREs"

Lecture 2: Overview information of POPs/PTSs: definition and classification of POPs/PTSs, toxicology, emission source, environmental transportation and distribution, actuality of POP/PTS management and pollution in the world in general and in Vietnam in particular

Lecture 3: Introduction of Stockholm convention and management of POPs/PTSs in Vietnam

Lecture 4: Overview of monitoring capacity of POP/PTS in the environment in Vietnam

Lecture 5: Several quick analytical methods for screening POP - Principles and disadvantages

Lecture 6: Introduction about principles for means of instrumental analysis for mercury/heavy metals in environmental samples

Lecture 7: Introduction about principles for means of gas chromatography (GC) and liquid chromatography (LC) to determine POP/PTS

2.5.2. Products – documents submitted to the PMU

- O2 document sets of the training course (including the documents distributed to the trainees)
- ✤ 02 sets of relevant text document including 07 lectures (in Vietnamese and English)

2.5.3. Summarization of the training progress

Introduction about the project and objectives of the training course

Before going into the training content, Mr. Le Hoai Nam – Deputy Director of "Viet Nam POPs and Sound Harmful Chemicals Management" Project, had an opening statement to introduce about the training activities on analysis capacity of POP/PTS for staffs and laboratories which have been implemented within the project framework.

Through this introduction of Mrs. Pham Thi Bich Ngoc, the trainees had clear information about the objective of the project, the training course in project framework in specific, as well as in long term concerning to the implementation of management plan on POPs and Harmful Chemicals, in general.

CETASD was assigned by the Project Management Board to implement the Contract No. 6. On behalf of CETASD, Assoc.Prof.Dr. Duong Hong Anh informed about the plan of implementing the capacity improvement program, including basic training courses, technical training courses and inter-laboratory crosscheck program.

Lectures

Lecture 2: Overview information of POPs/PTSs: definition and classification of POPs/PTSs, toxicology, emission source, environmental transportation and distribution, actuality of POP/PTS management and pollution in the world in general and in Vietnam in particular

The lecture was presented by Prof. Pham Hung Viet, a leading expert in environmental chemistry, especially concerning to POPs in Vietnam.

In this lecture, variuos basic knowledge about POP and PTS has been reviewed. POPs is a group of 28 chemicals that have been selected, under the Stockholm Convention (up to May 2017). POPs have the following four characteristics: (i) persistence so they can persist in the environment for a long time, (ii) long-range transport, (iii) high bioaccumulation in the tissues of organisms, and (iv) toxicity. The list of POPs will continue to be revised.

Thereby, the trainees got an overview of POP, Stockholm convention, list of POPs following Stockholm, toxicity and main emission sources of POP.

With reliable data, quoted from a lot of studies of international and Vietnamese scientists in about 25 recent years about PCBs content and other popular POPs such as DDT existing in the air, sediment, mussel, resident birds, breast milk, the trainees have learned the status of PCB and POP pollution in the environment objects from unbiotic to biotic in Vietnam in particular and world in general as well as residual pollution trends of these compounds recently.

Among the new POPs included in the Stockholm Convention after 2009, polybrominated diphenyl ethers (PBDE) are of great interest in the world because they are common. PBDE, especially at levels of 5–30%, are incorporated into polyurethane foam, textiles, ready-made plastic products, and certain electronic equipment to prevent them from ever catching fire. With the data in Vietnam, it can be reported on the pollution levels, accumulation levels of PBDE in organism the environment of Vietnam.

Based on available data on PBDE, the amount of PBDE, the environmental pollution level and accumulation level in Vietnam can be preliminarily evaluated. PBDE has been detected in several environmental samples, with the main emission source being electric and electronic equipment, transportation vehicles and home furniture. The concentration of PBDE is very low in raw plastic beads, much lower than the allowance level given by Circular No 30/201/TT-BCT of the Ministry of Trade for toxic chemicals in electric and electronic products and the RoHs decision for plastic waste. In environmental samples such as dust, air, soil and sediment, the concentrations of PBDE have an average value if compared with other countries in the world. Even in large metropolitan cities such as Hanoi and Ho Chi Minh City, the concentration of PBDE is still around the background level.

The second part of the lecture focused on persistent hazardous substances (PTS). Substances that are persistent, bio-accumulative and possess toxic characteristics likely to cause adverse human health or environmental effects are called PTS (persistent and toxic substances). In this context, "substance" means a single chemical species, or a number of chemical species that form a specific group by virtue of (a) having similar properties and being emitted together into the environment; or (b) forming a mixture normally marketed as a single product. PTS are essentially poisonous, very dangerous to human health, some of which are identified as capable of endocrine disorders such as phtalate, bisphenol A. The usage and pollution of PTS in Vietnam is quite significant. Major cities in Vietnam are facing the problem of pollution caused by construction dust and traffic. The number of

cars and motorbikes increases significantly every year, resulting in pollutant contamination containing high levels of PAH in the air, leading to water, and sedimentation pollution. In addition, Vietnam released in 2012 more than 11 tons of mercury in the atmosphere, accounting for 8% of ASEAN countries emissions. As described before, Vietnam's main sources of mercury are coal burning, cement production and ASGM (Artisanal and small scale gold mining).

Thus, through lecture No. 2, trainees had the necessary general information and knowledge about POP/PTS compounds in environmental components and the system of Vietnamese standards and regulations on POP/PTS monitoring.

Lecture 3: Introduction of Stockholm convention and management of POPs/PTSs in Vietnam

The Stockholm Convention on Persistent Organic Pollutants was signed by the representatives of governments in Stockholm on 22 May 2001. The Socialist Republic of Vietnam signed the Stockholm Convention on 23 May 2001 and ratifed it on 22 July 2002, officially becoming the 14th member to the Stockholm Convention.

Stockholm Convention is a global legally binding instrument. Its objective is to protect human health, biodiversity and the natural habitat against the threat of hazardous waste such as POPs. The Stockholm Convention regulates the prohibition of production and use, reduction and fnal elimination of POPs created by human activities, and implementation of appropriate measures to continuously mitigate unintentional production of POPs from industrial and domestic activities or waste treatment. POPs have the following four characteristics: (i) persistence so they can persist in the environment for a long time, (ii) long-range transport, (iii) high bioaccumulation in the tissues of organisms, and (iv) toxicity. The Stockholm Convention on POPs divided POPs into three groups, including: (i) POPs that need to be removed in manufacture and use (Annex A); (ii) POPs that are limited in production and use (Annex B); and (iii) unintentionally produced persistent organic pollutants (Annex C).

The Stockholm Convention requests the Parties, as nations or regional economic integration organizations, to make efforts in sound management, reduction and fnal elimination of POPs in production and use. The main requirements of the Stockholm Convention are as follows:

- Parties should apply effective measures to eliminate the intentional production and use of POPs; and manage and safely treat POP waste and stockpiles.

- Eliminate the use of PCBs in equipment and PCBs in residual containers by 2025; and introduce environmentally sound management and treatment for PCB fluids and equipment with concentrations of PCBs higher than 0.005 percent. This should be done as soon as possible, but in any case no later than 2028; and reports on progress are to be prepared every five years.
- For unintentionally produced POPs: Parties are required to reduce and fnally eliminate their releases; develop an implementation plan for the reduction of unintentional releases of Dioxins/Furans and other POPs within two years after the Convention entered into force; review strategies adopted in the implementation every fve years; and apply best available technologies and best environmental practices in the reduction of unintentional production sources of POPs no later than four years after the Convention entered into force.
- Development of National Implmentation Plan on POPs within two years after the Convention entered into force (17 May 2004) and submit it to the Conference of Parties for approval through the Secretariat. The National Implementation Plan should include specifc action plans for reducing and eliminating POPs according to the requirements of the Convention. These plans should be integrated into policies for environmental protection and sustainable development of each country.
- Report, information exchange and support among member states of the Stockholm Convention.
- Support technology transfer of POPs pollution treatment.
- Technical and fnancial assistance activities.
- Other provisions for evaluating the Convention effectiveness, and adoption, approval and withdrawal from the Convention.

The lecture helped trainees better understand the Stockholm Convention and the implementation of Stockholm Convention in Vietnam.

Lecture 4: Overview of monitoring capacity of POP/PTS in the environment in Vietnam

This lecture is the result of an advisory research activity carried out within the project.

Currently, in Vietnam there are two systems for accreditation of laboratorial abilities in the field of chemical and biological testing: the VILAS system managed by Bureau of Accreditation, Vietnam and the VIMCERTSS system managed by Ministry of Natural Resources and environment. Bureau of Accreditation, Vietnam is a full member of

international organisation for accreditation bodies (ILAC) Mutual Recognition Arrangement.

In the management system of the Accreditation Office a total of 1070 laboratories have been certified VILAS standard ISO 17025. These laboratories operate in 7 areas of testing: Mechanical, Pharmaceutical, Electrical & Electronic, Measurement & Calibration, Non-Destructive, Chemistry and Biology. Of this, there are 593 laboratories operating in the field of chemical analysis (including indicators on chemical residues in the environment, in food, quality components of products ...). The number of laboratories registered for the analysis of pollutants POPs (mainly chemicals for plant protection, PCBs and dioxins) is 76 laboratories, accounting for about 13%.

As being mentioned elsewhere, in accordance with Degree 127/2014/ND-CP, Ministry of Natural Resources and Environment is in charge for carrying out evaluation and providing certificate of environmental monitoring services. The VIMCERTSS certified laboratories have to meet requirements for technical staffs, instruments, methodologies, laboratorial waste management as well as other supporting facilities. At the end of 2017, there were 212 laboratories being given the certificate for environmental monitoring and analysis which is named as VIMCERTSS. Among 212 VIMCERTSS certified laboratories, there are 40 laboratories registering for POPs analysis accounted for 18.6% (mostly for organochlorine pesticides), including 5 private laboratories and 35 state laboratories.

Comparison between the two systems we can see a large difference between the number of POPs analysis subscribers in VILAS system (76 laboratories) and those in VIMCERTSS system (40 laboratories). Specifically, the number of laboratories with VILAS for POPs analysis is about 2 times the number of laboratories granted VIMCERTSS. This difference is due to the different purpose and criteria of granting VILAS and VIMCERTSS.

This is because, laboratories in the VILAS system can provide all kind of testing service including drugs, industrial products, environmental monitoring, etc. On the other hand, VIMCERTS laboratories only provide testing service for environmental monitoring. Therefore, when assessing the monitoring capacity of POPs for environmental matrices, it may be preferable to carry out examination of laboratories in VIMCERTSS system.

Under this activity, questionnaires were also sent to 130 laboratories for collecting relevant information. Unfortunately, despite the support of VEA, the number of collected questionnaires was only 14 which is about 10%. This number is insufficient for the capacity assessment and therefore, the assessment has been based mainly on laboratorial database of VIMCERTSS system.

Lecture 5: Several screening test methods for POP - Principles and disadvantages

This lecture provided information on some screening methods for POP analysis. These are creening test methods for determining PCBs in oil samples and PBDE in plastic samples.

For the ion selective electrode method in PCBs screening analysis in transformer oil using device L2000DX, all organic compounds containing chlorine (PCBs or other chlorine-containing substances) will be decomposed by chemicals. Chlorine in these compounds is converted into inorganic chlorine separated into the water phase. The inorganic chlorine content (Cl- chloride) in the aqueous phase is measured by an ion selective electrode. Then value of total PCBs is calculated by inorganic chlorine content.

Total PCBs (ppm) = [Cl-] (mg/l) x 2,1 (Conversion ratio of Aroclor 1260)

Total PCBs content by rapid analysis method has only relative value. If the sample contains other organic compounds containing chlorine, this chlorine is also transferred to inorganic chlorine during sample processing, measured and converted into PCBs. In PCBs analysis using fast measuring equipment L2000DX, after measuring the inorganic chlorine content, the total PCB will be attributed to a technical PCBs mixture, here is Aroclor1260 (60% chlorine in PCB molecule). However, in fact there are many technical PCBs mixtures with different chlorine ratios in the molecule (from 32% to 70%). Therefore, if a single conversion factor is used, the result is only relative. The lecture illustrated the differences, causes and limitations of the rapid measurement method when comparing the results of rapid measurement and accurate analysis of 50,000 transformer oil samples by GC/MS in the framework of National inventory program.

One example of rapid measuring device in industry is the use of X-ray fluorescence devices to analyze some of the parameters that need to be restricted in plastic samples according to RoHS directive (Restriction of hazardous subtances) or restriction of hazardous substances in electrical and electronic equipment. Processing dangerous substances in electrical and electronic equipment. RoHS specifies 10 restricted substances including lead, mercury, cadmium, Polybrominated biphenyls (PCB), Polybrominated diphenyl ethers (PBDE), Bis (2-Ethylhexyl) phthalate (DEHP), Benzyl butyl phthalate (BBP), Dibutyl phthalate (DBP), Diisobutyl phthalate (DIBP). Among the above parameters, PCB, PBDE are classified into POP, phthalate is classified into PTS group.

The lecture presented the principle of PBDE screening analysis in products using a fast non-destructive method using X-ray fluorescence (XRF). The system uses a source of X-ray projection to the sample \rightarrow The secondary X-ray emitted will be detected by a detector \rightarrow through the signal processing system \rightarrow that displays the spectrum on the screen \rightarrow

based on the characteristics of the peak to determine qualitative and quantitative elements. PBDE was determined based on the concentration of Br radical through X-ray fluorescence analysis technique. The difference between rapid measurement of PBDE and accurate analysis of individual PBDE compounds by chromatography technique has been illustrated and analyzed.

Lecture 6: Introduction about principles for means of instrumental analysis for mercury/heavy metals in environmental samples

Mercury (Hg) widely exists in nature, including the lithosphere, pedosphere, hydrosphere, biosphere, and atmosphere. Hg has three chemical states (0, +1, +2) and exists as elemental, inorganic, and organic Hg in the environment. Concentrations of Hg usually represent much lower levels than other heavy metals in the natural environment, like Cu, Cd, Pb. etc. It is a great challenge to accurately analyze the trace level Hg species in samples, such as uncontaminated water samples, which hampered the understanding of the Hg biogeochemical cycle and the estimation of the potential Hg exposure in aquatic food chain.

Biogeochemical cycling of Hg in aquatic ecosystems involves the distribution, transportation, and transformation of Hg in sediment, water, sediment/water interface, water/air interface, phytoplankton, zooplankton, shellfish, fish, etc. Elemental Hg (Hg⁰), divalent Hg (Hg²⁺), and methylmercury (MeHg) are the main concerned Hg species in aquatic ecosystem. Hg0 mainly exists in the atmosphere due to its high volatility, and accounts for more than 95% of Hg in the atmosphere. The Hg⁰ exchange between water/air interface is a key transportation process between the two large Hg pools, including both emission and deposition process. The deposition of Hg from the atmosphere to the water includes wet deposition and dry deposition. The deposition can directly input into water surface or input from the watershed to the water body as runoff after it deposited on the land. Divalent Hg (Hg $^{2+}$) is the main fraction of Hg in water, which is regarded as high activity, named as reactive Hg (RHg). MeHg is the most concerned species due to its high toxicity, bioaccumulation, and biomagnification through the food chains. Generally, MeHg is the predominant form of Hg in fish tissues. MeHg is formed from inorganic Hg (such as Hg⁰, Hg²⁺, etc.) via methylation usually involving with bacteria. Sediment is the pool of Hg in aquatic system and its anaerobic environment favors the methylation of Hg. The diffusion of Hg²⁺ and MeHg between sediment/water interface is the key transportation process from sediment to water body.

In chemistry, speciation analysis refers to the analytical activities of identifying and/or measuring the quantities of one or more individual chemical species in a sample (IUPAC 1997). For Hg, the speciation analysis includes both chemical defined species, like MeHg, ethylmercury (EtHg), etc., and operationally defined species, like dissolved Hg (DHg), reactive Hg (RHg), etc. In general, the analytic species are first extracted from the sample matrix, then following separation of Hg species, and detected by an appropriate detector. With regard to different sample media, acid or alkaline digestion technique will be processed before organic solvent extraction. Chromatographic technique is often applied for the separation of different chemical forms, such as high-performance liquid chromatography (HPLC), gas chromatography (GC), supercritical Fluid chromatography (SFE), or capillary zone electrophoresis (CZE). A number of detection methods are available, but its sensitivity, multielemental capability, and the possibility of isotopic information make inductively coupled plasma mass spectrometry (ICP-MS) the detector of first choice. Hg detectors usually include cold vapor atomic absorption spectroscopy (CVAAS), ICP-MS, cold vapor atomic fluorescence spectrometry (CVAFS), and atomic emission spectroscopy (AES), in which CVAFS is most popular due to its high sensitivity and low cost. The lecture provided very useful knowledge about sampling procedures and methods of analyzing mercury in water, sediment and coal.

Lecture 7: Introduction about principles for means of gas chromatography (GC) and liquid chromatography (LC) to determine POP/PTS

POP/PTS compounds that exist in the environment are mostly organic substances in trace amounts, exist in complex mixtures with many of the same properties. Therefore, chromatography is the main method used to accurately analyze the occurence of these pollutants in environmental contaminants. The lecture presented the separation and identification principle of substances and equipment components of gas chromatography and liquid chromatography. It also provided information on how to select the technical condition of chromatogram in an appropriate way to analyze specific objects and groups of POP/PTS contamination.

Gas chromatography (**GC**) is a separation technique where **volatile**, **thermally stable** solutes migrate through a column containing a stationary phase at rates dependence on their distribution ratios. These are inversely proportional to their volatilities, which in turn are determined by their **partial vapor pressures** and hence their **boiling points**. Solutes are therefore generally eluted in order of increasing boiling point, except where there are specific interactions with the stationary phase. The gaseous mobile phase elutes the solutes from the end of the column where they pass through a detector that responds to each one.

An elevated temperature, usually in the range $50-350^{\circ}$ C, is normally employed to ensure that the solutes have adequate volatility and are therefore eluted reasonably quickly.

There are two modes of gas chromatography: **Gas-liquid chromatography** (**GLC**), which employs a liquid stationary phase in which solutes can dissolve, the sorption process being **partition**. Specific interactions of solutes with the stationary phase may alter the order of elution from that of increasing boiling points. GLC is by far and away the more widely used mode of GC, the large number of alternative stationary phases enabling many types of sample to be analyzed. **Gas-solid chromatography** (**GSC**) employs a solid, sometimes polymeric, sorbent as the stationary phase, the sorption process being **surface adsorption**. GSC has limited specialist applications, being used mainly for analyzing mixtures of gases or solvents with relatively low relative molecular masses.

For each group of organic pollutants analyzed by gas chromatography, it depends on properties such as volatility, polarity, molecular structure ...to choose capillary separation column with suitable packed material, the thickness of the stationary phase film. Moreover, the temperature program changes so that the components can be separated from each other. Depending on the concentration range and molecular properties, a suitable detector can be selected to identify pollutants, such as universal or selective detectors.

Groups of difficult volatile organic substances that cannot be analyzed by gas chromatography, liquid chromatography should be used. High-performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) is a separation technique where solutes migrate through a column containing a microparticulate stationary phase at rates dependent on their distribution ratios. These are functions of the relative affinities of the solutes for the mobile and stationary phases, the elution order depending on the chemical nature of the solutes and the overall polarity of the two phases. Very small particles of stationary phase are essential for satisfactory chromatographic efficiency and resolution, and the mobile phase must consequently be pumped through the column, resulting in the generation of a considerable back-pressure, this requires ultra performance liquid chromatography (UPLC). The solutes are eluted from the end of the column through the detector to identify targets such as conductivity, photometric, fluorescent, and mass detectors. Similar to gas chromatography, depending on the concentration range and properties of the pollutant groups, the conditions for liquid chromatography column, solvent program, and detector type can be selected to separate, identify, quantify them most selectively and sensitively.

2.5.4. Discussion

Subject of discussion: the needs and plan of laboratories in strengthening analytical capacity of POP/PTS (needs for facilities, training human resources, participating in network activities).

The members of each basic training course were divided into small groups that gather ideas about: pollution issues that had or may be locally, the advantages and disadvantages of the lab on current analysis and monitoring, the needs of laboratory in strengthening analysis/monitoring capacity in general and especially with new objects such as POP/PTS. Representatives of each group presented their general ideas and discuss with the teachers and members of the class.

Discussion can be summarized as follows:

In terms of tasks, laboratories under DONRE/MONRE have tasks of analyzing and monitoring polluted objects that are regulated in the current system of legal regulations of Vietnam. Among these regulated pollutants, POP/PTS that only accounts for very little (organochlorine pesticides, dioxin/Furan, PCBs, PAH, Hg) is new to the laboratories both in terms of knowledge and technical capability.

- In each province, depending on natural conditions and socio-economic development as well as previous history, POP/PTS pollution may exist or arise. Laboratories under provinces can only identify organochlorine pesticides in hot spot areas such as old warehouses, POP-dioxins/furans may be emitted from specific industries, Hg in gold mining. Other local POP/PTS objects do not have any information nor are they regulated in the current system of legal regulations in Vietnam.
- In terms of management and technical conditions, most laboratories have been certified with VIMCERTS and VILAS that means laboratory of management has a procedure to comply with ISO 17025. Technically, some laboratories have been VIMCERTS/VILAS certifications to analyze POP targets of organochlorine pesticides and Hg in environmental objects, but the practical experience is not much due to the assigned tasks.
- To improve the capacity of the laboratories in general and monitoring, analysis of POP/PTS group, the participants wish to:
 - $\circ~$ Be provided basic knowledge of POP/PTS
 - Be practiced to monitor and analyze specific objects in the laboratory
 - Be exchanged and shared information, experience, questions and answers during the practice as well as long term

2.6. Evaluation results of basic training courses from trainees

After each training course, participants were given and filled out evaluation forms on the training course. Based on the collected form, the Organizing Committee could draw experience for organizing the next course. The evaluation form was shown in Annex 2 and the evaluation results of participants on the basic training course werw summaried as follows:

1. Evaluation of the content:

| Classification | No. of votes | Percentage % |
|------------------------------|--------------|--------------|
| Easy to understand | 65/66 | 98% |
| Difficult to understand | 1/66 | 2% |
| Very difficult to understand | 0/66 | 0% |

General remarks:

+ Shoud be focused on equipment and analysis procedure

+ The content is interesting, useful and adequate but many pages are difficult to see due to

- black and white printing
- 2. Evaluation of the lecturer:

| Classification | No. of votes | Percentage % | |
|------------------|--------------|--------------|--|
| Very interesting | 26/66 | 40% | |
| Interesting | 40/66 | 60% | |
| Normal | 0/66 | 0% | |
| Not interesting | 0/66 | 0% | |

3. Through the content of lectures and presentations, how well do you know the following basic knowledge about POP/PTS?

| No. of votes | Percentage % | | | |
|--------------|---|---|--|--|
| 10/66 | 15% | | | |
| 51/66 | 77% | | | |
| 5/66 | 8% | | | |
| 0/66 | 0% | | | |
| | No. of votes 10/66 51/66 5/66 | No. of votes Percentage % 10/66 15% 51/66 77% 5/66 8% | | |

a/ Classification, characteristics, origin:

b/ Regulations on management related to POP/PTS in the environment

| Classification | No. of votes | Percentage % | |
|----------------|--------------|--------------|--|
| Very good | 6/66 | 9% | |
| Good | 41/66 | 60% | |

| Ordinary | 19/66 | 31% | |
|-----------------------|--------------------------|---------------------------|--|
| Bad | 0/66 | 0% | |
| c/ Basic technical co | onditions for monitoring | g and analysis of POP/PTS | |
| Classification | No. of votes | Percentage % | |
| Good | 46/66 | 70% | |
| Ordinary | 13/66 | 20% | |
| Orumary | 13/00 | 2070 | |

4. After discussion session, could you please let us know the needs of your lab for monitoring and analysis of POP/PTS?

- A. We do not have the needs for monitoring and analysis of POP/PTS: 0/66
- B. We have the needs for monitoring and analysis of POP/PTS: 31/66
- C. We have the needs for training on analytical method of GC (for example: GC-MS, LC-MS): 36/66
- D. We have the needs for training on sampling methods: 30/66
- E. We have the needs for training on sampling methods (kinds of samples: sediment, wastewater, air, VOC): 33/66
- 5. If you score the training course, how much will be on the below scale?

| Classification | No. of votes | Percentage % | |
|------------------|--------------|--------------|--|
| Very interesting | 15/66 | 23% | |
| Interesting | 50/66 | 75% | |
| Normal | 1/66 | 2% | |
| Bad | 0/66 | 0% | |
| Very bad | 0/66 | 0% | |

6. Other comments of trainees:

- + I suggest organizing advanced training course on POP/PTS analysis
- + I hope there are more training courses in the laboratory
- + I think lectures should be shortened further to increase discussion time
- + We have the need to be trained on sampling for VOC, stack gas and ambient air
- + I wish to attend training courses on equipment
- + I wish to be trained on POP/PTS analysis by GC-MS
- + We need intensive training on preservation of samples and analysis of POP/PTS
- + I wish to receive documents via email
- + I suggest organizing long-term training courses

2.7. Conclusions of basic traning course

The trainees participating in the course are technical staffs of the laboratories, some of them are experienced staffs in sample treatment and using gas chromatography - mass spectrometry for analyzing some POPs. Members of the training course participated enthusiastically, with high responsibility, gave ideas and implemented the practice seriously, effectively. Lecturers are experienced experts with a number of years studying in the field of environmental chemistry on POP/PTS.

The program was suitable, met the objectives of the training course: (i) to provide information, basic knowledge on POP/PTS such as screening analytical methods, gas chromatography, liquid chromatography,...for managers and technical staffs of POP/PTS laboratories, (ii) to discuss on the needs and plans of the laboratories in strengthening analytical capacity of POP/PTS (needs for facilities, human resource training, networking activities,...), (iii) to enhance cooperation among the laboratories participating in the network of laboratories in order to improve the ability in analyzing POP/PTS.

Through the theoretical lectures, the participants were provided with overview theoretical knowledge about POP/PTS such as classification, origin, toxicity, pollution status in Vietnam and analytical methods of POP/PTS. Documents provided to the trainees are helpful documents to assist the trainees in practice, development and improvement of analysis procedure at their laboratories later on.

The discussion sessions took place quite enthusiastically, and some laboratories shared the need for capacity building as well as the advantages and disadvantages of laboratories in monitoring and analysis of POP/PTS. Some laboratories have invested devices that have the ability to analyze some classical POPs and mercury such as gas chromatography electron capture detector (GC-ECD), gas chromatography mass spectrometry (GC- MS), high-performance liquid chromatography (HPLC), atomic absorption spectrometer (AAS), ...However the basic knowledge on POP/ PTS as well as in-depth knowledge of analytical capacity are limited. Therefore, trainees wish to participate in the upcoming intensive course, propose the Organizing Committee to provide standard operating procedures (SOP) and organize theoretical and practical training courses.

3. TECHNICAL TRAINING COURSES

3.1. Technical training courses 1 on "Determination of poly aromatic hydrocarbons (PAH) in sludge samples by gas chromatography - mass spectrometry (GC-MS)"

3.1.1. Objectives

Technical training course No. 1 was held at Research Centre for Environmental Technology and Sustainable Development (CETASD), VNU University of Science, Vietnam National University from August 27 to 31, 2018 with objectives:

- + To provide information, basic knowledge for technical staffs on PAH such as classification, origin, toxicity, pollution status in Vietnam
- + To provide standard operating procedures (SOP) of PAH using gas chromatography mass spectrometry.
- + To guide the participants to carefully perform the practical exercise "Determination of poly aromatic hydrocarbons (PAH) in sludge samples by gas chromatography - mass spectrometry (GC-MS)".

Lecturers:

- Prof.Dr. Pham Hung Viet, Director of CETASD
- Assoc.Prof.Dr. Duong Hong Anh, Head of organic group, CETASD
- MSc. Nguyen Thuy Ngoc, Head of Environmental chemistry laboratory, CETASD

Institutions participating in the technical training course 1

The course was successfully organized with the participation of 22 staffs from 15 laboratories under the Ministry of Natural Resources and Environment/Department of Natural Resources and Environment including monitoring centers in Bac Ninh, Hung Yen, Hai Phong, Nam Dinh, Thai Nguyen, Vinh Phuc, Hai Duong, Ha Tinh, Da Nang, Nghe An, Quang Nam, Binh Duong, Dong Nai, Ba Ria - Vung Tau and Can Tho (list of staffs participating in the training course was shown in Annex 1).

3.1.2. Program of training course

The detailed program of the training course is presented in the following table:

| Time | Content | | |
|-----------------|--|--|--|
| August 27, 2018 | 3 | | |
| 08:30 - 08:45 | Opening speech of the training course | | |
| 08:45 - 09:30 | <i>Lecture 1:</i> Introduction of PAH in the environment: sources, human health effects, regulations and the ocurrence in environment compartments | | |
| 09:30 - 10:00 | <i>Lecture 2:</i> Sample preparation for determination of PAH in soil/sediment | | |

| 10:00 - 10:20 | Coffee break |
|-----------------|---|
| 10:20 - 10:40 | <i>Lecture 3:</i> Instrumental analysis of PAH by means of GC/MS - Qualitative, Quantitative analysis including QA/QC |
| 10:40 - 11:30 | Introduction of the training course and practical experiment, division of groups |
| 11:30 - 13:00 | Lunch |
| 13:00 - 14:00 | Introduction of GC/MS equipment and setting up analytical parameter for GC-MS |
| 14:00 - 17:00 | Preparation of the calibration Preparation of chemicals and apparatus |
| August 28-29, 2 | 018 |
| 08:30 - 17:00 | Sample preparation: extraction, clean-up, enrichment |
| August 30, 2018 | 8 |
| 08:00 - 11:30 | Injection of sample to GC and calculation of the results |
| 13:00 - 16:30 | Discussion of the analytical results |
| 16:30 - 17:00 | Certificate delivery and closing speech |
| August 31, 2018 | 8 |
| 8:00 - 17:00 | Trainees conducted the experiment in their laboratory |

3.1.3. Implementing progress and results of the technical training course 1

Products – documents distributed to the trainees

Each trainee was distributed one document set including the following:

- Program of training course
- 03 theoretical lectures:

Lecture 1: Introduction of PAH in the environment: sources, human health effects, regulations and the ocurrence in environment compartments

Lecture 2: Sample preparation for determination of PAH in soil/sediment

Lecture 3: Instrumental analysis of PAH by means of GC/MS - Qualitative, Quantitative analysis including QA/QC

- Standard operating procedure (SOP) for qualitative and quantitative analysis of PAH in sediment samples by GC-MS: SOP includes: detailed description of preparation step (chemicals, devices, preparation of chemicals, devices, dilution of standard chemicals), sample treatment step (separation, extraction, cleanup, enrichment of sediment samples), instrumental analysis step by GC-MS (specific condition for machine operation, condition for quality assurance, quality control (QA/QC) of analysis procedure, calibration data on recovery productivity, repeatability, and notes during the implementation process).

Products – documents submitted to Project Management Unit:

- 02 document sets of the training course (including the documents distributed to the trainees)

Summarization of the training progress

✤ Theoretical part

Lecture 1: Introduction of PAH in the environment: sources, human health effects, regulations and the ocurrence in environment compartments

The lecture was presented by Prof. Pham Hung Viet. Polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbon compounds (PAH) are released into the environment from two natural and anthropogenic sources. A large proportion of the PAH released into the environment arise from anthropogenic sources such as coal-fired electricity power plants, incinerators, open burning, and motor vehicle exhausts. Industrial processes, residential combustion for heating, mobile transport emissions, and incineration are the most significant sources of PAH production. PAH are present in many environmental components such as air, dust, sediment, water, organisms, food ... These are sources that can lead to exposure PAH into the human body. Risk of lung cancer induced by inhalation exposure to PAH in the five Asian countries including China, Japan, Korea, Vietnam has been studied. The results showed that the risk level for residents in Hanoi is only ranked second in Beijing, much higher than those in Nhat Ban and South Korea. Because some PAH have been classified as carcinogenic, potentially carcinogenic compounds and abnormal morphological and physiological development in fish, some government including the European community, NIOSH or the US Environmental Protection Agency (US EPA) issued the limited concentrations of PAH in air, water and soil. In Vietnam, there is a regulation on the concentration threshold of PAH in bottled drinking water, air waste of steel smelting and sediment.

Lecture 2. Sample preparation for determination of PAH in soil/sediment

The lecture provided information on the principles of preparation steps to extract, clean up and enrich PAH from solid matrices (sludge). Basically, procedure of sample preparation includes steps as follows: extracting with acetone-hexane solvent, being anhydrous with Na₂SO₄, removing sulfur by copper, cleaning up with silica gel, enrichment of sample.

Lecture 3. Instrumental analysis of PAH by means of GC/MS - Qualitative, Quantitative analysis including QA/QC

The lecture provided information on qualitative and quantitative analysis conditions of PAH using gas chromatography mass spectrometry equipment. These includes separation conditions of gas chromatography (column, temperature program), detective conditions of mass spectrometry, qualitative method and internal standard methods to quantify PAH in samples.

Practice of analyzing sediment samples:

After the theoretical lectures, the trainees moved to the practical part of sample treatment. In order to ensure that all the trainees participated in practical procedure, the class was divided into 15 groups; all the members in each group together directly did the treatment of blank sample, sediment sample with the assistant of the guiders.

Sample objects:

The laboratories were asked to bring the local dry sediment samples to the laboratory of CETASD to use for the training. Ten laboratories brought samples to the course including soil samples, sand samples and dried sediments. Some have been grinded, sieved and can be used. Some have not been sieved, therefore trainees practice grinding and sieving samples. Five laboratories, namely Dong Nai, Hung Yen, Can Tho, Nam Dinh and Thai Nguyen, did not carry samples, so they used samples from other laboratories.

Detailed steps:

The groups were given a real sample of sediment (soil sample or sand sample) according to the guided procedure.

Preparation of samples:

- Grind and sieve the sample with particle size below 0.5mm
- Weigh the sample for extraction and determination of humidity
- Extract the sample by cetone and hexane solvent a, shake for 1 hour with each solvent.

- Extract the sample extract with water to remove polar substances
- Concentrate sample and remove sulfur that are very much in sediment
- Clean up the extract by silica gel column
- Concentrate the final extract under 1 ml by nitrogen and inject into GC-MS

Practice on GC and calculation of results:

- Prepare a 6-point standard line of 16 PAH
- Identify all PAH in the sample
- Apply each sample to the calibration curve to quantify 16 PAH in the sample
- Calculate PAH concentration in real samples after having data on GC

Report on results of groups:

Each group reported on the results of their analysis on the last day of the training course. In addition, they shared their difficulty in conducting the experiment.

The results include a full excel sheet with information about name of trainees, laboratory and results:

- Humidity of samples, dry sample weight after calculation.
- Recovery efficiency of 5 PAH surrogate standards.
- Concentration of 16 PAH in the real sample after multiplying by efficiency of surrogate substance, except for result of the blank sample.
 (Results for each group enclosed)

3.1.4. Evaluation results of the technical training courses 1 from trainees

After the training course finished, participants were given and filled out evaluation forms on the training course. Based on the collected form, the Organizing Committee could draw experience for organizing the next courses. The evaluation form was presented in Annex 3. The following section summarizes the evaluation results of the participants on the technical training course 1.

| | Strongly | Disagree | Neither | Agree |
|------------------|----------|----------|-----------|-------|
| | Disagree | | Agree nor | |
| | | (2) | Disagree | |
| | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) |
| TRAINING CONTENT | | | | |

| 1. Contents of the training activity were useful | 0 | 0 | 0 | 17/17 |
|--|------|------|-------|--------|
| to my work | (0%) | (0%) | (0%) | (100%) |
| 2. The duration of the training activity was | 0 | 1/17 | 1/17 | 15/17 |
| appropriate to cover the planned content | (0%) | (6%) | (6%) | (88%) |
| 3. The training activity provided me with new | 0 | 0 | 0 | 17/17 |
| knowledge | (0%) | (0%) | (0%) | (100%) |
| 4. The steps of the training activity were | 0 | 1/17 | 2/17 | 14/17 |
| appropriate | (0%) | (6%) | (12%) | (82%) |
| 5. The time I spent on the training activity was | 0 | 0 | 1/17 | 17/17 |
| worthwhile | (0%) | (0%) | (6%) | (100%) |
| 6. My expectations from the training activity | 0 | 0 | 2/17 | 15/17 |
| were met | (0%) | (0%) | (12%) | (88%) |
| 7. I am interested to introduce this training | 0 | 0 | 1/17 | 16/17 |
| activity to other people | (0%) | (0%) | (6%) | (94%) |
| TRAINING MATERIALS | | | | |
| 8. The training materials helped me | 0 | 0 | 1/17 | 16/17 |
| understand the training contents. | (0%) | (0%) | (6%) | (94%) |
| 9. The training materials were very useful to | 0 | 0 | 2/17 | 15/17 |
| my work | (0%) | (0%) | (12%) | (88%) |
| Concred remarks | | 1 | 1 | 1 |

General remarks:

- Time should be reduced in the overview content to serve for practice.
- Content and training materials are very useful.
- The training content meets the purpose and desires of individuals and my laboratory.
- Training course is very useful and very practical.
- The content is very useful for me.

| | Strongly | Disagree | Neither | Agree |
|--|----------|----------|-----------|-------|
| Training methodology | Disagree | | Agree nor | |
| I raining methodology | | (2) | Disagree | |
| | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) |
| 10. The methodology to conduct the training | 0 | 0 | 3/17 | 14/17 |
| activity was approproate to deliver the contents | (0%) | (0%) | (18%) | (82%) |
| 11. The examples used were relevant to and | 0 | 0 | 4/17 | 13/17 |
| helped illustrate the subject matters. | (0%) | (0%) | (24%) | (76%) |

| 12. The timing for practices/ exercises was | 0 | 1/17 | 2/17 | 14/17 |
|---|------|------|-------|-------|
| appropriate. | (0%) | (6%) | (12%) | (82%) |

General remarks:

- Time for training can be shorter.
- The method of theoretical training in combination with practice is very appropriate.
- I fully agree with the method of the training course.
- The analytical procedure is specific, clear, but it is necessary to clearly explain the analytical procedure for students to understand. Explain in detail the steps.
- I think the time is reasonable.
- It is recommended to reduce extraction time during practice and arrange more reasonable time.

| Logistical support | | | | |
|---|------|------|-------|--------|
| 13. I was happy with the training venue. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 17/17 |
| | (0%) | (0%) | (0%) | (100%) |
| 14. I was happy with other support services | 0 | 0 | 5/17 | 12/17 |
| (refreshment, etc). | (0%) | (0%) | (29%) | (71%) |
| 15. The training facilities were adequate. | 0 | 1/17 | 1/17 | 15/17 |
| | (0%) | (6%) | (6%) | (88%) |

General remarks:

- Organizing committee should choose equipment that laboratories have in order that the trainees can know how to operate the software and hardware.
- Organizing committee arranged very reasonable accommodation and safety.
- If possible, the location is near Hoan Kiem district for convenient transportation.
- The services provided are very adequate.
- I am very pleased with the logistical support of the training.
- Suitable location, reasonable service, relatively adequate learning facilities
- Thoughtful support

| | Strongly | Disagree | Neither | Agree |
|--|----------|----------|-----------|-------|
| Resource persons | Disagree | | Agree nor | |
| | | (2) | Disagree | |
| | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) |
| 16. The resource person(s) was/were | 0 | 0 | 1/17 | 16/17 |
| knowledgeable about the subject matters. | (0%) | (0%) | (6%) | (94%) |
| 17. The resource person(s) was/were | 0 | 0 | 1/17 | 16/17 |
| dedicated to the training activity. | (0%) | (0%) | (6%) | (94%) |

| 18. The resource person(s) gave satisfactory | 0 | 0 | 1/17 | 16/17 |
|---|------|------|-------|-------|
| answers to my questions. | (0%) | (0%) | (6%) | (94%) |
| 19. The resource person(s) made satisfactory | 0 | 0 | 5/17 | 12/17 |
| presentation(s) on their topics. | (0%) | (0%) | (29%) | (71%) |
| 20. The resource person(s) made proper | 0 | 0 | 3/17 | 14/17 |
| preparations for the training activity. | (0%) | (0%) | (18%) | (82%) |
| 21. The resource person(s) had good skills to | 0 | 0 | 4/17 | 13/17 |
| engage trainees in discussions. | (0%) | (0%) | (24%) | (76%) |

General remarks:

- Enthusiastic lecturers and laboratories need the next support to be able to analyze these substances better.
- Lecturers are very enthusiastic
- I am very satisfied with teaching and guiding practice of lecturers
- Lecturers are enthusiastic with good knowledge
- The lecturers are very enthusiastic to train and support me, the learning atmosphere is fun and receptive
- 22. I wish to add the following comments on the training activity:
 - The following course should train for 5 days (from Monday to Friday) or 1 month (1 month/1 course) due to busy work of laboratories.
 - I hope the following training courses will be practiced more to be able to detect errors in the analytical process and have time to conduct the experiment again.
 - For training course on GC-MS, organizing committee should invite trainees who participated in this course to throughout the process and have in-depth knowledge to be able to retrain staff of their laboratories.
 - Organizing committee should send to each laboratory at least 2-3 samples with more standards, internal standards and surrogate standards because it may have to conduct again, optimize analytical conditions.

Overall assessment on the training activity (including suggestions for improvement):

- The course needs to focus on QCVN/BTNMT regulation and the Circular 24/BTNMT

- I wish to have more time to practice on the equipment, more detailed for different matrices. If possible, two persons of each laboratory will be arranged to attend training course.
- Through this training, I was very pleased with the program, plan and implementation, the training provided me practical knowledge and skills. It is hoped that the next training courses need to provide more videos on experimental steps to better understand the students before conducting the experiment.
- Courses should focus on the Circular 24/BTNMT
- The training course brought a lot of knowledge, the trainees were directly conducted in the laboratory, so it was very practical, I think organizing committee need to organize more practical training courses on real samples such as analyzing PCB in waste sludge, VOC in air and waste gas.
- For samples to practice in the training course, if each locality brings samples for analysis, there should be more detailed instructions. For example, this training course on PAH requires that laboratories prepare 0.5 1kg of sediment samples (sediment in rivers, ditches ... or sludge from systems of industrial wastewater treatment). If laboratory do not bring them, organizing committee should prepare samples for trainees.
- I'm interested in organizing to practice in the laboratory, it helped me to understand steps and skills, conduct to analyze PAH in samples.
- Thank the organizing committee, lecturers, staffs who organized, trained and supported us during the training course.
- I look forward to participating in the following training courses
- Because each equipment has the different software, we can not be applied them as equipment of CETASD. There are many localities that do not have enough standard and internal standards to apply practical analysis.

3.1.5. Conclusions of technical training course 1

- PAHs are completely new analytical objects for trainees. For quantitative analysis, the use of internal standards and surrogates is also new compared to the analysis of traditional chlorine pesticides that trainees have experienced.
- Practical results showed that: Regarding the sample preparation, some trainees obtained the low recovery efficiency of surrogate substances, especially Naphthalene-d8 and acenaphthalene-d10. That means there is a loss in the process

of sample preparation, trainees need to practice experimental skills. Regarding the analysis using GC-MS equipment, trainees are proficient in identifying PAHs signals and calculating excel both the injected concentration and the concentration of real sample.

- Through the first technical training course, participants received information and knowledge about PAHs in general and skills to practice analyzing these compounds in solid samples such as sludge, soil and sediment by GC/MS.

3.2. Technical training course 2 on "Sampling and analysis of mercury in stack gas"

3.2.1. Objectives

Technical training course No. 2 was held at Research Centre for Environmental Technology and Sustainable Development (CETASD), VNU University of Science, Vietnam National University from September 24 to 27, 2018 with objectives:

- + To provide information, basic knowledge for technical staffs on mercury in stack gas, provide SOP of stack gas/isokinetic sampling and determination of mercury in stack gas by cold-vapor atomic absorption (CVAA).
- + To guide the participants to carefully perform the practical exercise **"Sampling and analysis of mercury in stack gas"** including sampling at Vissai Cement Joint Stock Company and experimental analysis in the laboratory.

Lecturers:

- Prof.Dr. Pham Hung Viet, Director of CETASD
- Assoc.Prof.Dr. Nguyen Van Dong, VNU University of Science Hochiminh
- Dr. Nguyen Van Thuong, Center for environmental monitoring, MONRE
- MSc. Vu Thi Duyen, VNU Shimadzu Laboratory, CETASD

Institutions participating in the technical training course 2

The course was successfully organized with the participation of 19 technical staffs from 12 centers under the Ministry of Natural Resources and Environment/ Department of Natural Resources and Environment including monitoring centers of Hanoi, Thai Nguyen, Bac Ninh, Thai Binh, Quang Ninh, Nghe An, Ha Tinh, Da Nang, Quang Nam, Ba Ria - Vung

Tau, Dong Nai and Binh Duong (list of staffs participating in the training was shown in Annex 1).

3.2.2. Program of the technical training course 2

The training course was presented in the following table:

| Course | Content of training course |
|-----------------|--|
| September 24, 2 | 2018 |
| 08:30 - 08:40 | Opening speech |
| 08:40 - 09:20 | <i>Lecture 1.</i> Mercury in ambient air and stack gas |
| 09:20 - 10:00 | <i>Lecture 2.</i> Sampling method for monitoring of metals emision from stationary sources |
| 10:00 - 10:15 | Coffee break |
| 10:15 - 11:00 | <i>Lecture 3.</i> Analysis of mercury in stack gas by cold-vapor atomic adsorption (CVAA) spectrometry |
| 11:00 - 11:30 | Introduction of the experimental procedure, division of groups |
| 11:30 - 13:00 | Lunch |
| 13:00 - 14:00 | Introduction of the cold-vapor atomic adsorption (CVAA) spectrometry equipment |
| 14:00 - 17:00 | Preparing the apparatus and chemicals, Creating the calibration curve |
| September 25, 2 | 2018 |
| 07:30 - 17:00 | Stack gas sampling in Vissai Ha Nam Joint stock company, Ha Nam |
| September 26, 2 | 2018 |
| 08:00 - 17:00 | Sample treatment and analysis in the laboratory |
| September 27, 2 | 2018 |
| 08:00 - 11:30 | Analysis and calculation of results |
| 11:30 - 13:00 | Lunch |
| 13:00 - 16:30 | Discussion on the analytical results of groups and suggestion for the participants |
| 16:30 - 17:00 | Certificate delivery and closing speech |

3.2.3. Implementing progress and results of the technical training course 2

Products – documents distributed to the trainees

Each trainee was distributed one document set including the following:

- Program of training course
- 03 theoretical lectures:

Lecture 1. Mercury in ambient air and stack gas

Lecture 2. Sampling method for monitoring of metals emision from stationary sources **Lecture 3.** Analysis of mercury in stack gas by cold-vapor atomic adsorption (CVAA) spectrometry

- SOP of stack gas/isokinetic sampling
- SOP of determination of mercury in stack gas by cold-vapor atomic absorption (CVAA)
- Products documents submitted to Project Management Unit:
 - 02 document sets of the training course (including the documents distributed to the trainees)

Summarization of the training progress 2:

Theoretical part

Lecture 1: Mercury in ambient air and stack gas

The lecture provided information on the sources of mercury emission into the atmosphere, the current concentration levels and the regulations of mercury in air and in stack gas in the world, in Vietnam. An important part of the lecture introduced the principle of sampling mercury methods in stack gas on the basis in a solution or coal trap. The techniques of total mercury analysis in stack gas were presented such as directly analysis of Hg in solid samples and CVAAS or CVAFS for sample treatment of amalgam to analyze mercury.

Lecture 2: Sampling method for monitoring of metals emision from stationary sources

The lecture provided detailed information on sampling of stack gas according to EPA 29 that applies to monitor metal emissions (including mercury) from stationary sources.

Lecture 3: Analysis of mercury in stack gas by cold-vapor atomic adsorption (CVAA) spectrometry

The lecture provided detailed information on the preparation procedure of the collected samples after absorpted by the EPA 29 method, the procedure of qualitative and quantitative analysis on CV-Almalgam AAS system and the calculation method of mercury concentration in stack gas samples.

Practice

Sampling of stack gas in Vissai Ha Nam Joint stock company, Ha Nam

Nineteen staffs under 12 laboratories, 03 lecturers and support staffs (Assoc.Prof.Dr. Nguyen Van Dong, Assoc.Prof.Dr. Duong Hong Anh, BSc. The Anh) participated in the training course. Most of the technical laboratories have experience in sampling of stack gas in their laboratories.

Sample objects:

Samples used in the practical part are artificial samples of Hg that prepared by CETASD including:

| 2B (Impingers 1-3): | 300 mL, HNO ₃ 3% + H ₂ O ₂ 6% |
|---------------------|--|
| 5A (Impinger 4): | 100 mL, HNO ₃ 0.1N |
| 5B (Impinger 5): | 300 mL, KMnO ₄ 2% + H ₂ SO ₄ 5% |
| 5C (Impinger 6): | 25 mL, HCl 8N |

Steps of implementation:

Preparation of samples:

- Mix 150 ml of artificial sample 5B prepared with 10 ml of hydroxylamine (to desorb all adsorbed mercury on MnO₂ in solution). The treated samples were directly measured by cold-vapor atomic absorption.
- The remaining artificial samples were measured directly on amalgam-AAS

Analysis on amalgam-AAS and calculation of result:

- Preparation of gas calibration curve and 5-point liquid calibration curve of Hg
- Quantitative analysis of mercury concentration in artificial samples 2B, 5A, 5B and 5C, each sample was measured 3 times
- Calculation of mercury concentration in real sample using data on AAS **Table 3.1.** Report on the results of the practical session on the equipment

| No | Sample name | Peak height | Conc. (ppb) | DF | Actual conc. (ppb) | Mean (ppb) | SD | % RSD | Remark |
|----|----------------|----------------|----------------|-----|--------------------------|---------------|----|----------|--------|
| 1 | 2B L1 | 0.41 | 0.74 | 100 | 73.9 | | | | |

| 2 | 2B L2 | 0.58 | 1.06 | 100 | 106.2 | | | | Out range | of |
|----|---------|-------|-------|-----|-------|-------|-----|-----|--------------|----|
| 3 | 2B L3 | 0.51 | 0.93 | 100 | 92.9 | | | | | |
| 4 | 2B L4 | 0.36 | 0.64 | 200 | 128.8 | | | | | |
| 5 | 2B L5 | 0.34 | 0.61 | 200 | 121.2 | 125.0 | 5.4 | 4.3 | | |
| 6 | 5A L1 | 0.2 | 0.34 | 20 | 6.8 | | | | | |
| 7 | 5A L2 | 0.2 | 0.34 | 20 | 6.8 | 6.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | | |
| 8 | Blank | 0.004 | -0.03 | 1 | -0.03 | | | | | |
| 9 | 5C L1 | 0.44 | 0.80 | 50 | 39.8 | | | | | |
| 10 | 5C L2 | 0.47 | 0.85 | 50 | 42.7 | 41.2 | 2.0 | 4.9 | | |
| 11 | 5B L1 | 0.4 | 0.72 | 200 | 144.0 | | | | | |
| 12 | 5B L1 | 0.43 | 0.78 | 200 | 155.4 | 149.7 | 8.1 | 5.4 | | |
| 13 | Blank | 0.005 | -0.03 | 1 | 0.0 | | | | | |
| 14 | STD 0.5 | 0.3 | 0.53 | 1 | 0.5 | | | | %R 106% | = |

3.2.4. Evaluation results of the technical training courses 2 from trainees

| | Strongly Disagree (1) | Disagree (2) | Neither Agree nor Disagree (3) | Agree (4) |
|---|-----------------------------|------------------|---|-----------------------|
| Training content | | I | | |
| 1. Contents of the training activity | 0 | 0 | 1/18 | 17/18 |
| were useful to my work | (0%) | (0%) | (6%) | (94%) |
| 2. The duration of the training activity was appropriate to cover the planned content | 0 (0%) | 0 (0%) | 4/18 (22%) | 14/18 (78%) |
| 3. The training activity provided me | 0 | 0 | 1/18 | 17/18 |
| with new knowledge | (0%) | (0%) | (6%) | (94%) |
| 4. The steps of the training activity | 0 | 1/18 | 3/18 | 14/18 |
| were appropriate | (0%) | (6%) | (16%) | (78%) |
| 5. The time I spent on the training | 0 | 0 | 3/18 | 15/18 |
| activity was worthwhile | (0%) | (0%) | (16%) | (84%) |

| 6. My expectations from the training | 0 | 0 | 4/18 | 14/18 |
|--------------------------------------|------|------|-------|--------|
| activity were met | (0%) | (0%) | (22%) | (78%) |
| 7. I am interested to introduce this | 0 | 0 | 2/18 | 16/18 |
| training activity to other people | (0%) | (0%) | (11%) | (89%) |
| Training materials | | | | |
| 8. The training materials helped me | 0 | 0 | 0 | 18/18 |
| understand the training contents. | (0%) | (0%) | (0%) | (100%) |
| 9. The training materials were very | 0 | 0 | 0 | 18/18 |
| useful to my work | (0%) | (0%) | (0%) | (100%) |

General remarks:

- I fully agree with the content and training materials.
- The course is very useful, helping me have more knowledge.
- There should be more training courses
- -

| Training methodology | Strongly Disagree (1) | Disagree (2) | Neither Agree nor Disagree (3) | Agree (4) |
|--|-----------------------------|------------------|---|-----------------------|
| 10. The methodology to conduct the training activity was approproate to deliver the contents | 0 (0%) | 0 (0%) | 6/18 (33%) | 12/18 (67%) |
| 11. The examples used were relevant to and helped illustrate the subject matters. | 0 (0%) | 1/18 (6%) | 5/18 (28%) | 12/18 (66%) |
| 12. The timing for practices/ exercises | 0 | 1/18 | 4/18 | 13/18 |
| was appropriate. | (0%) | (6%) | (22%) | (72%) |
| General remarks: - Good | | | | |
| Logistical support | 0 | 0 | 2/10 | 1 = /10 |
| 13.I was happy with the training venue. | 0 (0%) | 0 (0%) | 3/18 (16%) | 15/18 (84%) |
| 14. I was happy with other support services (refreshment, etc). | 0 (0%) | 0 (0%) | 2/18 (11%) | 16/18 (89%) |

| 15. The training facilities were adequate. | 0 (0%) | 0 (0%) | 0 (0%) | 18/18 (100%) |
|---|-----------------------------|------------------|---|------------------------|
| General remarks: - Accommodation near the venue of the ve | ne course is | convenient | for moving | |
| Resource persons | Strongly Disagree (1) | Disagree (2) | Neither Agree nor Disagree (3) | Agree (4) |
| 16. The resource person(s) was/were knowledgeable about the subject matters. | 0 (0%) | 0 (0%) | 0 (0%) | 18/18 (100%) |
| 17. The resource person(s) was/were dedicated to the training activity. | 0 (0%) | 0 (0%) | 0 (0%) | 18/18 (100%) |
| 18. The resource person(s) gave satisfactory answers to my questions. | 0 (0%) | 0 (0%) | 0 (0%) | 18/18 (100%) |
| 19. The resource person(s) made satisfactory presentation(s) on their topics. | 0 (0%) | 0 (0%) | 1/18 (6%) | 17/18 (94%) |
| 20. The resource person(s) made proper preparations for the training activity. | 0 (0%) | 0 (0%) | 1/18 (6%) | 17/18 (94%) |
| 21. The resource person(s) had good skills to engage trainees in discussions. | 0 (0%) | 0 (0%) | 1/18 (6%) | 17/18 (94%) |

General remarks:

- Lecturers are experienced and enthusiastic to share knowledge

- The training course should be improved:

+ More practice time

- + More time for actual sampling
- + Determination of Hg in hazardous solid waste
- + Determination of Hg in water, sludge, sediment,...

Overall assessment on the training activity (including suggestions for improvement):
The content of the training course is suitable to my work

3.2.5. Conclusions of technical training courses 2

- Many trainees have experience in sampling of stack gas to monitor metal emissions in stationary source. In terms of analysis, mercury is not a new analytical object for trainees. However, the amalgam-AAS system is quite new for trainees, so their implemention of practical steps on the equipment were embarrassing.
- Practical results showed that for the sample preparation, the trainees were quite proficient in experiment. Regarding the analysis of using amalgam-AAS equipment, after the teacher's guide, the trainees were proficient in the preparation of the calibration curve, calculation of the results.
- Through the second intensive training course, the participants received information, knowledge about Hg in air and stack gas in general and practical skills to analyze these compounds in water samples using amalgam- AAS system, sampling skills to monitor metal emissions in stationary source.

3.3. Technical training courses 3 on "Determination of polybrominated diphenyl ethers (PBDE) in plastic and wastewater samples by gas chromatography - mass spectrometry (GC-MS)"

3.3.1. Objectives, participants

Technical training course No. 3 was held at Research Centre for Environmental Technology and Sustainable Development (CETASD), VNU University of Science, Vietnam National University from November 6 to 9, 2018 with objectives:

- + To provide information, basic knowledge for technical staffs on PBDE such as emissions, regulation, contamination status and human exposure risk, sampling method, standard operating procedures (SOP) of PAH using gas chromatography mass spectrometry.
- + To guide the participants to carefully perform the practical exercise "Determination of polybrominated diphenyl ethers (PBDE) in plastic and wastewater samples by gas chromatography - mass spectrometry (GC-MS)".

Lecturers:

Report No. 4

- Prof.Dr. Pham Hung Viet, Director of CETASD.
- Assoc.Prof.Dr. Tu Binh Minh, VNU University of Science Hanoi.
- Assoc.Prof.Dr. Duong Hong Anh, Head of organic group, CETASD.
- MSc. Nguyen Thuy Ngoc, Head of Environmental Chemistry Department, CETASD.

The detailed program of the training course was presented in the following table 3

The course was successfully organized with the participation of 14 staffs from 10 centers under the Ministry of Natural Resources and Environment/Department of Natural Resources and Environment of 10 provinces and cities in the North, including: Bac Ninh, Vinh Phuc, Thai Nguyen, Hai Duong, Hung Yen, Hai Phong, Nam Dinh, Thai Binh, Ha Tinh and Binh Duong (list of staffs participating in the training was shown in Annex 1).

3.3.2. Program of technical training courses 3

The detailed program of the training course was presented in the following table:

| Time | Content | | | | | |
|------------------------------|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| November 6 th , 2 | 2018 | | | | | |
| 8:30 - 8:45 | Opening ceremony | | | | | |
| 8:45 - 9:45 | Lecture 1: Introduction of PBDE: emissions, regulation, contamination status and human exposure risk | | | | | |
| 9:45 - 10:30 | Lecture 2: Preparation of samples for determination of PBDE in plastics and wastewater | | | | | |
| 10:30 - 10:45 | Coffee break | | | | | |
| 10:45 - 11:30 | Lecture 3: Instrumental analysis of PBDE by means of GC/MS | | | | | |
| | - Qualitative, Quantitative analysis including QA/QC | | | | | |
| 11:30 - 13:00 | Lunch | | | | | |
| 13:00 - 14:30 | Delivery of the Standard Operation Procedure (SOP), group separation for practicum | | | | | |
| 14:30 - 17:00 | Introduction of GC/MS equipment, setting up GC/MS conditions | | | | | |
| November 7 th , 2 | November 7 th , 2018 | | | | | |
| 8:30 - 17:00 | Sample preparation: extraction, clean-up, enrichment | | | | | |
| November 8 th , 2 | 2018 | | | | | |

| 8:30 - 17:00 | Sample preparation: extraction, clean-up, enrichmen Injection of samples into GC/MS, | | | |
|------------------------------|---|--|--|--|
| November 9 th , 2 | November 9 th , 2018 | | | |
| 8:00 - 11:30 | Integration and result calculation | | | |
| 14:30 - 16:00 | Discussion on results, comments for participants | | | |
| 10:00 - 16:30 | Certificate delivery and Closing Remarks | | | |

3.3.3. Implementing progress and results of the technical training course 3

Products – documents distributed to the trainees

Each trainee was distributed one document set including the following:

- Program of training course
- 03 theoretical lectures:

Lecture 1: Introduction of PBDE: emissions, regulation, contamination status and human exposure risk.

Lecture 2: Preparation of samples for determination of PBDE in plastics and wastewater

Lecture 3: Instrumental analysis of PBDE by means of GC/MS - Qualitative, Quantitative analysis including QA/QC

- Standard operating procedure (SOP) for qualitative and quantitative analysis of PBDE in plastic samples by GC-MS
- Standard operating procedure (SOP) for qualitative and quantitative analysis of PBDE in wastewater samples by GC-MS. SOP includes: detailed description of preparation step (chemicals, devices, preparation of chemicals, devices, dilution of standard chemicals), sample treatment step (separation, extraction, cleanup, enrichment of sediment samples), instrumental analysis step by GC-MS (specific condition for machine operation, condition for quality assurance, quality control (QA/QC) of analysis procedure, calibration data on recovery productivity, repeatability, and notes during the implementation process).
- Products documents submitted to Project Management Unit:
 - 02 document sets of the training course (including the documents distributed to the trainees)

Summarization of the training progress

Theoretical part

Lecture 1: Introduction of PBDE: emissions, regulation, contamination status and human exposure risk.

The lecture provided information on PBDE that is the new POP group included in the Stockholm Convention in 2009, covering the following factors: characteristics, applications, sources of discharge into the environment, pollution status in the world in general and in some location in Vietnam in particular and human exposure. Initial data on the preliminary inventory of PBDE in electronic devices, calculation of PBDE emission factors from the production and use of plastic products in Vietnam according to the life cycle were also reported.

Lecture 2: Preparation of samples for determination of PBDE in plastics and wastewater

The lecture provides information on the principles of sample preparation steps for extracting, cleaning up and enriching PBDE from the resin and waste water samples. Basically, the sample preparation procedure includes soxhlet extraction with toluene solvent, polymer precipitation, multi-step cleaning up with sulfuric acid, multi-layer silicagel column. For waste water samples, first we conducted to perform liquid-liquid extraction with dichloromethane solvent, then wash with base and acid, convert to n-hexan solvent, clean up with florisil column, concentrate and enrich sample.

Lecture 3: Instrumental analysis of PBDE by means of GC/MS - Qualitative, Quantitative analysis including QA/QC

The lecture provided information on qualitative and quantitative analysis conditions of PBDE using gas chromatography mass spectrometry including separation conditions of gas chromatography (column, temperature program), conditions for detection, qualitative methods and use of the internal standard method to quantify PBDE in the sample.

Practice

After the theoretical lectures, the trainees moved to the practical part of sample treatment. In order to ensure that all the trainees participated in practical procedure, the class was divided into 14 groups; all the members in each group together directly did the treatment of blank sample, sediment sample with the assistant of the guiders.

Sample objects

Wastewater: samples were collected from some rivers and lake system in Hanoi by CETASD. Monitoring center of Hai Duong brought one water sample for analysis at CETASD.

Plastic: samples were collected from the body of the old analytical equipment at CETASD that has not been used for 20 years.

Detailed steps

Each trainees directly analyzed one water sample, 04 or 03 trainees were in charge of extracting one plastic sample, then the plastic sample extract was divided equally for the trainees for their practice according to 02 guided procedures.

As a result, each trainees will have 01 water sample data and 01 plastic sample data.

Preparation of plastic sample:

- The small cutted polymer pieces were crushed by a universal mill several times. After that the sample was sieved with particle size below 0.5 mm.
- 03-04 trainees conducted to performe 1 plastic sample extraction (due to the limitation of soxhlet extraction system) for 16 hours (2 days).
- After extraction of the sample, each participant got a portion of the extract (corresponding to the weight ratio of the sample).
- Each trainees continued to prepare plastic samples according to the SOP
- Precipitation of polymer and cleaning up by multi-layer silica gel column
- Pumping on GCMS after extraction

Preparation of wastewater sample:

- Wastewater sample was extracted with dichloromethane solvent 3 times, 10 minutes each time
- Extract was washed by alkali and acid to remove impurities that are soluble in alkalis and acids.
- Extraction and cleaning up by florisil column
- Elution of PBDE in sample and pumping to GCMS

Practice on GC and calculation of results:

- Prepare a 6-point standard line of 8 PBDE and 8 surrogate substances
- Identify all PBDE in the sample

- Apply each sample to the calibration curve to quantify 8 PAH and 8 surrogate substances in the extract of 2 plastic and wastewater sample
- Calculate PBDE concentration in real samples after having data on GC

Report on results of groups:

Each group reported on the results of their analysis on the last day of the training course. In addition, they shared their difficulty in conducting the experiment.

The results include a full excel sheet with information about name of trainees, laboratory and results:

- Recovery efficiency of 8 PBDE surrogate standards.
- Concentration of PBDE in the real sample after multiplying by efficiency of surrogate substance, except for result of the blank sample.
 (Results for each group enclosed)

3.3.4. Evaluation results of the technical training courses 3 from trainees

| | Strongly Disagree (1) | Disagree (2) | Neither Agree nor Disagree (3) | Agree (4) |
|---|-----------------------------|------------------|---|---------------------|
| Training content | | | | |
| 1. Contents of the training activity | 0 | 0 | 0 | 14/14 |
| were useful to my work | (0%) | (0%) | (0%) | (100%) |
| 2. The duration of the training activity was appropriate to cover the planned content | 0 (0%) | 0 (0%) | 0 (0%) | 14/14 (100%) |
| 3. The training activity provided | 0 | 0 | 0 | 14/14 |
| me with new knowledge | (0%) | (0%) | (0%) | (100%) |
| 4. The steps of the training activity | 0 | 0 | 0 | 14/14 |
| were appropriate | (0%) | (0%) | (0%) | (100%) |
| 5. The time I spent on the training | 0 | 0 | 0 | 14/14 |
| activity was worthwhile | (0%) | (0%) | (0%) | (100%) |
| 6. My expectations from the | 0 | 0 | 0 | 14/14 |
| training activity were met | (0%) | (0%) | (0%) | (100%) |

| 7. I am interested to introduce this training activity to other people | 0 (0%) | 0 (0%) | 0 (0%) | 14/14 (100%) |
|--|-----------------------------|------------------|---|------------------------|
| Training materials | | | | |
| 8. The training materials helped me understand the training contents. | 0 (0%) | 0 (0%) | 0 (0%) | 14/14 (100%) |
| 9. The training materials were very | 0 | 0 | 0 | 14/14 |
| useful to my work | (0%) | (0%) | (0%) | (100%) |
| General remarks: - The course is very good - Content and training material | s are approp | priate and mee | t the needs | |
| Training methodology | Strongly Disagree (1) | Disagree (2) | Neither Agree nor Disagree (3) | Agree (4) |
| 10. The methodology to conduct the training activity was approproate to deliver the contents | 0 (0%) | 0 (0%) | 0 (0%) | 14/14 (100%) |
| 11. The examples used were relevant to and helped illustrate the subject matters. | 0 (0%) | 0 (0%) | 0 (0%) | 14/14 (100%) |
| 12. The timing for practices/ | 0 | 0 | 1/14 | 13/14 |
| exercises was appropriate. | (0%) | (0%) | (7%) | (93%) |
| General remarks: - Training methods meet my no Logistical support | eeds | | | |
| 13. I was happy with the training | 0 | 0 | 0 | 14/14 |
| venue. | (0%) | (0%) | (0%) | (100%) |
| 14. I was happy with other support | 0 | 0 | 1/14 | 13/14 |
| services (refreshment, etc). | (0%) | (0%) | (7%) | (93%) |
| 15. The training facilities were | 0 | 0 | 0 | 14/14 |
| adequate. | (0%) | (0%) | (0%) | (100%) |

General remarks:

- Venue is suitable

| Resource persons | Strongly Disagree (1) | Disagree (2) | Neither Agree nor Disagree (3) | Agree (4) |
|---|-----------------------------|------------------|---|---------------------|
| 16. The resource person(s) was/were knowledgeable about the subject matters. | 0 (0%) | 0 (0%) | 0 (0%) | 14/14 (100%) |
| 17. The resource person(s) was/were dedicated to the training activity. | 0 (0%) | 0 (0%) | 0 (0%) | 14/14 (100%) |
| The resource person(s) gave satisfactory answers to my questions. | 0 (0%) | 0 (0%) | 0 (0%) | 14/14 (100%) |
| 19. The resource person(s) made satisfactory presentation(s) on their topics. | 0 (0%) | 0 (0%) | 0 (0%) | 14/14 (100%) |
| 20. The resource person(s) made proper preparations for the training activity. | 0 (0%) | 0 (0%) | 0 (0%) | 14/14 (100%) |
| 21. The resource person(s) had good skills to engage trainees in discussions. | 0 (0%) | 0 (0%) | 0 (0%) | 14/14 (100%) |

General remarks:

- Enthusiastic and thoughtful lecturers

- Lecturers provide me with many useful knowledge and experiences

I wish to add the following comments on the training activity:

- I suggest organizing a training course on pesticide, organochlorine pesticides, organophosphorus insecticides.
- I wish to have more time to exchange with lecturers and other trainees.

Overall assessment on the training activity (including suggestions for improvement):

3.3.5. Conclusions of technical traning course 3

- Trainees are proficient in identifying PBDE signals, calculating concentration of PBDE in real samples by excel based on data of GC.
- Many laboratories and trainees obtained the high recovery efficiency (> 120%), especially those with many bromine atoms in the molecule; the recovery efficiency of PBDE with the less bromine atoms is lower.
- Some PBDE were detected in plastic samples but not in wastewater samples.
- Participants in the class are enthusiastic, positive and interested in doing experiments. Most of them usually conduct experiments in the laboratory, therefore they proficiently used apparatus or equipment for sample preparation and analysis.

Reasons:

- This is the first sample to be practiced so it is inevitable that the operation is not yet proficient in the steps of the analytical procedure. For example, some trainees took surrogate and stuffed multi-layer silica gel column inaccurately, column is severely dry, there is a lot of silica gel on the cup...
- For plastic samples, polymer precipitation and acid wash are very important, these step must be done very carefully; multi-layer silica gel column or florisil must be wet to avoid breakage and air bubbles in the column.

4. INTER-LABORATORY CROSSCHECK PROGRAM ON POP/PTS

4.1. Objectives

To evaluate the ability of laboratories in analyzing accurately some of POP/PTS objects.

4.2. Method of evaluation

The proficiency of laboratories is assessed by Z-score according to ISO 13258:2005, *Statistical methods for use in proficiency testing by Inter-laboratory comparisons.*

Z-score

Proficiency of laboratories is evaluated based on Z-score index (ISO 13258:2005, "Statistical methods for use in proficiency testing by inter-laboratory comparisons").

Z-score is calculated as:

Z-score =
$$(x - x_a)/\sigma_p$$

In which:

x analyzing result provided by participated laboratories

x_a assigned value

 σ_p fitness-for-purpose-based "standard deviation for proficiency assessment"

<u>Evaluation</u>

Based on the calculated Z-score as above, the analysis results are evaluated as below:

| $IZI \leq 2$ | Satisfactory performance |
|---|--|
| 2 <izi 3<="" <="" th=""><th>Questionable performance (need to re-check the result)</th></izi> | Questionable performance (need to re-check the result) |
| $3 \leq IZI \leq 6$ | Unsatisfactory performance (need to have solutions) |
| $IZI \ge 6$ | Extreme performance (need to re-check the whole procedure) |
| | |

Assigned value

According to Z-score formula, assigned value X_a is required to identify Z-score. In the case that the blind sample is not CRM sample which can produce directly assigned values, there are two methods to determine assigned values. The first method is to identify assigned values based on the analysis results collected from participated laboratories which means median of analysis results is calculated after removing raw errors. This method is conducted when there are many laboratories participated with relative proficiency. The second method is to use results of one expert laboratory as assigned values.

4.3. Inter-laboratory crosscheck program on PAH

4.3.1. Time, participating institution and content of the program

After the basic training course is organized in the North, Central and South of Vietnam, the Project Management Board and Research Centre for Environmental Technology and Sustainable Development (CETASD) organized three preliminary technical training courses. "*Determination of poly aromatic hydrocarbons (PAH) in sludge samples by gas chromatography - mass spectrometry (GC-MS)*" was the first training course that was held from August 27 to 30, 2018. To assess the capacity of laboratories after the technical training on PAH, the Organizing Committee conducted an Inter-laboratory crosscheck program entitled "determination of poly aromatic hydrocarbons (PAH) in sludge samples by gas chromatography - mass spectrometry (GC-MS)".

In order to carry out this task, the Organizing Committee conducted preparation of standard samples of PAH including 16 congeners, real samples and delivered to laboratories for analysis. This program includes steps:

✓ Purchase of certified standard substance, preparation of test samples

- ✓ Delivery of samples and direction to conduct inter-laboratory crosscheck program.
- ✓ Each participating laboratory will use the provided methods and equipment to analyze the sample and report the results.

Participants

All of monitoring center participating in the technical training course on "Determination of poly aromatic hydrocarbons (PAH) in sludge samples by gas chromatography - mass spectrometry (GC-MS)" were delivered test samples.

Table 4.1. Institutions participating in the Inter-laboratory crosscheck program on PAH

| Code | Institution |
|------|--|
| 1 | Center for Natural Resources and Environmental Monitoring of Ba Ria - Vung Tau |
| 2 | Center for Natural Resources and Environmental Monitoring of Da Nang |
| 3 | Center for Monitoring and Environmental Engineering of Binh Duong |
| 4 | Center for Natural Resources and Environmental Monitoring of Bac Ninh |
| 5 | Center for Natural Resources and Environmental Monitoring of Ha Tinh |
| 6 | Center for Monitoring and Environmental Engineering of Dong Nai |
| 7 | Center for Natural Resources and Environmental Monitoring of Thai Nguyen |
| 8 | Center for Environmental Monitoring of Hai Phong |
| 9 | Center for Natural Resources and Environmental Monitoring of Nghe An |
| 10 | Center for Natural Resources and Environmental Monitoring of Hung Yen |
| 11 | Center for Natural Resources and Environmental Monitoring of Hai Duong |
| 12 | Center for Natural Resources and Environmental Monitoring of Can Tho |
| 13 | Center for Natural Resources and Environmental Monitoring of Vinh Phuc |
| 14 | Center for Natural Resources and Environmental Monitoring of Quang Nam |
| 15 | Center for Natural Resources and Environmental Monitoring of Nam Dinh |

Content

Project management board prepared standard samples and real samples to delivery to the participating laboratories including:

01 vial of PAH standard, 1ml, concentration of 1 µg/ml in xylohexane, (code of sample: STD-PAH)

- 01 vial of PAH surrogate, 1ml, concentration of 1 µg/ml in xylohexan, (code of sample: SR Mix-PAH)
- 01 vial of PAH internal standard, 1ml, concentration of 1 µg/ml in xylohexan, (code of sample: IS-PAH)
- 01 vial of sediment sample, 12 g, dried and grinded sample.

Standard solutions, surrogate and internal standards are chemicals that have been used for the training. The testing of sample homogeneous was implemented by CETASD Center.

Standards and test samples were accompanied by a notice of the Inter-laboratory crosscheck program phase 2, the report form of result and information on the analytical procedure (see Annex 3).

Laboratories analyzed PAH in sediment samples immediately after training for 1 month (September 1-30, 2018). Laboratories are recommended to fully apply the trained analytical procedure "Determination of poly aromatic hydrocarbons (PAH) in sludge samples by gas chromatography - mass spectrometry (GC-MS)". In case, there are additions or changes in the procedure of PAH analysis, they must be clearly reported.

- Analytical results of the laboratories were sent to CETASD in order that the experts evaluated data, compared and wrote assessment reports on analytical results.

4.3.2. *Preparation of PAH standards, real sample and homogeneity test Original standards:*

The standard solutions were prepared from the below original standards. Three original solutions included:

| No. | Name of substance, concentration, solvent | Origin | Code | Quantity | Calculation Unit |
|-----|---|--------|----------------------|----------|---------------------|
| 1 | Standard: PAH Mix 63, 1000 µg/ml in Toluene | LGC | DRE- YA06100300TO | 1 | 1ml |

Table 4.2. List of original standard

| 2 | Surrogate: PAH Mix 33, 2000 µg/ml in Toluene | LGC | DRE- YA08273300TO). | 1 | 1ml |
|---|--|-----|------------------------|---|-----|
| 3 | Internal standard: Pyrene-d10, 200 µg/ml | LGC | ICA-6K-231) | 1 | 1ml |

Preparation of PAH standards, surrogate and internal standard:

The original solutions were diluted in a 50 ml volumetric flask to obtain solutions of $1 \mu g/ml$ concentration. Cyclohexane solvent was used for gas chromatography (GC), specialized glass syringe was used for standard phase. The solutions are shaken well with a Vortex shaker before being stored in a brown glass bottle (4 ml) with PTFE cap.

| No. | Standard | Original concentratio n (µg/ml) | Prepare d V (ml) | Prepared concentratio n (µg/ml) | V (µl) |
|-----|-------------------------------|---------------------------------------|---------------------|---------------------------------------|--------|
| 1 | Standard: PAH Mix 63 | 1000 | 50 | 1 | 50 |
| 2 | Surrogate: PAH Mix 33, | 2000 | 50 | 1 | 25 |
| 3 | Internal standard: Pyrene-d10 | 200 | 50 | 1 | 250 |

Table 4.3. Standard preparation procedure

Preparation of real sample:

Sediment samples that were issued to laboratories for analysis of PAH collected at Yen So Lake, Hanoi. Surface sediments are taken by specialized bucket. About 2 kg of wet sediment were dried at room temperature, grind and sieved with a size of 0.5 mm. Samples after grinding, sieving were stored in a glass jar and shaked well for 24 hours. 12 g each of sediment samples were divided into a 20 ml glass jars.

Homogeneity test of PAH standard and real samples:

The testing of sample homogeneous was implemented according to [1] Michael Thompson et al. (2006), "*The international Harmonized Protocol for the Proficiency Testing of Analytical Chemistry Laboratories (IUPAC Technical Report)*", Pure Appl. Chem., Vol.78, No.1, pp. 145-196, and [2]. ISO 13258:2005, "*Statistical methods for use in proficiency testing by inter-laboratory comparisons*".

<u>Sampling</u>

From each sample set corresponding to different concentrations, 7 vials of sample were randomly selected to test the homogeneity of sample preparation.

Content of each individual vial was homogenized and two test portions were taken for treatment and analysis by GC-MS in the same conditions and in a random order.

- ✓ For standard solutions, the solutions were diluted and analyzed on GC-MS equipment.
- ✓ For real samples, the samples were prepared according to the training procedure of PAH analysis in sediment before being analyzed on GC-MS equipment.

Statistical Analysis

Cochran test:

Duplicated results for 7 sample vials were checked with Cochran test statistic (m=7 at p=95%) to eliminate raw errors in the results. Results shown in table 2.1 indicate that all the Cochran test statistic (C calculated) are less than the critical value C, so there is no evidence for analytical outlier. Hence the homogeneity test was preceded with the complete data set.

| No. | Substance | Critical value C | Cochran test C |
|-----|-------------------|------------------|----------------|
| | | (m = 7, p = 95%) | |
| 1 | Naphthalene | 0,727 | 0,558 |
| 2 | Acenaphthylene | 0,727 | 0,725 |
| 3 | Acenaphthene | 0,727 | 0,364 |
| 4 | Fluorene | 0,727 | 0,456 |
| 5 | Phenanthrene | 0,727 | 0,535 |
| 6 | Anthracene | 0,727 | 0,272 |
| 7 | Fluoranthene | 0,727 | 0,598 |
| 8 | Pyrene | 0,727 | 0,589 |
| 9 | Benz[a]anthracene | 0,727 | 0,340 |
| 10 | Chrysene | 0,727 | 0,536 |

Table 4.4. Cochran results in homogenous test of standards

| 11 | Benzo[b]fluoranthene | 0,727 | 0,486 |
|----|------------------------|-------|-------|
| 12 | Benzo[k]fluoranthene | 0,727 | 0,581 |
| 13 | Benzo[a]pyrene | 0,727 | 0,430 |
| 14 | Indeno[1,2,3-cd]pyrene | 0,727 | 0,359 |
| 15 | Dibenzo[a,h]anthracene | 0,727 | 0,480 |
| 16 | Benzo[ghi]perylene | 0,727 | 0,488 |

Table 4.5. Cochran results in homogenous test of surrogates (SR-PAH)

| No. | Substance | Critical value C | Cochran test C |
|-----|-------------------|------------------|----------------|
| | | (m = 7, p = 95%) | |
| 1 | Naphthalene-d8 | 0,727 | 0,321 |
| 2 | Acenaphthene-d10 | 0,727 | 0,338 |
| 3 | Phenanthrene -d10 | 0,727 | 0,598 |
| 4 | Chrysene -d12 | 0,727 | 0,381 |
| 5 | Perylene-d12 | 0,727 | 0,494 |

Table 4.6. Cochran results in homogenous test of internal standard (IS-PAH)

| No. | Substance | Critical value C | Cochran test C |
|-----|-------------|------------------|----------------|
| | | (m = 7, p = 95%) | |
| 1 | Pyrene -d10 | 0,727 | 0,361 |

Table 4.7. Cochran results in homogenous test of sediment sample

| No. | Substance | Critical value C | Cochran test C |
|-----|----------------|------------------|----------------|
| | | (m = 7, p = 95%) | |
| 1 | Naphthalene | 0,727 | 0,633 |
| 2 | Acenaphthylene | 0,727 | 0,363 |
| 3 | Acenaphthene | 0,727 | 0,426 |
| 4 | Fluorene | 0,727 | 0,515 |

| 5 | Phenanthrene | 0,727 | 0,423 |
|----|------------------------|-------|-------|
| 6 | Anthracene | 0,727 | 0,364 |
| 7 | Fluoranthene | 0,727 | 0,468 |
| 8 | Pyrene | 0,727 | 0,413 |
| 9 | Benz[a]anthracene | 0,727 | 0,319 |
| 10 | Chrysene | 0,727 | 0,360 |
| 11 | Benzo[b]fluoranthene | 0,727 | 0,345 |
| 12 | Benzo[k]fluoranthene | 0,727 | 0,377 |
| 13 | Benzo[a]pyrene | 0,727 | 0,501 |
| 14 | Indeno[1,2,3-cd]pyrene | 0,727 | 0,334 |
| 15 | Dibenzo[a,h]anthracene | 0,727 | 0,387 |
| 16 | Benzo[ghi]perylene | 0,727 | 0,338 |

Homogeneity test

The Analytical variance **San** (the difference between rep 1 and rep 2 in one sample vial) and Sampling variance **Ssam** (difference of Between sample variance and Analytical variance) were calculated by using the recommended procedure for homogeneity test given by "The international Harmonized Protocol (1)"

 S_{an} and S_{sam} were then used to calculate the S_{sam}^2 . Results in table 2.2 shows that the Sampling variance S_{sam}^2 are smaller than the Critical Value (m = 7 at p = 95%) (table 3.4 - 3.7). Hence, the prepared samples are sufficiently homogeneous.

| No. | Substance | S _{an} (%) | S _{sam} (%) | S ² sam | Critical value |
|-----|----------------------|---------------------|----------------------|--------------------|----------------|
| 1 | Naphthalene | 3,9 | 7,8 | 0,001 | 0,045 |
| 2 | Acenaphthylene | 1,3 | 6,3 | 0,001 | 0,041 |
| 3 | Acenaphthene | 3,7 | 5,1 | 0,000 | 0,044 |
| 4 | Fluorene | 3,3 | 4,8 | 0,000 | 0,040 |
| 5 | Phenanthrene | 1,0 | 6,3 | 0,001 | 0,035 |
| 6 | Anthracene | 0,7 | 3,6 | 0,000 | 0,038 |
| 7 | Fluoranthene | 0,8 | 3,0 | 0,000 | 0,037 |
| 8 | Pyrene | 0,3 | 4,3 | 0,000 | 0,036 |
| 9 | Benz[a]anthracene | 2,4 | 7,0 | 0,001 | 0,035 |
| 10 | Chrysene | 1,3 | 6,9 | 0,001 | 0,039 |
| 11 | Benzo[b]fluoranthene | 4,9 | 8,2 | 0,000 | 0,043 |

Table 4.8. Analytical variance San and Sampling variance Ssam of standard solutions

| 12 | Benzo[k]fluoranthene | 2,4 | 3,8 | 0,000 | 0,046 |
|----|------------------------|-----|-----|-------|-------|
| 13 | Benzo[a]pyrene | 3,2 | 1,4 | 0,001 | 0,043 |
| 14 | Indeno[1,2,3-cd]pyrene | 1,4 | 7,0 | 0,001 | 0,037 |
| 15 | Dibenzo[a,h]anthracene | 1,3 | 9,5 | 0,002 | 0,034 |
| 16 | Benzo[ghi]perylene | 1,5 | 7,0 | 0,001 | 0,036 |

Table 4.9. Analytical variance San and Sampling variance Ssam of surrogates

| No. | Substance | S _{an} (%) | S _{sam} (%) | S ² sam | Critical value |
|-----|-------------------|---------------------|----------------------|--------------------|----------------|
| 1 | Naphthalene-d8 | 2,9 | 7,8 | 0,001 | 0,040 |
| 2 | Acenaphthene-d10 | 1,5 | 2,1 | 0,000 | 0,041 |
| 3 | Phenanthrene -d10 | 1,6 | 3,3 | 0,000 | 0,040 |
| 4 | Chrysene -d12 | 2,3 | 7,6 | 0,001 | 0,034 |
| 5 | Perylene-d12 | 1,6 | 6,4 | 0,001 | 0,036 |

Table 4.10. Analytical variance San and Sampling variance Ssam of IS

| No. | Substance | S _{an} (%) | S _{sam} (%) | S ² _{sam} | Critical value |
|-----|-------------|---------------------|----------------------|-------------------------------|----------------|
| 1 | Pyrene -d10 | 0,4 | 1,5 | 0,000 | 0,035 |

| Table 4.11. Analytical | variance San a | and Sampling | variance Ssan | n of real sample |
|------------------------|----------------|--------------|---------------|-------------------------|
| 5 | | 1 0 | | 1 |

| No. | Substance | S an (%) | S _{sam} (%) | S ² sam | Critical value |
|-----|-------------------|-----------------|----------------------|--------------------|----------------|
| 1 | Naphthalene | 11,4 | 29,5 | 152 | 279 |
| 2 | Acenaphthylene | 9,7 | 23,7 | 1,8 | 6 |
| 3 | Acenaphthene | 10,1 | 22,5 | 2,2 | 9 |
| 4 | Fluorene | 6,5 | 13,4 | 7,3 | 53 |
| 5 | Phenanthrene | 6,4 | 9,5 | 11,3 | 811 |
| 6 | Anthracene | 15,5 | 22,4 | 0,53 | 51 |
| 7 | Fluoranthene | 5,8 | 9,4 | 29 | 709 |
| 8 | Pyrene | 5,2 | 8,6 | 39 | 844 |
| 9 | Benz[a]anthracene | 7,6 | 11,4 | 1,7 | 94 |
| 10 | Chrysene | 5,6 | 13,8 | 33 | 145 |

| 11 | Benzo[b]fluoranthene | 9,8 | 26,7 | 144 | 258 |
|----|------------------------|------|------|------|-----|
| 12 | Benzo[k]fluoranthene | 6,7 | 18,1 | 11 | 34 |
| 13 | Benzo[a]pyrene | 8,3 | 13,0 | 3,4 | 91 |
| 14 | Indeno[1,2,3-cd]pyrene | 6,6 | 10,3 | 2,3 | 77 |
| 15 | Dibenzo[a,h]anthracene | 8,7 | 15,2 | 0,66 | 9 |
| 16 | Benzo[ghi]perylene | 11,6 | 22,5 | 130 | 607 |

The testing of sample homogeneous was implemented according to the above procedure, the results showed that the prepared samples are sufficiently homogeneous.

Assigned values and standard deviation used to determine inter-laboratories crosscheck performance on analyzing 16 PAH in sediment samples

During this program, the organizing committee selected assigned values of 16 PAH based on the average value of 14 times when testing sample homogeneous. The relative standard deviations of substances corresponding to different PAH was also calculated according to those of CETASD when testing sample homogeneous. Table 3.12 showed assigned values and standard deviation used to determine inter-laboratories crosscheck performance on analyzing 16 PAH in sediment samples.

| | • | • • | | - |
|-----|----------------|---|-----------------------------------|--|
| No. | Analyte | Assigned values - x _a (ng/g) | Relative standard deviation | Standard deviation - σ _p (ng/g) |
| 1 | Naphthalene | 100 | 16 | 16,4 |
| 2 | Acenaphthylene | 14 | 13 | 1,9 |
| 3 | Acenaphthene | 17 | 13 | 2,2 |
| 4 | Fluorene | 55 | 8 | 4,4 |
| 5 | Phenanthrene | 248 | 7 | 16,3 |
| 6 | Anthracene | 33 | 16 | 5,1 |
| 7 | Fluoranthene | 241 | 6 | 15,0 |
| | | | | |

Table 4.12. Assigned values and standard deviation used to determine inter-laboratories

 crosscheck performance on analyzing 16 PAH in sediment samples

| 8 | Pyrene | 279 | 6 | 15,7 |
|----|------------------------|-----|----|------|
| 9 | Benz[a]anthracene | 71 | 8 | 5,5 |
| 10 | Chrysene | 102 | 8 | 8,0 |
| 11 | Benzo[b]fluoranthene | 105 | 15 | 15,5 |
| 12 | Benzo[k]fluoranthene | 43 | 10 | 4,3 |
| 13 | Benzo[a]pyrene | 66 | 9 | 5,8 |
| 14 | Indeno[1,2,3-cd]pyrene | 67 | 7 | 4,7 |
| 15 | Dibenzo[a,h]anthracene | 18 | 10 | 1,8 |
| 16 | Benzo[ghi]perylene | 148 | 14 | 20,4 |

4.3.3. Analysis result of inter-laboratory crosscheck program on PAH

The organizing committee deliveried samples to 15 laboratories. After analysis (3 weeks - 1 month) using the procedure provided at the training course, eight laboratories sent results to the organizing committee. Among seven remaining laboratories, some laboratories did not respond, some reported that the results could not be sent due to some broken equipments and lack of technicians. The following table summarizes the results that the laboratories performed in inter-laboratory crosscheck program on PAH.

| Code | | Con | centrati | on of PA | H sediment | sample (| ng/g) | |
|-------------------|-------------------|---------------|-------------|-------------|----------------|--------------|------------|--------------|
| | 1 | 3 | 4 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 11 |
| Monitoring center | Ba Ria - VT | Binh Duong | Bac Ninh | Dong Nai | Thai Nguyen | Hai Phong | Nghe An | Hai Duong |
| Naphthalene | 63 | 130 | 886 | 80 | 23.6 | 1375 | 780 | 67 |
| Acenaphthylene | 12 | 139 | 24 | 0 | 4.3 | 19 | 40 | 3 |
| Acenaphthene | 10 | 261 | 16 | 0 | 4.4 | 33 | 44 | 17 |
| Fluorene | 31 | 307 | 37 | 4.4 | 11.9 | 39 | 146 | 19 |
| Phenanthrene | 123 | 288 | 152 | 113 | 68.6 | 166 | 267 | 88 |
| Anthracene | 50 | 1665 | 265 | 0 | 36.8 | 26 | 399 | 10 |
| Fluoranthene | 161 | 932 | 102 | 64 | 85.3 | 113 | 153 | 69 |

Table 4.13. Result of Inter-laboratory crosscheck program on PAH

| Pyrene | 167 | 2088 | 164 | 79 | 94.5 | 209 | 201 | 94 |
|------------------------|-----|------|-----|----|------|-----|-----|----|
| Benzo[a]anthracene | 67 | 1047 | 67 | 69 | 28.9 | 127 | 85 | 30 |
| Chrysene | 64 | 15 | 123 | 70 | 22.4 | 170 | 158 | 44 |
| Benzo[b]fluoranthene | 72 | 245 | 190 | na | 56.1 | 87 | 139 | 62 |
| Benzo[k]fluoranthene | 68 | 481 | 146 | na | 42.9 | 5 | 122 | 19 |
| Benzo[a]pyrene | 50 | 91 | 188 | na | 25.3 | 99 | 155 | 30 |
| Indeno[1,2,3-cd]pyrene | - | 30 | 183 | na | - | 163 | 131 | 50 |
| Dibenzo[a,h]anthracene | 13 | 247 | 53 | na | - | 20 | 36 | 20 |
| Benzo[ghi]perylene | 21 | 1066 | 227 | na | - | 153 | 170 | 89 |

Note:

na: no analysis;

- : no detection.

The following table summarizes the analytical methods that the laboratories performed in the inter-laboratory crosscheck program on PAH.

|] | [ab | le | 4 | 1.] | 14. | Analytical | method | |
|---|-----|----|---|-------------|-----|------------|--------|--|
| | | | | | | | | |

| Code | Laboratory/ Center | SOP of training course | Surroga te (SR) | Solvent/ Volume | Extract method | Clean up | Note |
|------|-----------------------|------------------------------|--------------------|--------------------|-------------------|-------------|-------------|
| 1 | Ba Ria- Vung | A part | No | Hexane | SOP of | SOP of | |
| | Tau | | | | training | training | |
| | | | | | course | course | |
| 3 | Binh Duong | A part | No | - | Extracted 3 | - | |
| | | | | | times | | |
| 4 | Bac Ninh | - | - | - | - | - | |
| 6 | Dong Nai | Yes | | | | | |
| 7 | Thai Nguyen | Yes | | | | | Shaked by |
| | | | | | | | hand |
| 8 | Hai Phong | Yes | | | | | Extracted |
| | | | | | | | by magnetic |
| | | | | | | | stirring |
| 9 | Nghe An | Yes | | | | | |

Table 4.14.A. Preparation of sample

| 11 | Hai Duong | - | - | - | - | - | |
|----|-----------|---|---|---|---|---|--|

"-": no information;

Center for Natural Resources and Environmental Monitoring of Bac Ninh and Hai Duong reported the results in excel file.

| | | | | | • 1 mai y 515 | | | | |
|------|-----------|----------|--------------|-----|----------------------|--------|-------|-------|-----|
| Code | Center | Detector | GC Column | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) |
| 1 | Ba Ria- | MS | DB17 | - | 1-200ppb | Yes | - | Yes, | Yes |
| | Vung Tau | | | | $R^2 >$ | | | 81.32 | |
| | | | | | 0.996 | | | % | |
| 3 | Binh | FID | TG5-MS | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| | Duong | | | | | | | | |
| 4 | Bac Ninh | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 6 | Dong Nai | MS | DB35 | Yes | 0.001 - | Pyren | Yes, | No | Yes |
| | | | | | 0.20 | e – | 46- | | |
| | | | | | mg/L, | d10 | 119% | | |
| | | | | | R ² >0.99 | | | | |
| 7 | Thai | MS | SQC | No | 50- | Yes, | Yes, | Yes, | Yes |
| | Nguyen | | | | 250ppb | 2- | H= | H= | |
| | | | | | | Fluoro | 50,6- | 56,8- | |
| | | | | | | biphe | 67,8 | 68,8% | |
| | | | | | | nyl | % | | |
| 8 | Hai Phong | MS | DB5 | Yes | 20- | Yes | Yes | No | Yes |
| | | | | | 200ppb | | | | |
| | | | | | R ² > | | | | |
| | | | | | 0,992 | | | | |
| 9 | Nghe An | MS | VF-Xms | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 11 | Hai Duong | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |

Table 4.14B. Analysis on GC

Note: "-": no information; Center for Natural Resources and Environmental Monitoring of Bac Ninh and Hai Duong reported the results in excel file

- (1) Laboratory changed SIM mode for PAH congeners
- (2) Range of calibration curve for 16 PAH, correlation coefficient
- (3) IS: (Yes/ No, which substance did the laboratory use?)
- (4) Calibration of surrogate (Yes/ No), efficiency?
- (5) Recovery sample (Yes/ No), efficiency?
- (6) Calibration of blank (Yes/ No)

4.3.4. Evaluation result of inter-laboratory crosscheck program on PAH

The proficiency of laboratories was assessed by Z-score according to ISO 13258: 2005 that described in Section 4.1 (formula Z, assigned values, standard deviation of substances in Table 4.12, results values of each laboratory in table 4.13). The specific evaluation results (z - score) for each laboratory with each object of analysis were presented in Table 4.15.

| Code of laboratory | | | | Z sco | ore | | | |
|----------------------|----------------|---------------|-------------|-------------|----------------|--------------|------------|--------------|
| | 1 | 3 | 4 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 11 |
| Center | Ba Ria - VT | Binh Duong | Bac Ninh | Dong Nai | Thai Nguyen | Hai Phong | Nghe An | Hai Duong |
| Naphthalene | -2.2 | 1.9 | 47.9 | -1.2 | -4.6 | 77.7 | 41.5 | -2.0 |
| Acenaphthylene | -1.0 | 67.5 | 5.5 | | -5.1 | 2.8 | 14.1 | -5.8 |
| Acenaphthene | -3.1 | 110.2 | -0.4 | | -5.6 | 7.3 | 12.2 | 0.0 |
| Fluorene | -5.5 | 57.0 | -4.1 | -11.5 | -9.8 | -3.7 | 20.5 | -8.2 |
| Phenanthrene | -7.7 | 2.4 | -5.9 | -8.3 | -11.1 | -5.1 | 1.1 | -9.9 |
| Anthracene | 3.4 | 320.4 | 45.6 | | 0.8 | -1.3 | 71.9 | -4.4 |
| Fluoranthene | -5.3 | 46.0 | -9.3 | -11.8 | -10.4 | -8.5 | -5.9 | -11.5 |
| Pyrene | -7.2 | 115.5 | -7.3 | -12.8 | -11.8 | -4.5 | -5.0 | -11.8 |
| Benzo[a]anthracene | -0.6 | 176.2 | -0.6 | -0.3 | -7.5 | 10.2 | 2.6 | -7.3 |
| Chrysene | -4.7 | -10.9 | 2.7 | -4.0 | -10.0 | 8.6 | 7.1 | -7.2 |
| Benzo[b]fluoranthene | -2.2 | 9.0 | 5.5 | | -3.2 | -1.2 | 2.2 | -2.8 |

 Table 4.15. Evaluation result of inter-laboratory crosscheck program on PAH according to Z score

| Benzo[k]fluoranthene | 5.9 | 102.6 | 24.2 | | 0.0 | -8.9 | 18.5 | -5.6 |
|------------------------|------|-------|------|----|------|------|------|------|
| Benzo[a]pyrene | -2.8 | 4.2 | 20.9 | | -7.1 | 5.6 | 15.3 | -6.3 |
| Indeno[1,2,3-cd]pyrene | | -8.0 | 24.7 | | | 20.5 | 13.6 | -3.7 |
| Dibenzo[a,h]anthracene | -2.9 | 130.7 | 19.9 | | | 1.1 | 10.2 | 1.1 |
| Benzo[ghi]perylene | -6.2 | 45.1 | 3.9 | | | 0.3 | 1.1 | -2.9 |
| Total | | | | | | | | |
| (Max: 160) | 58 | 18 | 40 | 24 | 32 | 57 | 36 | 52 |
| Ranking | 1 | 8 | 4 | 7 | 6 | 2 | 5 | 3 |

Results of each congener and concentration range were presented in Table 4.16. It can be found that satisfactory or questionable performance accounts for only 0-50%, the rest are unsatisfactory or extreme performance.

| | | Z – re | esult | | Z – % result | | | | |
|----------------------|------|--|--------|------|--------------|---|--------|-------|--|
| Standard | IZI≤ | 2 <izi< th=""><th>3 ≤IZI</th><th>IZI≥</th><th>IZI≤</th><th>2 <izi< th=""><th>3 ≤IZI</th><th>IZI≥</th></izi<></th></izi<> | 3 ≤IZI | IZI≥ | IZI≤ | 2 <izi< th=""><th>3 ≤IZI</th><th>IZI≥</th></izi<> | 3 ≤IZI | IZI≥ | |
| | 2 | < 3 | <6 | 6 | 2 | < 3 | <6 | 6 | |
| Naphthalene | 3 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 37.5% | 12.5% | 12.5% | 37.5% | |
| Acenaphthylene | 1 | 1 | 3 | 3 | 12.5% | 12.5% | 37.5% | 37.5% | |
| Acenaphthene | 2 | 0 | 2 | 4 | 25% | 0% | 25% | 50% | |
| Fluorene | 0 | 0 | 3 | 5 | 0% | 0% | 37.5% | 62.5% | |
| Phenanthrene | 1 | 1 | 2 | 4 | 12.5% | 12.5% | 25% | 50% | |
| Anthracene | 2 | 0 | 2 | 4 | 25% | 0% | 25% | 50% | |
| Fluoranthene | 0 | 0 | 2 | 6 | 0% | 0% | 25% | 75% | |
| Pyrene | 0 | 0 | 2 | 6 | 0% | 0% | 25% | 75% | |
| Benzo[a]anthracene | 3 | 1 | 0 | 4 | 37.5% | 12.5% | 0% | 50% | |
| Chrysene | 0 | 1 | 2 | 5 | 0% | 12.5% | 25% | 62.5% | |
| Benzo[b]fluoranthene | 1 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 12.5% | 37.5% | 25% | 25% | |
| Benzo[k]fluoranthene | 1 | 0 | 2 | 5 | 12.5% | 0% | 25% | 62.5% | |

Table 4.16. Classification of the Z-score according to each substance and concentration range

| Benzo[a]pyrene | 0 | 1 | 2 | 5 | 0% | 12% | 25% | 62.5% |
|------------------------|---|---|---|---|-----|-------|-------|-------|
| Indeno[1,2,3-cd]pyrene | 0 | 0 | 1 | 7 | 0% | 0% | 12.5% | 87.5% |
| Dibenzo[a,h]anthracene | 2 | 1 | 0 | 5 | 25% | 12.5% | 0% | 62.5% |
| Benzo[ghi]perylene | 2 | 1 | 1 | 4 | 25% | 12.5% | 12.5% | 50% |

In order to calculate points for laboratories in this assessment, it was considered that the score of each laboratory were the total score of the reported results. After being evaluated, laboratories were ranked in order from low to high as shown in Table 4.17.

| Z-score | Evaluation result | Point |
|---|--|-------|
| $IZI \leq 2$ | Satisfactory performance - S | 10 |
| 2 <izi 3<="" <="" td=""><td>Questionable performance (need to re-check the result) - Q</td><td>5</td></izi> | Questionable performance (need to re-check the result) - Q | 5 |
| 3 ≤IZI <6 | Unsatisfactory performance (need to have solutions) - U | 3 |
| IZI ≥ 6 | Extreme performance (need to re-check the whole procedure) - E | 0 |

| Table 4.17. Evaluation and ranking of laboratories participating in inter-laboratory |
|---|
| testing of PAH |

| Code | Laboratory | Perce | entage of eva | Point | Rankin | | |
|------|-------------|-------------|--|---------------------|-------------|---------|---|
| couc | | $IZI \le 2$ | 2 <izi 3<="" <="" th=""><th>$3 \leq IZI \leq 6$</th><th>$IZI \ge 6$</th><th>1 01110</th><th>g</th></izi> | $3 \leq IZI \leq 6$ | $IZI \ge 6$ | 1 01110 | g |
| 1 | Ba Ria - VT | 13% | 25% | 37% | 25% | 58 | 1 |
| 8 | Hai Phong | 25% | 6% | 25% | 44% | 57 | 2 |
| 11 | Hai Duong | 18% | 13% | 25% | 44% | 52 | 3 |
| 4 | Bac Ninh | 13% | 6% | 31% | 50% | 40 | 4 |
| 9 | Nghe An | 13% | 13% | 13% | 61% | 36 | 5 |
| 7 | Thai Nguyen | 13% | 0% | 25% | 63% | 32 | 6 |
| 6 | Dong Nai | 13% | 0% | 6% | 81% | 24 | 7 |
| 3 | Binh Duong | 6% | 6% | 6% | 82% | 18 | 8 |

4.4. Inter-laboratory crosscheck program on Hg

4.4.1. Introduction

The second technical training course entitled **"Sampling and analysis of mercury in stack gas"** was organized from September 24 to 27, 2018 at Research Centre for Environmental Technology and Sustainable Development (CETASD). The training course provided the basic knowledge on mercury in the air, sampling method, cold vapor atomic absorption spectroscopy (CVAA), atomic absorption spectrometer (AAS). To assess the capacity of laboratories after the technical training on PAH, the Organizing Committee conducted an Inter-laboratory crosscheck program entitled "Analysis of mercury in stack gas by AAS".

In order to carry out this task, the Organizing Committee conducted preparation of standard samples of PAH including 16 congeners, real samples and delivered to laboratoroes for analysis. This program includes steps:

- ✓ Purchase of certified standard substance, preparation of test samples
- ✓ Delivery of samples and direction to conduct inter-laboratory crosscheck program.
- ✓ Each participating laboratory will use the provided methods and equipment to analyze the sample and report the results.

Participants

All of monitoring centers (12 centers) participating in the technical training course on *"Sampling and analysis of mercury in stack gas"* were delivered test samples. Although center for Natural Resources and Environmental Monitoring of Hai Phong could not participate in this course, the organizing committee issued the test sample to them due to their needs.

| Code | Institution |
|------|--|
| 1 | Center for Natural Resources and Environmental Monitoring of Thai Nguyen |
| 2 | Center for Natural Resources and Environmental Monitoring of Bac Ninh |
| 3 | Center for Natural Resources and Environmental Monitoring of Quang Ninh |
| 4 | Center for Natural Resources and Environmental Monitoring of Nghe An |
| 5 | Center for Natural Resources and Environmental Monitoring of Ha Tinh |
| 6 | Center for Natural Resources and Environmental Monitoring of Da Nang |
| 7 | Center for Monitoring and Environmental Engineering of Dong Nai |
| 8 | Center for Natural Resources and Environmental Monitoring of Ba Ria - Vung Tau |
| 9 | Center for Monitoring and Environmental Engineering of Binh Duong |
| 10 | Center for Natural Resources and Environmental Monitoring of Quang Nam |

Table 4.18. Institutions participating in the Inter-laboratory crosscheck program 3

| 12 | Center for Natural Resources and Environmental Monitoring of Bac Ninh |
|----|--|
| 15 | Center for Environmental Monitoring of Hai Phong |
| 18 | Center for Natural Resources and Environmental Monitoring of Thai Binh |

Content of program

Project management board prepared standard samples and real samples to delivery to the participating laboratories including:

- 01 standard of mercury was made from the real sample with concentration of $350 \,\mu g/L$.
- The testing of standard homogeneous was implemented by CETASD Center before delivery

Standards and test samples were accompanied by a notice of the Inter-laboratory crosscheck program 3, the report form of result and information on the analytical procedure (Annex 3).

Laboratories analyzed mercury in test samples immediately after training for 1 month (from September 28 to October 21, 2018). Laboratories are recommended to fully apply the trained analytical procedure. In case, there are additions or change in the procedure of mercury analysis, they must be clearly reported.

Analytical results of the laboratories were sent to CETASD in order that the experts evaluated data, compared and wrote assessment reports on analytical results

4.4.2. Preparation of mercury standards and homogeneity test

Original standard:

The standard solutions were diluted from the original standard with concentration of 1000 mg/l.

| No. | Substance, concentration, solvent | Origin | Code | Quantity | Unit |
|-----|--|--------|--------------|----------|-------|
| 1 | Hg 1000 µg/ml trong 5% HNO ₃ | LGC | VHG-PHGN-100 | 1 | 100ml |

 Table 4.19. List of mercury original standard

Preparation of standard:

The standard sample was prepared by directly diluting the original standard solution of mercury in a volumetric flask. The standard of mercury had a concentration of about 350 μ g/L.

- Taking 700 µl from the standard of 1000 mg/l into a 2000 ml volumetric flask.
- Filling up to the mark with tap water.
- Ultrasonic vibration of sample for 30 minutes
- Samples were divided into a 20 ml glass jars
- Lab codes were from W-Hg-01 to W-Hg-23
- Lab code: Inter-lab crosscheck program 3

| Inter-lab crosscheck | | | | |
|----------------------|--|--|--|--|
| program 3 | | | | |
| -9/2018- | | | | |

Code: W-Hg-xx

Homogeneity test of mercury standard

Homogeneity test of each standard sample is carried out according to the Hg analysis procedure in stack gas provided by the technical training course 3. The specific procedure was as follows:

Sampling

From each sample set corresponding to different concentrations, 8 vials of sample were randomly selected to test the homogeneity of sample preparation.

Content of each individual vial was homogenized and seven test portions were taken for preparation and analysis by CV-amalgam-AAS in the same conditions and in a random order.

Statistical Analysis

Cochran test:

Duplicated results for 8 sample vials were checked with Cochran test statistic (m=8 at p=95%) to eliminate raw errors in the results. Results shown in table 3.20 indicate that all the Cochran test statistic (C calculated) are less than the critical value C, so there is no

evidence for analytical outlier. Hence the homogeneity test was preceded with the complete data set.

Table 4.20. Cochran results in homogenous test of standard

| Substance | Critical value C (<i>m</i> = 8, <i>p</i> = 95%) | Cochran test C |
|-----------|---|-------------------|
| Hg | 0,3535 | 0,225 |

The Analytical variance **San** (the difference between rep 1 and rep 2 in one sample vial) and Sampling variance **Ssam** (difference of between sample variance and Analytical variance) were calculated by using the recommended procedure for homogeneity test given by "The international Harmonized Protocol (1)".

 S_{an} and S_{sam} were then used to calculate the S^{2}_{sam} . Results in table 4.20 and 4.21 shows that the Sampling variance S^{2}_{sam} are smaller than the Critical Value (m = 7 at p = 95%) (table 4.4 - 4.7). Hence, the prepared samples are sufficiently homogeneous.

 Table 4.21. Analytical variance San and sampling variance Ssam of standard solution

| Substance | S an (%) | S _{sam} (%) | S ² sam | Critical value |
|-----------|-----------------|----------------------|--------------------|----------------|
| Hg | 1,7 | 4,3 | 0,817 | 350 |

The testing of sample homogeneous was implemented according to the above procedure, the results showed that the prepared samples are sufficiently homogeneous.

Assigned values and standard deviation used to determine inter-laboratories crosscheck performance on analyzing mercury in test samples

During this program, the organizing committee selected assigned values based on the certificate of that standard corresponding to the concentration of diluted standards. The relative standard deviations of substances corresponding to substances was also calculated according to those of CETASD when testing sample homogeneous. Table 4.22 showed assigned values and standard deviation used to determine inter-laboratories crosscheck performance on analyzing mercury in standard.

Table 4.22. Assigned values and standard deviation used to determine inter-laboratories

 crosscheck performance on analyzing mercury in standard

| Analyte | Assigned values | Relative | Standard |
|---------|------------------|-----------|----------------------------|
| | - x _a | standard | deviation - σ _p |
| | (µg/L) | deviation | (μg/L) |
| Hg | 350 | 1,98 % | 6,84 |

4.4.3. Result of Inter-laboratory crosscheck program on mercury

The organizing committee deliveried samples to 13 laboratories. After analysis (3 weeks - 1 month) using the procedure provided at the training course, seven laboratories sent results to the organizing committee. Among six remaining laboratories, some laboratories did not respond, some reported that the results could not be sent due to some broken equipments and lack of technicians. The following table summarizes the results that the laboratories performed in inter-laboratory crosscheck program on mercury.

| Code | Laboratory/ Center | Hg (µg/L) |
|------|---|-----------|
| | Assigned value | 350 |
| 1 | Center for Natural Resources and Environmental Monitoring of Thai Nguyen | 496 |
| 7 | Center for Monitoring and Environmental Engineering of Dong Nai | 333 |
| 9 | Center for Monitoring and Environmental Engineering of Binh Duong | 400 |
| 10 | Center for Environmental Monitoring and Analysis of Quang Nam | 404 |
| 12 | Center for Natural Resources and Environmental Monitoring of Bac Ninh | 338 |
| 15 | Center for Environmental Monitoring of Hai Phong | 218 |
| 18 | Center for Monitoring of Natural Resources and Environment of Thai Binh | 513 |

Table 4.23. Result of Inter-laboratory crosscheck program on mercury

The following table summarizes the analytical methods that the laboratories performed in the inter-laboratory crosscheck program on mercury.

| Code | Center | Equip ment | Calibr ation of matric e | Calibr ation of Blank | Calibration of recovery sample/ blank | Dilution factor | Method |
|------|--|---------------|--------------------------------------|--------------------------------|--|--|-----------------------------------|
| 1 | Center for Natural Resources and Environmental Monitoring of Thai Nguyen | ICP | Yes | Yes | No | 50 (5 ml of sample -> 250 ml) | SMEWW 3125B:20 12 |
| 7 | CenterforMonitoringandEnvironmentalEngineeringofDong Nai | AAS | | | | 50 (2 ml of sample -> 100 ml) | SOP of training course |
| 9 | CenterforMonitoringandEnvironmentalEngineeringofBinh Duong | AAS | Yes | Yes | No | 100 (0.5 ml of sample -> 50 ml) | Available SOP of laboratory |
| 10 | CenterforEnvironmentalMonitoringandAnalysis of QuangNam | AAS | No | No | Yes | 250 (1 ml of sample -> 250 ml) | Available SOP of laboratory |
| 12 | Center for Natural Resources and Environmental Monitoring of Bac Ninh | DMA- 80 | | | | 1 (0.1 ml of sample) | Available SOP of laboratory |
| 15 | Center for Environmental Monitoring of Hai Phong | AAS | | | | 100 (1 ml of sample -> 100 ml) | Available SOP of laboratory |

 Table 4.24. Used analytical methods in the inter-laboratory crosscheck program on mercury

| 18 | CenterforMonitoringofNatural ResourcesandEnvironmentof Thai Binh | AAS | Yes | Yes | No | 200 (0.5 ml of sample -> 100 ml) | Available SOP of laboratory |
|----|--|-----|-----|-----|----|---|-----------------------------------|
|----|--|-----|-----|-----|----|---|-----------------------------------|

4.4.4. Evaluation result of inter-laboratory crosscheck program on mercury

The proficiency of laboratories was assessed by Z-score according to ISO 13258: 2005 that described in Section 4.2 (formula Z, assigned values, standard deviation of substances in Table 4.22, results values of each laboratory in table 4.23). The specific evaluation results (z - score) for each laboratory with each object of analysis were presented in Table 4.25.

Table 4.25. Evaluation result of inter-laboratory crosscheck programon PAH according to Z score

| Code | Institution | Z score | Point |
|------|--|---------|-------|
| | | Hg | |
| 1 | Center for Natural Resources and | | |
| 1 | Environmental Monitoring of Thai Nguyen | 21.3 | 0 |
| 7 | Center for Monitoring and Environmental | | |
| / | Engineering of Dong Nai | -2.5 | 5 |
| 9 | Center for Monitoring and Environmental | | |
| 9 | Engineering of Binh Duong | 7.3 | 0 |
| 10 | Center for Environmental Monitoring and | | |
| 10 | Analysis of Quang Nam | 7.9 | 0 |
| 12 | Center for Natural Resources and | | |
| 12 | Environmental Monitoring of Bac Ninh | -1.8 | 10 |
| 15 | Center for Environmental Monitoring of Hai | | |
| 15 | Phong | -19.3 | 0 |
| 18 | Center for Monitoring of Natural Resources | | |
| 10 | and Environment of Thai Binh | 23.8 | 0 |

The statistics showed that satisfactory or questionable performance accounts for from 28%, the rest are unsatisfactory or extreme performance.

| Assigned | | Classification of the Z – quantity of result | | | Classification of the Z – percentage of result | | | | |
|----------|--------------|---|--|-------------------|---|--------------|---------------------------|-------------------|-------------|
| Code | value (µg/l) | $IZI \le 2$ | 2 <izi< td=""><td>3 ≤IZI</td><td>IZI > 6</td><td>$IZI \leq 2$</td><td>2 <izi < 3</izi </td><td>3 ≤IZI</td><td>$IZI \ge 6$</td></izi<> | 3 ≤IZI | IZI > 6 | $IZI \leq 2$ | 2 <izi < 3</izi | 3 ≤IZI | $IZI \ge 6$ |
| | | | < 3 | <u>_121</u> <6 | _ 0 | | | <u>_121</u> <6 | U |
| Hg | 350 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 5 | 14% | 14% | 0% | 72% |

Table 4.26. Classification of the Z-score - analysis of mercury

| Table 4.27. Evaluation and ranking of laboratories participating in inter-laboratory |
|---|
| crosscheck program on mercury |

| Code | Laboratory | Z score | Point | Ranking |
|------|--|------------|-------|---------|
| 12 | Center for Natural Resources and | -1.8 | 10 | 1 |
| | Environmental Monitoring of Bac Ninh | | | |
| 7 | Center for Monitoring and Environmental | -2.5 | 5 | 2 |
| | Engineering of Dong Nai | | | |
| 9 | Center for Monitoring and Environmental | 7.3 | 0 | 3 |
| | Engineering of Binh Duong | | | |
| 10 | Center for Natural Resources and | 7.9 | 0 | 4 |
| | Environmental Monitoring of Quang Nam | | | |
| 15 | Center for Environmental Monitoring of Hai | -19.3 | 0 | 5 |
| | Phong | | | |
| 1 | Center for Natural Resources and | 21.3 | 0 | 6 |
| | Environmental Monitoring Thai Nguyen | | | |
| 18 | Center for Natural Resources and | 23.8 | 0 | 7 |
| | Environmental Monitoring of Thai Binh | | | |

4.5. Capacity assessment of laboratories in analyzing POP/PTS

Twenty laboratories were invited to attend 3 technical training courses. Among these registered laboratories, 16 laboratories participated in the training courses on POP/organic

PTS using chromatography method, 12 laboratories attended the training course on mercury, 9 laboratories participated in both training courses.

Among centers under MONRE/DONREs, through initial capacity assessment and needs for monitoring and analysis POP/PTS, these laboratories have been selected due to the group of highest ranking and initial assessment results presented in report No. 2 of the Contract. The capacity assessment criteria inlude (i) human capacity, (ii) technical conditions, (iii) management capacity, (iv) results of inter-laboratory crosscheck program. Regarding management capacity, all laboratories have been certified with VILAS/ISO 17025 and VIMCERTS, some laboratories have been recognized according to VILAS/VIMCERTS for analysis of Hg and organochlorine pesticides, these are factors that need to be maintained. The training courses in this program have the objective of strengthening the capacity of laboratories through a major impact on human resource factors (identifying needs, providing knowledge, practical skills, building network relationships). Evaluation results of laboratories capacity were carried out on the basis of initial assessment points and inter-laboratory crosscheck program points implemented in the program (Section 4.3.4 and 4.4.4). Through this activity, it is possible to evaluate the analysis ability of POP/PTS based on two organic groups/mercury relatively among laboratories as shown in Table 4.28. The results of this assessment were provided in order that the PMU select suitable laboratories for further capacity building to become a leading laboratory in the network.

| Center | Ranking of analysis capacity | | Certific | ate Number | Recognized parameter by VIMCERTSS/VILAS | |
|------------|------------------------------------|----|--------------------|---------------|---|----|
| | Organic POP | Hg | VILAS/ISO 17025 | VIMCERTSS | Organochlorine pesticide | Hg |
| Ba Ria | 1 | 6 | VILAS 149 | VIMCERTSS 011 | 0 | + |
| Bac Ninh | 4 | 2 | | VIMCERTSS 049 | 0 | + |
| Binh Duong | 6 | 8 | VILAS 084 | VIMCERTSS 002 | 0 | 0 |
| Hai Duong | 3 | 9 | VILAS 437 | VIMCERTSS 017 | 0 | + |
| Hai Phong | 5 | 5 | VILAS 249 | VIMCERTSS 008 | 0 | + |
| Nghe An | 2 | 10 | VILAS 651 | VIMCERTSS 005 | + | + |

Table 4.28. Capacity assessment of laboratories in analyzing POP/PTS

Contract C.2018-02-02: Strengthen monitoring capacity of labs under MONRE/DONREs

| Quang Nam | 10 | 1 | VILAS 541 | VIMCERTSS 048 | + | + |
|-------------|----|---|-----------|---------------|---|---|
| Thai Binh | 9 | 7 | VILAS 568 | VIMCERTSS 016 | + | + |
| Thai Nguyen | 8 | 4 | VILAS 154 | VIMCERTSS 024 | + | + |
| Dong Nai | 7 | 3 | VILAS 058 | VIMCERTSS 003 | + | + |

Note:

The evaluation of the ranking according to the points of the following criteria:

- Human capacity, technical conditions, management capacity, POP/Hg analytical needs (according to report No. 2 of the contract)
- Results of interlaboratory crosscheck program on organic POP analysis (2 times),
 Hg (1 time) (report No.2 (section 3.2.3), report No.4 (section 4.3.4 and 4.4.4))

The results of this assessment are information for the Project Management Unit and the Vietnam Environment Administration to select best laboratories that will be invested further in capacity building to become a key laboratory in the national POP/PTS monitoring network.

5. CONCLUSIONS

Baseline capacity of the laboratories and trainees

Objects of the project are sixty-nine laboratories under MONRE/DONRES. Among them, 39 laboratories participated in basic training course and provided initial information of capacity assessment. Firstly, it can be affirmed that these laboratories have POP/PTS needs to find out information about new pollutants.

The basic training course aimed to provide basic information about the new POP/PTS, discuss directly with the laboratories to identify needs as well as the available capacity related to POP/PTS. After the basic training course, 20 laboratories have been selected to participate in the technical training on experimental skills.

These laboratories were equipped with equipments that can analyze some classical POPs and mercury such as gas chromatography electron capture detector (GC-ECD), gas chromatography mass spectroscopy (GC-MS), high performance liquid chromatography (HPLC), atomic absorption spectrometer (AAS),... However, to analyze POP/PTS objects, it required the synchronization of conditions of chemicals, equipments and inastructure. In terms of human resources, some of technical staffs in these laboratories (trainees of training courses) have little experience in sample preparation and use of GC equipment, AAS... However, they did not have the basic knowledge on POP/PTS as well as in-depth knowledge. In addition, skills in analyzing new objects such as POP/PTS were weak due to lack of time and practice conditions skills.

Content of the capacity building program

Based on baseline capacity of the laboratories, the capacity building program was designed with the contents to improve the human resource capacity of laboratories in analysis and monitoring of POP/PTS. Therefore, the program includes the following contents:

- Basic information and knowledge on POP/PTS: this content answered questions about which pollutants are, where they come from, why we are interested in it in the environment. Moreover, this content also provided information about current management regulations, basic technical conditions and methods that need to be invested, studied and trained to be able to perform monitoring and analysis of POP/PTS in the environment. These are the necessary information for the technicians to have an overview of the problem that they will implement.
- Knowledge and professional skills for analyzing and monitoring specific **POP/PTS**: three technical training courses were organized to train on three

POP/PTS namely PBDEs, PAHs and mercury in water, sediment/sludge, plastic and stack gas samples using mass spectrometry GC-MS and AAS. These training courses provided the lectures and theoretical documents so that trainees can grasp in-depth knowledge of objects, equipment and techniques before practice session. Most time of the training courses was spent to practice analysis/monitoring skills under the guidance of lecturers. After the training courses, the laboratories were given a test sample so that trainees can continue to practice in conditions of their laboratory. For each laboratory, this activity was also a training for management requirements, ensurement of the analytical quality.

Results of the capacity building program

For the laboratories under MONRE/DONREs, it can be said that this is the first capacity-building program for the human resources on POP/PTS new pollutants (note: organochlorine pesticides are classified into classic pollution objects), especially including experiment. The program was comprehensively designed from the step of providing basic information and knowledge, identifying the need for technical training to the step of providing knowledge and professional practice skills of specific object for the trainees.

As the technical staffs of the laboratory, the trainees participated enthusiastically and seriously in the process of practice, contributed ideas and discussed effectively. Through the evaluation form, the participants were very satisfied (> 90%) with the training program because the provided knowledge and skills were specific and useful to their current and future work. Because new POP/PTS will continue to be added to the regulations on the environmental monitoring and analysis, trainees especially wish to have the opportunity to participate in similar training courses in the future. Thus, it can be found that the program has achieved good results in improving capacity of human resources for laboratories in order to implement tasks of POP/PTS analysis and monitoring and the national environmental monitoring network.

In addition, through the program, laboratories, technical staffs and experts in the same field have built relationships. Firstly, this facilitates the exchange of information and establish a network in the future. It is considered as the "social capital" factor to enhance cooperation, contribute to improve the capacity of laboratories in analysis and monitoring of POP/PTS.

ANNEX 1. LIST OF PARTICIPANTS IN TRAINING COURSES

1.1. List of participants in basic training courses

| No. | Name of participants | Lab | Address | Phone number | | | | | |
|---------|---|--|---|--------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| List of | List of participants in basic training courses in Hanoi (47 participants) | | | | | | | | |
| 1. | Vu Thi Quynh Hoa | Center for Meteorology, Hydrology and Environment, | No. 8, Phao Dai Lang street, Lang | 0983740619 | | | | | |
| 2. | Tong Thi Van Anh | Vietnam Meteorological and Hydrological Center | Thuong, Dong Da, Ha Noi | 0982819817 | | | | | |
| 3. | Nguyen Thi Kim Anh | Center for Environmental | Hamlet 62 Nauven Chi Thenh | 0986117542 | | | | | |
| 4. | Nguyen Truong Giang | - Research, Vietnam institute of meteorology, hydrology and climate change | Hamlet 62, Nguyen Chi Thanh, Dong Da, Ha Noi | 0374099644 | | | | | |
| 5. | Trinh Thi Tham | Environmental Laboratory - Faculty of Environment, Hanoi | No.41A Phy Dien Phy Dien Bac | 0983307385 | | | | | |
| 6. | Bui Thi Phuong | University of Natural Resources and Environment | No 41A, Phu Dien, Phu Dien, Bac Tu Liem, Ha Noi | | | | | | |
| 7. | Nguyen Kim Hoan | Institute of Environmental Sciences, Vietnam Environment administration | 7 th floor, Lot E2, Duong Dinh Nghe, Cau Giay, Ha Noi | 0396986411 | | | | | |

| 8. | Do Duc Thu | | | 0915798499 |
|-----|-------------------|--|---------------------------------------|-------------|
| 9. | Le Van Duc | Center for Natural Resources and | | 0915528930 |
| 10. | Do Duy Khanh | Environmental Monitoring of Hanoi | No. 36A Pham Van Dong, Hanoi | 0946684222 |
| 11. | Le Cao The | | | 0396557586 |
| 12. | Dang Thi Lien | - | | 0982002826 |
| 13. | Nguyen Thi Anh | Lenvironmental Monitoring of Ha | No 1, Vo Liem Son, Ha Tinh City, | 0916636099 |
| 14. | Tran Thi Thanh | | Ha Tinh | 09179051568 |
| 15. | Nguyen Van Khuyen | Center for Natural Resources and | Residential No.5, Tan Phong, Lai | 0912789396 |
| 16. | Hoang Van Vien | Environmental Monitoring of Lai Chau | Chau City, Lai Chau | 0974837127 |
| 17. | Lu Thi Hoan | Center for Environmental | Lam He Word Dhy Ly City He | 0948863836 |
| 18. | Truong Dinh Duong | Monitoring and Analysis of Ha Nam | Lam Ha Ward, Phu Ly City, Ha _ Nam | 0389967059 |
| 19. | Dinh Thi Huyen | Center for Environmental | No. 192, Cu Chinh Lan street, Nam | 0989260405 |
| 20. | Dinh Thi Thom | Monitoring and Analysis of Nam Dinh | Dinh City, Nam Dinh | 0984945871 |
| 21. | Dao Thi Thu | Center for Resources & | Khai Quang Ward, Vinh Yen City, | 0974360814 |
| 22. | Le Thanh Nam | Environmental Protection of Vinh Phuc | Vinh Phuc | 0985342775 |

| 23. | Le Phu Dong | Center for Environmental | 159 Ngo Quyen str., Hai Duong | 0983705628 |
|-----|---------------------|---|--|-------------|
| 24. | Tran Xuan Toan | Monitoring and Analysis of Hai | City, Hai Duong | 0934467789 |
| 25. | Nguyen Van Tuan | Duong | City, Hai Duong | 0904063272 |
| 26. | Nguyen Van Tiep | Center for Environmental | 275 Lach Tray, Ngo Quyen, Hai | 0868080684 |
| 27. | Tran Thi Minh Huyen | Monitoring of Hai Phong | Phong City | 0934365288 |
| 28. | Nguyen Van Trong | - Center for Environmental | No. 159 Yuang Ciang ata Dag | 0976322458 |
| 29. | Nguyen Thi Dong | | No. 158, Xuong Giang str., Bac - | 01682296826 |
| 30. | Pham Huong Luu | - Monitoring of Bac Giang | Giang City, Bac Giang | 01223272502 |
| 31. | Nguyen Thi Ha An | Center for Natural Resources and | No. 11 Hei Do Trung eta Suci Heo | 0912348590 |
| 32. | Dinh Thi Thanh Tam | Environmental Monitoring of Bac | No. 11, Hai Ba Trung str., Suoi Hoa – ward, Bac Ninh City | 0868896823 |
| 33. | Nguyen Thanh Son | Ninh | | 0943313233 |
| 34. | Vu Thanh Hai | Center for Environmental | Residential No. 1A, phuong Phung | 0388433688 |
| 35. | Vu Nguyen Cuong | Monitoring of Bac Kan | Chi Kien, thanh pho Bac Kan, tinh Bac Kan | 0964382362 |
| 40. | Bui Ngoc Trang | | | 0904443622 |
| 41. | Ha Manh Dung | Center for Monitoring of Natural Resources and Environment of Thai | No 12, Quang Trung ward, Thai Binh City | 0987821084 |
| 42. | Pham Truong Giang | Binh | | 0904490496 |
| 43. | Pham Thi Nga | Center for Natural Resources and | No 4254 Dhon Dinh Dhung Thai | 0949374295 |
| 44. | Pham Thi Thanh Thuy | Environmental Monitoring of Thai | No 425A, Phan Dinh Phung, Thai – | 0987849225 |
| 45. | Phung Thi Thuy | Nguyen | Nguyen City | 0974425589 |

| 46. 47. | Nguyen Huu Chuc Nguyen Thi Thuy | Center for Environmental Monitoring and Analysis of Hung Yen | No 437 Nguyen Van Linh, Hung Yen City, Tinh Hung Yen | | | | | | | |
|------------------|--|---|--|-------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| List of p | List of participants in basic training courses in Hochiminh City (24 participants) | | | | | | | | | |
| 48. | Pham Van Sinh | Resources Flaining and | 59, street No.2, Binh An ward, District 2, Hochiminh City | 0939142848 | | | | | | |
| 49. | Nguyen Van Sinh | Investigation | District 2, Hoeminini City | 09362773535 | | | | | | |
| 50. | Le Xuan Hoa | Sub-institute of Hydrology and Climate Change, Institute of | 19, Nguyen Thi Minh Khai street, | 0972067785 | | | | | | |
| 51. | Tran Minh Son | Meteorology, Hydrology and Environment | Ben Nghe ward, dist No.1, - Hochiminh City | 0938850836 | | | | | | |
| 52. | Le Ngoc Quy | Southern Natural Resources and | 30, street No. 3, Binh An ward, | | | | | | | |
| 53. | Van Huu Tai | Environment Company | District 2, Hochiminh City | | | | | | | |
| 54. | Bui Phuong Linh | Environmental Laboratory, | | | | | | | | |
| 55. | Dam Thi Minh Tam | University of Natural Resources and Environment of Ho Chi Minh City | 236B Le Van Sy, Ward 1, Tan Binh dist., Hochiminh City | | | | | | | |

| 56. | Vu Cong Thanh | Center for Environmental | No 606, 30/4 str., ward 3, Tay Ninh | 0989767101 |
|-----|----------------------|--|--|--------------|
| 57. | Dang Thai Hieu | Monitoring of Tay Ninh | town | 0935529625 |
| 58. | Le Thi Thanh Lieu | Center for Environmental | 28B Thi Sach, Thang Tam, Vung | 090962072 |
| 59. | Pham Van Canh | Monitoring and Analysis of Ba Ria - Vung Tau | Tau City, Ba Ria-Vung Tau | 0987743716 |
| 60. | Tran Van Thom | Center for Natural Resources and Environmental Monitoring of Hau Giang | No 19, Vo Van Kiet str., ward 5, Vi Thanh, Hau Giang | 0906904374 |
| 61. | Nguyen Duong Mai Thy | Center for Monitoring and | 4784 May Than word 6 Tra Vinh | 038 617 9690 |
| 62. | Ngo Van Linh | Environmental Engineering of Tra | 478A Mau Than, ward 6, Tra Vinh - town | 0383688665 |
| 63. | Huynh Thao Vy | Center for Natural Resources and | No. 18 Hung Vuong, ward 6, Soc | 0939270212 |
| 64. | Nguyen Thi Hong Tham | Environmental Monitoring of Soc Trang | Trang | 0942135400 |
| 65. | Doan Thi Truc Man | Southern regional Hydro- | No. 8 Mac Dinh Chi, dist. 1, Ho Chi | 0906732709 |
| 66. | Luong Lam Tuan Phi | Meterological center | Minh City | |
| 67. | Huynh Tan Luc | Center for Natural Resources and | No. 1226A Nguyen Trung Truc, An Pinh word, Pach Cia, City, Kian | 0944599191 |
| 68. | Nguyen Van Dat | Environmental Monitoring of Kien Giang | Binh ward, Rach Gia City, Kien - Giang | 0944253020 |

| 69. | Le Quoc Hieu | Center for Natural Resources and | No. 17, 1/5 str., ward 5, Ca Mau | 0913988177 |
|-----|------------------------|---|---|-------------|
| 70. | Nguyen Hoang Viet | Environmental Monitoring of Ca | City | 0948185195 |
| 71. | Tran Long Phi | Mau | City | 0944454878 |
| 72. | Nguyen Chi Cuong | Center for Monitoring and | 26 Huynh Van Nobe, Phy Loi ward | 0937249047 |
| 73. | To Thi Hong Chuyen | Environmental Engineering of Binh Duong | 26 Huynh Van Nghe, Phu Loi ward, – Thu Dau Mot, Binh Duong | 01639751416 |
| 74. | Duong Thi Thanh Phuong | Center for Monitoring and Environmental Engineering of | 520 Duong Dong Khoi, Tan Hiep | 0907207292 |
| 75. | Nguyen Thanh Hoa | Dong Nai | ward, Bien Hoa, Dong Nai | 0983770584 |

List of participants in basic training courses in Danang (24 participants)

| 76. | Ho Thi Kim Lam | Center for Environmental | No. 84, Phan Boi Chau str., Tam Ky | 0935 089 760 |
|-----|----------------------|---|---|--------------|
| 77. | Tran Thua The | Monitoring and Analysis of Quang Nam | City, Quang Nam | 0934 749 772 |
| 78. | Le Minh Ngoc | Center for Environmental | No. 20 Norman Chi Thanh ata | 0978 897 017 |
| 79. | Nguyen Minh Tuan | Monitoring and Analysis of Dak Lak | No. 38, Nguyen Chi Thanh str., Buon Ma Thuot City, Dak Lak | 0937 072 772 |
| 80. | Nguyen Cong Tuan | Center for Natural Resources and | No. 35B Pasteur, ward 4, Da Lat | 0937.948.005 |
| 81. | Dang Nguyen Tran Huy | Environmental Monitoring of Lam Dong | City, Lam Dong | 01684138834 |

| 82. | Quach Thanh Thuy | Center for Natural Resources and Environmental Monitoring of | No. 35 Yet Kieu, Nha Trang City | 0975 436 487 |
|-----|-----------------------|--|--|---------------|
| 83. | Do Anh Van | Khanh Hoa | No. 35 Tet Kieu, Ivna Hang City | 0905 757 100 |
| 84. | Dang Thi Le Thuong | Center for Natural Resources and | Cao Thang, Nghia Duc ward, Gia | 0908 610008 |
| 85. | Pham Dinh Thach | Environmental Monitoring of Dak Nong | Nghia town, Dak Nong | 0919 531 424 |
| 86. | Huynh Van Tinh | - Mid-central regional Hydro- | 660 Trung Nu Vuong, Hoa Thuan | 0905 191 595 |
| 87. | Nguyen Anh Tuan | Meterological center | Nam, Hai Chau dist., Da Nang | 0905 667 081 |
| 88. | Hoang Thi Xuyen | Center for Environmental Engineering of Da Nang | 24 Ho Nguyen Trung, Hoa Cuong Nam ward, Hai Chau dist., Da Nang City | 0901123103 |
| 89. | Nguyen Dinh Phuoc | | | 0982 729 579 |
| 90. | Duong Trong Anh | Center for Natural Resources and Environmental Monitoring of Thua | 173 Pham Van Dong str., Vy Da | 01214498893 |
| 91. | Nguyen Van Chung | Thien Hue | ward, Hue City, Thua Thien Hue | 0905 227 113 |
| 92. | Phan Minh Hoa |] | | 0978 016 195 |
| 93. | Nguyen Hai Minh | | | 01222 525 357 |
| 94. | Nguyen Ngoc Nhu Son | Center for Environmental Monitoring of Phu Yen | 547 Hung Vuong, ward 9, Tuy Hoa City, Phu Yen | 0987 214 612 |
| 95. | Nguyen Thi Thanh Thuy | | - | 0985 590 422 |

| 98. | Tran Thi Thanh | Center for Environmental | No. 2, hamlet 248, Ha Huy Tap str., | 0982 159 981 |
|------|---------------------|--|--|--------------|
| 99. | Pham Thi Hong Thuy | Monitoring and Engineering of Nghe An | Vinh City, Nghe An | 0971 053 892 |
| 100. | Doan Thi Ngoc Linh | Center for Environmental Monitoring of Binh Thuan | A41 Hung Vuong, Phan Thiet City, Binh Thuan | 0908 70 511 |
| 101. | Truong Thi Kim Linh | Center for Environmental Monitoring of Binh Thuan | A41 Hung Vuong, Phan Thiet City, Binh Thuan | 1278 445 |

1.2. List of participants in PAH training course

| No. | Name of participants | Lab | Address | Phone number |
|-----|----------------------|---|--|--------------|
| 1. | Tran Quoc Viet | Center for Environmental Engineering of Da Nang | 24 Ho Nguyen Trung, Hoa Cuong Nam ward, Hai Chau dist., Da Nang City | 01224195383 |
| 2. | Pham Van Canh | Center for Environmental Monitoring and Analysis of Ba Ria | 28B Thi Sach, Thang Tam, Vung | 0987743716 |
| 3. | Le Dinh Hai | - Vung Tau | Tau City, Ba Ria-Vung Tau | 0978489526 |
| 4. | Le Phu Dong | Center for Environmental Monitoring and Analysis of Hai | 159 Ngo Quyen str., Hai Duong | 0983705628 |
| 5. | Nguyen Van Tuan | Duong | City, Hai Duong | 0904063272 |

| 6. | Nguyen Thi Anh | Center for Natural Resources and | No 1, Vo Liem Son, Ha Tinh City, | 091.6636099 |
|-----|--------------------|---|--|---------------|
| 7. | Tran Thi Thanh | Environmental Monitoring of Ha Tinh | Ha Tinh | 0917.9051568 |
| 8. | Dinh Thi Thanh Tam | Center for Natural Resources and Environmental Monitoring of Bac Ninh | No. 11, Hai Ba Trung str., Suoi Hoa ward, Bac Ninh City | 0868896923 |
| 9. | Mai Hung | CenterforEnvironmentalMonitoringandAnalysis of HungYen | No 437 Nguyen Van Linh, Hung Yen City, Tinh Hung Yen | 0914913175 |
| 10. | Nguyen Khanh Luan | Center for Natural Resources and Environmental Monitoring of Can Tho | No. 8, Nguyen Van Cu, An Hoa, Ninh Kieu, Can Tho | 0986.020.694 |
| 11. | Nguyen Minh Tam | Center for Monitoring and Environmental Engineering of | 26 Huynh Van Nghe, Phu Loi ward, | 0907 195 670 |
| 12. | To Thi Hong Chuyen | Binh Duong | Thu Dau Mot, Binh Duong | 0163 975 1416 |
| 13. | Nguyen Van Tiep | CenterforEnvironmentalMonitoring of HaiPhong | 275 Lach Tray, Ngo Quyen, Hai Phong City | 0868080684 |
| 14. | Luu Thi Hoi | Center for Monitoring and Environmental Engineering of | 520 Duong Dong Khoi, Tan Hiep | 0983770584 |
| 15. | Nguyen Thanh Hoa | Dong Nai | ward, Bien Hoa, Dong Nai | 01686866511 |

| 16. | Pham Thi Hong Thuy | Center for Environmental Monitoring and Engineering of Nghe An | No. 2, hamlet 248, Ha Huy Tap str., Vinh City, Nghe An | 0971053892 |
|-----|----------------------|--|---|-------------|
| 17. | Nguyen Mai Hanh | Center for Resources & Environmental Protection of Vinh Phuc | Khai Quang Ward, Vinh Yen City, Vinh Phuc | 0984079176 |
| 18. | Nguyen Nhat Truong | Center for Environmental | No. 84, Phan Boi Chau str., Tam Ky | 0911379089 |
| 19. | Cao Tan Le | Monitoring and Analysis of Quang Nam | City, Quang Nam | 0935021204 |
| 20. | Nguyen Thi Thu Trang | Center for Environmental Monitoring and Analysis of Nam | No. 192, Cu Chinh Lan street, Nam | 01258450047 |
| 21. | Dinh Thi Huyen | Dinh | Dinh City, Nam Dinh | 0989260405 |
| 22. | Pham Thi Thanh Thuy | Center for Natural Resources and Environmental Monitoring of Thai Nguyen | No 425A, Phan Dinh Phung, Thai Nguyen City | 0987849225 |

1.3. List of participants in mercury training course

| No. | Name of participants | Lab | Address | Phone number |
|-----|----------------------|---|-----------------------------------|--------------|
| 1. | Nguyen Van Hung | Center for Natural Resources and Environmental Monitoring of Bac Ninh | No 11 Hai Ba Trung str Suoi Hoa I | 0913913165 |

| 2. | Ta Thi Quy | Center for Natural Resources and | No 425A, Phan Dinh Phung, Thai | 01685148627 |
|-----|--------------------|--|--|--------------|
| 3. | Dang Thi Huyen Hue | Environmental Monitoring of Thai Nguyen | Nguyen City | 0978548993 |
| 4. | Nguyen Duc Toan | Center for environmental monitoring, MONRE | No. 556, Nguyen Van Cu, Gia Thuy ward, Long Bien dist., Hanoi | |
| 5. | Pham Truong Giang | Center for Monitoring of Natural | | 0904 490 496 |
| 6. | Hoang Minh Thao | Resources and Environment of Thai | No 12, Quang Trung ward, Thai Binh City | 0378 345 083 |
| 7. | Bui Ngoc Trang | Binh | - | |
| 8. | Phung Van Hung | Center for Monitoring of Natural Resources and Environment of Quang Ninh | Hong Ha, Ha Long City, Quang Ninh | 0349696120 |
| 9. | Nguyen Truong Sung | Center for Environmental Monitoring and Engineering of Nghe An | No. 2, hamlet 248, Ha Huy Tap str., Vinh City, Nghe An | 0947078586 |
| 10. | Nguyen Tuan | Center for Natural Resources and Environmental Monitoring of Ha | No 1, Vo Liem Son, Ha Tinh City, | 0916435568 |
| 11. | Nguyen Tong Ty | Tinh | Ha Tinh | 0947 895915 |
| 12. | Tran Quoc Viet | Center for Environmental Engineering of Da Nang | 24 Ho Nguyen Trung, Hoa Cuong Nam ward, Hai Chau dist., Da Nang City | 01224195383 |

| 13. | Le Van Hao | Center for Environmental Monitoring and Analysis of Quang | No. 84, Phan Boi Chau str., Tam Ky | 0389819264 |
|-----|------------------|---|---|------------------------------|
| 14. | Tran Thua The | Nam | City, Quang Nam | 934749772 |
| 15. | Pham Van Canh | Center for Environmental Monitoring and Analysis of Ba Ria - Vung Tau | 28B Thi Sach, Thang Tam, Vung Tau City, Ba Ria-Vung Tau | 087743716 |
| 16. | Do Thanh Tuyen | Center for Monitoring and | | 0918075724 |
| 17. | Le Thanh Phong | Environmental Engineering of | 520 Duong Dong Khoi, Tan Hiep ward, Bien Hoa, Dong Nai | 0979413939 |
| 18. | Dang Thai Nguyen | | | 0984062032 |
| 19. | Dang Thi Lan | Center for Monitoring and Environmental Engineering of Binh Duong | 26 Huynh Van Nghe, Phu Loi ward, Thu Dau Mot, Binh Duong | 0383.315.513 0936.036.893 |

1.4. List of participants in PBDE training course

| No. | Name of participants | Lab | Address | Phone number |
|-----|----------------------|--|-------------------------------|--------------|
| 1. | Le Phu Dong | Center for Environmental Monitoring and Analysis of Hai | 159 Ngo Ouven str., Hai Duong | 0983705628 |
| 2. | Nguyen Van Tuan | Duong | City, Hai Duong | 0904063272 |

| 3. | Nguyen Thi Anh | Center for Natural Resources and | No 1, Vo Liem Son, Ha Tinh City, | 091.6636099 |
|-----|---------------------|---|---|--------------|
| 4. | Nguyen Tong Ty | Environmental Monitoring of Ha Tinh | Ha Tinh | 0945798389 |
| 5. | Mai Hung | CenterforEnvironmentalMonitoringandAnalysisofYen | No 437 Nguyen Van Linh, Hung Yen City, Tinh Hung Yen | 0914913175 |
| 6. | Ha Manh Dung | Center for Monitoring of Natural Resources and Environment of Thai Binh | No 12, Quang Trung ward, Thai Binh City | 0987281084 |
| 7. | To Thi Hong Chuyen | Center for Monitoring and Environmental Engineering of Binh Duong | 26 Huynh Van Nghe, Phu Loi ward, Thu Dau Mot, Binh Duong | 0837 000 416 |
| 8. | Nguyen Mai Hanh | Center for Resources & Environmental Protection of Vinh Phuc | Khai Quang Ward, Vinh Yen City, Vinh Phuc | 0984079176 |
| 9. | Pham Thi Thanh Thuy | Center for Natural Resources and Environmental Monitoring of Thai | No 425A, Phan Dinh Phung, Thai | 0987849225 |
| 10. | Nguyen Ngoc Thuy | Nguyen | Nguyen City | 0989463388 |
| 11. | Luu Thi Hoi | Center for Monitoring and Environmental Engineering of Dong Nai | 520 Duong Dong Khoi, Tan Hiep ward, Bien Hoa, Dong Nai | 0386866511 |

| 12. | Nguyen Van Tiep | CenterforEnvironmentalMonitoring of HaiPhong | 275 Lach Tray, Ngo Quyen, Hai Phong City | 0868080684 |
|-----|--------------------|---|--|------------|
| 13. | Pham Thi Ly | Center for Environmental Monitoring and Analysis of Nam Dinh | No. 192, Cu Chinh Lan street, Nam Dinh City, Nam Dinh | 0988230190 |
| 14. | Dinh Thi Thanh Tam | Center for Natural Resources and Environmental Monitoring of Bac Ninh | No. 11, Hai Ba Trung str., Suoi Hoa ward, Bac Ninh City | 0868896823 |

ANNEX 2. PARTICIPANT TRAINING EVALUATION FORM

2.1. Participant training evaluation form for basic training course

It is valuable for our training program to be more and more successful, we highly appreciate your comments, please answer the following questions:

Please circle on your options:

1. Evaluation of the content:

A. Easy to understand \Box B. Difficult to understand \Box C. Very difficult to understand \Box

2. Evaluation of the lecturer:

A. Very interesting \Box B. Interesting \Box C. Normal \Box D. Not interesting \Box

3. Through the content of lectures and presentations, how well do you know the following basic knowledge about POP/PTS?

a/ Classification, characteristics, origin
A. Very good □
B. Good □
C. Ordinary □
D. Bad □
b/ Regulations on management related to POP/PTS in the environment
A. Very good □
B. Good □
C. Ordinary □
D. Bad □

c/ Basic technical conditions for monitoring and analysis of POP/PTS A. Very good \Box B. Good \Box C. Ordinary \Box D. Bad \Box

4. After discussion session, could you please let us know the needs of your lab for monitoring and analysis of POP/PTS?

- □ We do not have the needs for monitoring and analysis of POP/PTS
- □ We have the needs for monitoring and analysis of POP/PTS
- We have the needs for training on analytical method of GC
 For example:
- \Box We have the needs for training on mercury analytical method
- We have the needs for training on sampling methods Kinds of samples:

5. If you score the training course, how much will be on the below scale?

1. Very bad \Box 2. Bad \Box 3. Normal \Box 4. Interesting \Box 5. Very interesting \Box 6. Other comments:

After you finish the above questions, please kindly submit this form for trainers. Thank you very much for your attending this training course.

2.2. Participant training evaluation form for technical training course







Sample Participant Training Evaluation Form

| Project | code | and | title: | |
|----------|--------|-------|----------|--------------|
| National | Imple | menti | ng Part | ner: |
| Co-Impl | ementi | ng Pa | rtner (i | f relevant): |

PARTICIPANT TRAINING EVALUATION FORM

| Name of Training Activity: | | | | ." |
|---|-----------|-------|-----------|-------|
| Training venue: | | | •••••• | |
| Date: | | | | |
| | Strongly | Disag | Neither | Agree |
| | Disagree | ree | Agree nor | |
| | | | Disagree | |
| | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) |
| TRAINING CONTENT | | | | |
| 1. Contents of the training activity were useful to my work | | | | |
| | | | | |
| 2. The duration of the training activity was appropriate to cover the planned content | | | | |
| 3. The training activity provided me with new knowledge | | | | |
| 4. The steps of the training activity were appropriate | | | | |
| 5. The time I spent on the training activity was worthwhile | | | | |
| 6. My expectations from the training activity were met | | | | |
| 7. I am interested to introduce this training activity to other people | | | | |
| TRAINING MATERIALS | | | | |
| 22. The training materials helped me understand the training σ | contents. | | | |
| 23. The training materials were very useful to my work | | | | |

General remarks:

TRAINING METHODOLOGY

| 24. The methodology to conduct the trainin deliver the contents. | ng activi | ity was | | | | appropria | te to |
|--|-----------|--------------|-------|-------|-------|-----------|-------|
| 25. The examples used were relevant to an | d helped | l illustrate | e | | | | |
| the subject matters. | | | | | | | |
| 26. The timing for practices/ exercises was | approp | riate. | | | | | |
| General remarks: | ••••• | | ••••• | | | | ••••• |
| | | | | | ••••• | | |
| | ••••• | | ••••• | ••••• | ••••• | •••••• | ••••• |
| LOGISTICAL SUPPORT | | | | | | | |
| LOGISTICAL SUFFORT | | | | | | | |
| 27.I was happy with the training venue. | | | | | | | |
| 28.1 was happy with other support services | 8 | | | | | | |
| (refreshment, etc). | | | | | | | |
| 29. The training facilities were adequate. | | | | | | | |
| General remarks: | | | | ••••• | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| RESOURCE PERSONS | | | | | | | |
| 16. The resource person(s) was/were know | ledgeab | le about | the | | | | |
| subject matters. | | | | | | | |
| 17. The resource person(s) was/were dedic activity. | ated to t | the trainin | ıg | | | | |

| 18. The resource person(s) gave satisfactory answers to my questions. | | | |
|--|---|---|---|
| 19. The resource person(s) made satisfactory presentation(s) on their topics. | | | |
| 20. The resource person(s) made proper preparations for the training activity. | | | |
| | _ | _ | _ |

21. The resource person(s) had good skills to engage trainees in discussions.

| | General remarks: | | | | | | |
|-----|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| | | | | | | | |
| | I wish to add the following comments on the training activity: | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| 23. | Overall assessment on the training activity (including suggestions for improvement): | | | | | | |

Many thanks for your contributions

ANNEX 3: FORM OF INTER-LABORATORY CROSSCHECK PROGRAM

3.1. Form of inter-laboratory crosscheck program on PAH



RESEARCH CENTRE FOR ENVIRONMENTAL TECHNOLOGY AND SUSTAINABLE DEVELOPEMENT 334 Nguyen Trai, Thanh Xuan, Ha Noi, Viet Nam Tel: +84-4-3858 7964, Fax: +84-4-3858 8152

Hanoi, 30 August 2018

To:

- Participants in the second inter-laboratory crosscheck program within the framework of program entitled "strengthen monitoring capacity of POP/PTS for labs under MONREs/DONREs";

All laboratories/line agency

Within the framework of the Project "Viet Nam POPs and Sound Harmful Chemicals Management" organized by Vietnam Environment Administration, Research Centre from Environmental Technology and Sustainable Development (CESTAD) has the honor to cooperate with the Project Management Unit of the second inter-laboratory crosscheck on poly aromatic hydrocarbons (PAH).

The inter-laboratory crosscheck program which was carried out from August 27 to 31, 2018 aimed to evaluate and strengthen laboratories capacity on the network of proficient POP analysis by gas chromatography method. In order to create favorable conditions for the participated laboratories, we would like to give all the laboratories details as following:

<u>1. Attached samples and standard chemicals</u>

- 01 vial of PAH standard, 1ml, concentration of 1 μ g/ml in xylohexane, (code of sample: STD-PAH)
- 01 vial of PAH surrogate, 1ml, concentration of 1 µg/ml in xylohexan, (code of sample: SR Mix-PAH)
- 01 vial of PAH internal standard, 1ml, concentration of 1 μg/ml in xylohexan, (code of sample: IS-PAH)
 - 01 vial of sediment sample, 12 g, dried and grinded sample.

2. Contents

• The deadline for the laboratories started analyzing and sending results to CETASD is on 15 September 2018. The results are sent to:

Assoc.Prof.Dr. Duong Hong Anh: 0912 380 373

Email: duonghonganh@hus.edu.com

- The laboratories can use their available analytical procedure or others analytical procedure introduced in training course on PAH.
- Experimental content is about determining total PAH in blind sample.
- Result report is represented according to report form and sent to CETASD:
 - 1. Result report form
 - 2. Addition information about PAH analysis in test samples

3. The following files and relevant documents will be sent to the laboratories via registered email address

• <u>Annoucement-CESTAD.doc:</u> includes work content and name list of attached chemicals

- *Form of result.doc:* is used to fill the analyzed result and sent to CETASD
- *Form of addition information.xsl:* is used to fill addition information about analysis process which will be sent to CETASD.

During the participatory process, if you have any questions or professional contributions to the organization and workflow, please contact the following address:

1. Research Centre for Environmental Technology and Sustainable Development (CETASD)

T3 Building, 334 Nguyen Trai, Thanh Xuan District, Hanoi

Contact:

Assoc.Prof.Dr. Duong Hong Anh: 024. 3858 7964/ 0912 380 373

Email: <u>duonghonganh@hus.edu.com</u>

Wish you all success!

Thank you very much for your participation.

Prof. Dr. Pham Hung Viet

Director



Project 00091381

Viet Nam POPs and Sound Harmful Chemicals Management STRENGTHEN MONITORING CAPACITY OF POP/PTS FOR LABS UNDER MONREs/DONREs

RESULT FORM OF THE SECOND INTER-LABORATORY CROSSCHECK PROGRAM

| Code (the PMU issued): | |
|---------------------------|--|
| Representative: | |
| Participating laboratory: | |
| Address: | |

| Type of sample: | Sediment |
|----------------------|----------|
| Code of sample: | |
| Issued date: | / /2018 |
| Analyzed date: | |
| Sample intake (mg) | |
| Final volume (ml) | |
| Injected volume (ml) | |

Result of analysis

| No. | Congener | Concentration | Unit | Note |
|-----|----------|---------------|------|------|
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |

Analyst:

Fullname

Signature

Additional information for the analyis of PAH

1. Preparation of sample

- The laboratory applied completely according to the training procedure:

 \Box Completely \Box Incompletely

- If you did not apply completely according to the training procedure or change the procedure, please let us know more information:

| | Content | Change/used method |
|----|------------------------------|--------------------|
| 1. | Surrogate | |
| 2. | Extraction solvent(s)/volume | |
| 3. | Extraction method: | |
| 4. | Clean up | |
| 5 | Other: | |

2. Quatitative method of PAH

Analysis equipment: Type of GCMS equipment and analytical columns to quantify and quantify the PAH in the test sample.

| | Information | Note |
|--|-------------|-----------------------------|
| GC/MS : | | |
| High res/low res: | | |
| Туре | | (Quadrup., Ion Trap, TOF,) |
| GC column: | | |
| Туре: | | (DB-5, BP-5MS,) |
| Specifications (length x o. ID x i. ID): | | (30 m x 0.25 mm x 0.25 µm,) |

Analysis conditions of GCMS:

- The laboratory applied completely according to the training procedure:

 \Box Completely \Box Incompletely

- If you did not apply completely according to the training procedure or change the procedure, please let us know more information:

| | Content | |
|----|--|--|
| 1. | Laboratory changed SIM mode for PAH congeners | |
| 2. | IS: (Yes/ No, which substance did the laboratory use?) | |
| 3. | Range of calibration curve for 16 PAH, correlation coefficient | |

| 4 | Calibration of surrogate (Yes/ No), efficiency? | |
|---|---|--|
| 5 | Recovery sample (Yes/ No), efficiency? | |
| 6 | Calibration of blank (Yes/ No) | |
| 7 | Others: | |

3.2. Form of inter-laboratory crosscheck program on mercury



RESEARCH CENTRE FOR ENVIRONMENTAL TECHNOLOGY AND SUSTAINABLE DEVELOPEMENT

334 Nguyen Trai, Thanh Xuan, Ha Noi, Viet Nam Tel: +84-4-3858 7964, Fax: +84-4-3858 8152

Hanoi, 20 September 2018

To:

- Participants in the third inter-laboratory crosscheck program within the framework of program entitled "strengthen monitoring capacity of POP/PTS for labs under MONREs/DONREs";
- All laboratories/line agency

Within the framework of the Project "Viet Nam POPs and Sound Harmful Chemicals Management" organized by Vietnam Environment Administration, Research Centre from Environmental Technology and Sustainable Development (CESTAD) has the honor to cooperate with the Project Management Unit of the third inter-laboratory crosscheck on mercury.

The inter-laboratory crosscheck program which was carried out from September 28 to October 21, 2018 aimed to evaluate and strengthen laboratories capacity on the network of proficient mercury analysis by AAS. In order to create favorable conditions for the participated laboratories, we would like to give all the laboratories details as following:

1. Attached samples and standard chemicals

- 01 vial containing mercury in water, sample in 44ml colorless glass vial, black cap with septum.

2. Contents

• The deadline for the laboratories started analyzing and sending results to CETASD is on 21 October 2018. The results are sent to:

Assoc.Prof.Dr. Duong Hong Anh: 0912 380 373

Email: duonghonganh@hus.edu.com

• The laboratories can use their available analytical procedure or others analytical procedure introduced in training course on mercury.

- Experimental content is about determining mercury in blind sample.
- Result report is represented according to report form and sent to CETASD:
 - 1. Result report form
 - 2. Addition information about mercury analysis in test samples

3. The following files and relevant documents will be sent to the laboratories via registered email address

- <u>Annoucement-CESTAD.doc:</u> includes work content and name list of attached chemicals
- *Form of result.doc:* is used to fill the analyzed result and sent to CETASD
- *Form of addition information.xsl:* is used to fill addition information about analysis process which will be sent to CETASD.

During the participatory process, if you have any questions or professional contributions to the organization and workflow, please contact the following address:

2. Research Centre for Environmental Technology and Sustainable Development (CETASD)

T3 Building, 334 Nguyen Trai, Thanh Xuan District, Hanoi

Contact:

Assoc.Prof.Dr. Duong Hong Anh: 024. 3858 7964/ 0912 380 373

Email: <u>duonghonganh@hus.edu.com</u>

Wish you all success!

Thank you very much for your participation.

Prof. Dr. Pham Hung Viet

Director



Project 00091381

Viet Nam POPs and Sound Harmful Chemicals Management

STRENGTHEN MONITORING CAPACITY OF POP/PTS FOR LABS UNDER MONREs/DONREs

RESULT FORM OF THE THIRD INTER-LABORATORY CROSSCHECK PROGRAM

| Code (the PMU issued): | |
|---------------------------|--|
| Representative: | |
| Participating laboratory: | |
| Address: | |

| Type of sample: | Standard was mixed with water | |
|----------------------|-------------------------------|--|
| Code of sample: | | |
| Issued date: | / /2018 | |
| Analyzed date: | | |
| Sample intake (ml) | | |
| Final volume (ml) | | |
| Injected volume (ml) | | |

Result of analysis

| No. | Measurement | Concentration | Unit | Note |
|-----|-----------------|---------------|------|------|
| | 1 st | | | |
| | 2 nd | | | |
| | •••• | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |

Analyst:

Fullname

Signature

Additional information for the analyis of mercury

3. Preparation of sample

- The laboratory applied completely according to the training procedure:

 \Box Completely \Box Incompletely

- If you did not apply completely according to the training procedure or change the procedure, please let us know more information:

| | Content | Change/used method |
|----|--------------------|--------------------|
| 1. | Preparation method | |
| 2. | Used chemicals | |
| 3. | Other: | |

4. Quatitative method of mercury

Analysis equipment: Type of equipment to quantify and quantify the mercury in the test sample.

| | Information | Note |
|--------------------------------------|-------------|---|
| Elution of sample: | | |
| Solvent: | | |
| Factor of elution: | | |
| Reference sample: CRM, RMs | | |
| Reductant | | SnCl ₂ , NaBH ₄ , |

Analysis conditions of mercury:

- The laboratory applied completely according to the training procedure:

 \Box Completely \Box Incompletely

- If you did not apply completely according to the training procedure or change the procedure, please let us know more information:

| | Content | |
|----|---|--|
| 1. | Construction method of calibration curve | |
| 2 | Calibration of surrogate (Yes/ No), efficiency? | |
| 3 | Recovery sample (Yes/ No), efficiency? | |
| 4 | Calibration of blank (Yes/ No) | |
| 5 | Others: | |